

# <u>War and Terrorism Course</u> <u>Level 1</u> <u>False Flag Terrorism</u>

## By Shaun Almeida

This is a free publication from Infowar School created to raise awareness about the New World Order. Please share this book with everyone.



## Published online by Infowarschool.com 2024 Version 1.1

INTRODUCTION TO TERROR COURSE LEVEL 1	5
Two Admitted Examples of False Flag Terror	5
Operation Gladio	
OPERATION NORTHWOODS	7
The Purpose of Operation Northwoods	8
The People and Agencies Involved In Operation Northwoods	
Those Who Were Directly Involved and Knew It Was All a Lie to Frame Cuba	
Unknowing Co-Conspirators - Those Who Are Indirectly Involved	
Other Types of Conspirators	10
THE N.W.O. ARE WILLING TO GO TO GREAT LENGTHS TO CREATE AN ENTIRE FALSE STORY	
TACTICS FROM OPERATION NORTHWOODS TO USE AGAINST CUBA	12
Use A Military Exercise to Scare Cuba into Defending Itself	12
Create a Series of Fake Incidents around the American Base at Guantanamo Bay and Blam	
Cubans for It	
Sink a Ship and Blame It on Cuba	
Create a Campaign of Terror in Florida and Surrounding States and In Washington	
Fake an Attack on a Civilian Airliner by Cubans	
Pretend To Shoot Down an American Air Force Jet	16
FALSE FLAG TERROR	17
Who Uses False Flags	
Real Examples of False Flag Terror	20
THE USS MAINE	25
THE SINKING OF THE LUSITANIA	
Was It Really A False Flag?	
Why Sink The Lusitania?	
Setting Up the Lusitania before the Attack	
RULES ON WHAT SHIPS TO ATTACK	
BRITISH IGNORE THE RULES OF WAR PUTTING INNOCENT PEOPLE AT RISK	
Britain Caught Violating the Cruiser Rules	
GERMANY DECLARES WAR ZONE SAYS EVEN NEUTRAL SHIPS NOT SAFE	
NEUTRAL AMERICAN SHIPS ARE NOW POSSIBLE TARGETS LUSITANIA IS SPECIFICALLY MADE A TARGET BY NAME BY MAKING IT KNOWN IT IS VIOLATING THE CRUIS	
LUSITANIA IS SPECIFICALLY MADE A TARGET BY NAME BY MAKING IT KNOWN IT IS VIOLATING THE CRUR	
Wilson Sets The Stage For Going To War By Holding Germans Strictly Accountable If They	
AN AMERICAN FLAGGED SHIP AND NOT DISCOURAGING THE BRITISH FROM FLYING THE AMERICAN FLAG	
Ships	32
Making Sure There Would Be American Passengers	
PUTTING GUNCOTTON ON THE SHIP TO MAKE SURE THE LUSITANIA SINKS AND DOES IT QUICKLY	
THERE WAS KNOWN SUBMARINE ACTIVITY IN THE AREA	34
Taking the Escort Away	
Making the Target Easier To Hit	
Тне Аттаск	
Making Sure Americans Died	
THE COVER UP	
The United States Finally Enters the War	
PEARL HARBOR	
Their Cover Story 'Reasoning' For the Attack	
F.D.R. AND MCCOLLUM DEVELOPED A STRATEGY TO PROVOKE THE JAPANESE	
THE N.W.O. PLANTS THE PACIFIC FLEET AT PEARL HARBOR AS BAIT FOR THE JAPANESE	
JAPANESE NAVAL AND DIPLOMATIC CODES WERE BROKEN	

Prior Warnings from Multiple Sources Were Hidden From Pearl Harbor	47
PEARL HARBOR NOT WAS ALLOWED TO DEFEND ITSELF	
Kimmel and Short Are Set Up As Patsies for the Attack Pacific Cleared Of Shipping	
GULF OF TONKIN ATTACK	
USS LIBERTY	
Why Would Israel Stage an Attack on the USS Liberty	
THE SET UP OF THE USS LIBERTY	
Israel Knew It Was an American Ship and Exactly What Ship It Was	
They Wanted Everyone Dead and the Ship Sunk	
Help Was Denied To the Ship	
The Cover-Up	
ISLAMIC TERRORISM	60
CREATING ISRAEL MADE THE MODERN ISLAMIC TERRORISTS	
The Balfour Declaration	
EXPANDING THE STATE OF ISRAEL AND CREATING THE ISLAMIC TERRORISTS	
The White Lodge and Black Lodge Cooperate: The United Nations Gave Palestine to the Jews	
Expanding the State of Israel and Removing Non Jews.	
Setting the Example of Using Terrorism against the Jews	64
BUILDING THE INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC TERROR NETWORK	65
The Steps to Start Merging the World into a One World System Controlled By the N.W.O	66
STEP 1: GET THE PEOPLE IN PLACE TO START GLOBALISM IN GENERAL	67
The Trilateral Commission	67
THE TRILATERAL COMMISSION HAS N.W.O. MEMBERS	
THE TRILATERAL COMMISSION IS VERY ACTIVE IN PUBLIC POLITICS FOR A PRIVATE ORGANIZATION	71
STEP 2: CREATE THE GLOBAL ISLAMIST TERROR NETWORK	72
The Reason for the Global Islamist Terror Network	72
The Soviet–Afghan War	
The CIA Helped Build the International Islamist Terror Network Foreign Fighters Weren't Needed In Afghanistan	
CREATING AL QAEDA AND SPREADING TERRORIST ATTACKS INTERNATIONALLY	
USAMA BIN LADEN WAS A CIA ASSET FUNDED AND SUPPLIED BY THE CIA	
OPERATION CYCLONE WAS A CITA ASSET TO NOED AND SUPPLIED BY THE CITA.	
BUILDING A PERMANENT HOME FOR AL QAEDA	86
THE CIA USED THEIR FOREIGN FIGHTERS FOR INTERNATIONAL TERRORISM	90
USA USING AL QAEDA TEN YEARS AFTER THE WAR ON TERROR	93
THE ATTACK ON THE WORLD TRADE CENTER NORTH TOWER IN 1993	98
Why Was The Attack On The North Tower Allowed To Happen?	98

## **Introduction to Terror Course Level 1**

Terrorism is a tactic used by the N.W.O. They use terrorism to make the citizens of the world scared and then the citizens accept the solutions offered by the N.W.O. to make the terrorism stop. There is some terrorism that is not committed by the N.W.O. but that is a small percentage of the terrorism committed. One famous example of accepting their solutions to the problems they created is the patriot act that was passed after the September 11, 2001 attack on America.

The focus of War and Terror Course Level 1 at Infowar School is the use of terrorism by the N.W.O. to:

- 1. Start setting up their police state which is needed for their technocracy.
- 2. Destroy the Constitution of America.
- 3. Destroy the Bill Of Rights.
- 4. Label Christians of the original text Bible as terrorists to stop them from spreading the truth.
- 5. To create mass immigration of Muslims into Europe and the Western American continent to create the conditions for a holy war with Muslims and communists fighting against Christians and Jews.

The N.W.O. strategy happens in steps with one step laying out the foundation for the next step and you can see their plan unfold when you put the events on a timeline. We will look at the time period of the N.W.O. strategy from the year 1898 until 2014. During this time period there have been many events created by the N.W.O. where they used terrorism and have been caught using it. All these events show a clear pattern of the N.W.O. using terrorism to fulfill their plan.

This time period of the N.W.O. plan from 1898 until 2014 involves the three world divisions which are Muslims, communists and Judeo-Christians. These three main groups of people are used against each other all the time. The N.W.O. uses wars very often to change things they don't like such as the leader of a country by killing the leaders and the populations that resist them. They used wars to create a reason to set up the United Nations which is their world government.

Reaching their goals has been a long process that has taken centuries and is still ongoing. Now in our present time, the N.W.O. are calling real American patriots and patriots from other countries terrorists and is even arresting them and putting them in jail.

## Two Admitted Examples of False Flag Terror

To help you understand that the N.W.O. are terrorists, we will look at two undeniable and admitted examples of their terrorism and then we will follow the timeline of their activities from 1898 until 2014 to understand their plan. The two admitted examples of their terrorism are Operation Northwoods and Operation Gladio. Operation Northwoods was a plan to lie about Cuba and create a reason for America to invade Cuba. Operation Gladio was a campaign of terror in Europe created by NATO that killed many innocent people in Europe.

## **Operation Gladio**

Operation Gladio was a huge operation in Europe under the control of NATO that did many things. One of the things it did was commit acts of terrorism to take more control of society through security legislation. That is one of the strategies the N.W.O. uses to take total control of society. Here is a quote from someone that was involved in Operation Gladio from the book NATO's Secret Army.

NATO's Secret Army: Operation Gladio and Terrorism in Western Europe Daniele Ganser ISBN 0714685003 (ISBN13: 9780714685007) Paperback, 315 pages Published February 5th 2005 by Frank Cass Publishers (first published December 17th 2004) Page 7:

Right-wing terrorist Vinciguerra, who like others with contacts to the Gladio branch of the Italian military secret service, had been killed for his political conviction, related: 'You had to attack civilians, the people, women, children, innocent people, unknown people far removed from any political game. The reason was quite simple. They were supposed to force these people, the Italian public, to turn to the State to ask for greater security. This is the political logic that lies behind all the massacres and the bombings which remain unpunished, because the State cannot convict itself or declare itself responsible for what happened.

The United States was involved in funding Gladio. A former CIA director admitted to it in an interview as reported by the Washington post:

https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/politics/1990/11/14/ciaorganized-secret-army-in-western-europe/e0305101-97b9-4494-bc18d89f42497d85/

CIA ORGANIZED SECRET ARMY IN WESTERN EUROPE

*By Clare Pedrick* 

November 14, 1990

In a television interview on Italy's Channel 4, former <u>CIA director William Colby</u> <u>confirmed the U.S. role in Italy's secret army</u> and described how he was sent to Stockholm in 1951 to set up a similar network in Scandinavia.

Colby, who headed the CIA from 1973 to 1976, also revealed that U.S. intelligence agencies channeled large sums to Italy's right-of-center Christian Democrats and other "anti-Communist" political parties during the Cold War era -- a connection that has long been alleged by Italy's left-wing parties. The money, said Colby, was used to ensure that Italy did not "fall into Communist hands."

Here are only two of many acts of terrorism committed during Operation Gladio from the book NATO's Secret Army.

NATO's Secret Army: Operation Gladio and Terrorism in Western Europe Daniele Ganser ISBN 0714685003 (ISBN13: 9780714685007) Paperback, 315 pages Published February 5th 2005 by Frank Cass Publishers (first published December 17th 2004) page 253 1969 In Italy, the Piazza Fontana massacre in Milan <u>kills 16 and injures and maims 80</u> <u>and is blamed on the left</u>. Thirty years later during a trial of right-wing extremists General Giandelio Maletti, former head of Italian counterintelligence, alleges that <u>the massacre had been carried out by the Italian stay-behind army and right-wing</u>

terrorists on the orders of the US secret service CIA in order to discredit the Italian Communists.

1972 In Italy, a bomb explodes in a car near the village Peteano <u>killing three</u> Carabinieri. The terror, <u>first blamed on the left</u>, is later traced back to right-wing terrorist Vincenzo Vinciguerra and tends to the exposure of the Italian stay-behind code-named <u>Gladio.</u>

## page 255

**1984 In Italy**, right-wing **terrorist Vincenzo Vinciguerra** in court **reveals Operation Gladio** and the **involvement of NATO's** stay-behind army in acts of terrorism in Italy **designed to discredit the Communists**. He is sentenced to life and imprisoned.


As you can see from Operation Gladio, some of our world leaders are terrorists and they work together from different sides of wars or conflicts pretending to be enemies but it is all an act that they use to build their N.W.O.

## **Operation Northwoods**

Cuba is a communist country and communism is not good at all but creating a false flag (fake attack) as an excuse to attack another country is never the thing to do. If a country is really so bad, you don't need to fake anything. This false flag attack against America was supposed to result in a military attack against Cuba but the plan was never used because President Kennedy said no to it.

We will be looking at Operation Northwoods because it is a clear and admitted example of a false flag but also because it is very similar to the attacks of 9-11. It is important to study this topic first for people who still believe the official story of 9-11 because that official story is a proven lie. The amazing thing about the 9-11 attack is the official story proves itself wrong and impossible which you will see in level 2 of the war and terror course.

Operation Northwoods also helps us to see the tactics that the N.W.O. will use and the levels of people involved in a conspiracy. These tactics show up over and over in N.W.O. activities.



## The Purpose of Operation Northwoods

The purpose of Operation Northwoods was to create a reason for going to war with Cuba. There was no legal reason to go to war with Cuba or the deception of Operation Northwoods would not be needed.

# https://nsarchive2.gwu.edu/news/20010430/northwoods.pdf Operation Northwoods

## The Joint Chiefs of Staff, Washington, D.C.

5. The suggested courses of action appended to Enclosure A are based on the premise that <u>US military intervention will result</u> from a period of heightened US-Cuban tensions which <u>place the United States in the position of suffering justifiable</u> <u>grievances</u>. World opinion, and the United Nations forum should be favorably affected by developing the international image of the Cuban government as rash and irresponsible, and as an alarming and unpredictable threat to the peace of the Western Hemisphere.

## The People and Agencies Involved In Operation Northwoods

Look at all the high level people in the government and military involved in a lie that was meant to result in the deaths of so many people.

## Those Who Were Directly Involved and Knew It Was All a Lie to Frame Cuba

- The Joint Chiefs of Staff
- L.L. Lemnitzer, chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff
- Chief of Operations, Cuba project
- ▶ F.J. Blouin, M.J. Ingelido, joint secretariat
- Department of defense
- President Kennedy also knew of the plan after it was created but he said no to using it.

For the Joint Chiefs of Staff: SYSTEMATICALLY REVIEWED BY JCS ON
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC REGRADING: DOD DIR 5200.10 DOES NOT APPLY TOP SECRET SPECIAL HANDLING NOFOPAL
3. Cognizance has been taken of a suggested course of action proposed** by the US Navy relating to generated

instances in the Guantanamo area.

4. For additional facts see Enclosure B.

## Unknowing Co-Conspirators - Those Who Are Indirectly Involved

These are people who would have reacted exactly the way the N.W.O. wanted them to react and they would have helped the N.W.O. to convince others that Cuba was to blame. By unknowingly helping the N.W.O. plan succeed makes them unknowing coconspirators. They are not bad people and would not have helped the N.W.O. if they actually knew what was happening.

https://nsarchive2.gwu.edu/news/20010430/northwoods.pdf

Operation Northwoods

The Joint Chiefs of Staff, Washington, D.C.

b. We could blow up a drone (unmanned) vessel anywhere in the Cuban waters. We could arrange to cause such incident in the vicinity of Havana or Santiago as a spectacular result of Cuban attack from the air or sea, or both. The presence of Cuban planes or ships merely investigating the intent of the vessel could be fairly compelling evidence that the ship was taken under attack. The nearness to Havana or Santiago would add credibility especially to those people that might have heard the blast or have seen the fire. The US could follow up with an air/sea rescue operation covered by US fighters to "evacuate" remaining members of the <u>non-existent crew</u>. Casualty lists in US newspapers would cause a helpful wave of national indignation. If the plan had been used there would have been witnesses to fake distress calls and exploding ships and planes. Those witnesses would have made assumptions based on staged circumstances that would have lead them to the wrong conclusions about what happened. Then the witnesses would report their assumptions. For example they would say "I heard a distress call and saw an explosion and there were Cuban planes and Cuban ships near the explosion. The Cubans must have attacked and sunk that ship." But in reality the plan was to send a remote controlled empty ship into Cuban waters near a big city and blow it up after Cuban authorities showed up to investigate the unidentified ship in their territory. The Americans would not even have to say anything. The story of Cuba being responsible would have started with the Cuban witness assumptions which would help the Americans sell their lie.

## Other Types of Conspirators

If the plan was approved there would have been more people involved. These people may not have known about the entire plan or its ultimate objectives but they would have known they were involved in a lie. There would have been Cubans that were allied with the Americans that would have known about some parts of the plan like their roles in pretending to attack the American base at Guantanamo Bay. There would have been a few pilots that knew they were involved in deceptive activities designed to frame Cuba and a small amount of people at Elgin air force base that would be involved in painting and renumbering planes.

These low level conspirators would not likely know about the N.W.O. slowly taking over the world. Instead they would be told lies to justify their own lies. They would likely be told that the communists are so evil and deadly that having this smaller war would prevent a larger war and save thousands of lives but no matter what happens people will die. It's just a matter of saving as many lives as possible.

But as we already know the people who created Operation Northwoods and the people who created communism are all part of the N.W.O. It's always problem reaction solution being used against humanity to put N.W.O. people into power as the solution to their wars and to control the world.

## https://nsarchive2.gwu.edu/news/20010430/northwoods.pdf

#### Operation Northwoods

## The Joint Chiefs of Staff, Washington, D.C.

8. It is possible to **create an incident** which will demonstrate convincingly that a **Cuban aircraft has attacked and shot down a chartered civil airliner** enroute from the United States to Jamaica, Guatemala, Panama or Venezuela. The destination would be chosen only to cause the flight plan route to cross Cuba. The passengers could be a group of college students off on a holiday or any grouping of persons with a common interest to support chartering a non-scheduled flight.

a. <u>An aircraft at Eglin AFB would be painted and numbered as an exact duplicate</u> for a civil registered aircraft belonging to a CIA proprietary organization in the <u>Miami area.</u> At a designated time the duplicate would be substituted for the actual civil aircraft and would be loaded with the <u>selected passengers, all boarded under</u> carefully prepared aliases. The actual registered aircraft would be converted to a <u>drone</u>. b. Take off times of the drone aircraft and the actual aircraft will be scheduled to allow a rendezvous south of Florida. From the rendezvous point the passenger-carrying aircraft will descend to minimum altitude and go directly into an auxiliary field at **Eglin AFB** where arrangements will have been made to evacuate the passengers and return the aircraft to its original status. The drone aircraft meanwhile will continue to fly the filed flight plan. When over Cuba the drone will being transmitting on the international distress frequency a "MAY DAY" message stating he is under attack by Cuban MIG aircraft. The transmission will be interrupted by destruction of the aircraft which will be triggered by radio signal. **This will allow ICAO radio stations in the Western Hemisphere to tell the US what has happened to the aircraft instead of the US trying to "sell" the incident**.

## The N.W.O. Are Willing To Go To Great Lengths to Create an Entire False Story

Operation Northwoods planned to make Cuba look like it was a danger to America and to the other countries around it. They wrote that there should be a logical buildup of events with seemingly unrelated incidents used to hide the ultimate goal of falsely setting up Cuba to be attacked. These incidents would be listed later on in the Northwoods memo and will be shown in the following paragraphs but the important point to note now is that the N.W.O. is willing to go to great trouble to create a false timeline of events that are supposed to lead to what they want. They would even stage a fake debate in the government to publicize all types of bad things about Cuba even if it was a debate of lies.

All of this was supposed to make Cuba look like the aggressive dangerous bad guy and make America look like the victim that has absolutely no choice but to use force to defend itself.

#### https://nsarchive2.gwu.edu/news/20010430/northwoods.pdf

#### Operation Northwoods

## The Joint Chiefs of Staff, Washington, D.C.

3. This plan, incorporating projects selected from the attached suggestions, or from other sources, should be developed to focus all efforts on a specific ultimate objective which would provide adequate justification for US military intervention. Such a plan would enable <u>a logical build-up of incidents to be combined with other seemingly</u> <u>unrelated events to camouflage the ultimate objective</u> and create the necessary impression of Cuban rashness and irresponsibility on a large scale, directed at other countries as well as the United States. The plan would also properly integrate and time phase the courses of action to be pursued.

The desired resultant from the execution of this plan would be to place the United States in the apparent position of suffering defensible grievances from a rash and irresponsible government of Cuba and to <u>develop an international image of a Cuban threat to</u> <u>peace in the Western Hemisphere.</u>

## 9. It is possible to create an incident which will make it appear that Communist Cuban MIGs have destroyed a USAF aircraft over international waters in an unprovoked attack.

a. Approximately 4 or 5 F-101 aircraft will be dispatched in trail from Homestead AFB, Florida, to the vicinity of Cuba. Their mission will be to reverse course and simulate fakir aircraft for an air defense exercise in southern Florida. These aircraft would conduct variations of these flights at frequent Intervals. Crews would be briefed to remain at least 12 miles off the Cuban coast; however, they would be required to carry live ammunition in the event that hostile actions were taken by the Cuban MIGs.

b. On one such flight, a pre-briefed pilot would fly tail-end Charley at considerable interval between aircraft. While near the Cuban Island this pilot would broadcast that he had been jumped by MIGs and was going down. No other calls would be made. The pilot would then fly directly west at extremely low altitude and land at a secure base, an Eglin auxiliary. The aircraft would be met by the proper people, quickly stored and given a new tail number. The pilot who had performed the mission under an alias, would resume his proper identity and return to his normal place of business. The pilot and aircraft would then have disappeared.

c. At precisely the same time that the aircraft was presumably shot down a submarine or small surface craft would <u>disburse F-101 parts, parachute, etc., at approximately 15</u> to 20 miles off the Cuban coast and depart. The pilots returning to Homestead would have a true story as far as they knew. Search ships and aircraft could be dispatched and parts of aircraft found.

America was supposed to look like the victim just like the 9-11 attacks and just like the first time the World Trade Center was bombed in 1993. The 1993 bombing was blamed on Osama bin Laden but as we found out it was another set up because Imad Salem secretly taped his conversations with the FBI. The tapes revealed that the FBI knew it was a real bomb but let the attack take place anyway. Not everyone in the FBI is part of the N.W.O. but there are N.W.O. agents all over the world in key positions so that they can control what happens. Even when there are no N.W.O. members present, good people can be tricked into helping the N.W.O.

## Tactics from Operation Northwoods to Use against Cuba

In the Operation Northwoods memo there are five smaller false flag operations that are suggested for use in leading up to the attack on Cuba. These smaller individual operations are the specific actions they wanted to take to make Cuba look like a danger to others. We will now look at them one at a time and note the similarities to the 9-11 attacks which will help you to accept and believe that there are people in the American government and all around the world that are members of the N.W.O. and they will do anything to build their final and complete N.W.O. Most or all of these tactics would have been used to carryout Operation Northwoods.

## Use A Military Exercise to Scare Cuba into Defending Itself

The first tactic mentioned in the Northwoods memo is to scare Cuba into attacking America by having the American military conduct practice invasions of Cuba that look so real that Cuba will think they are real and will then defend itself with force. The American military exercise is supposed to be ready to become a real military action if Cuba takes the bait. And to help the chances of scaring Cuba enough that it does attack, they U.S. military would harass and deceive the Cubans in various ways that would not normally be used in a military exercise. https://nsarchive2.gwu.edu/news/20010430/northwoods.pdf

Operation Northwoods

The Joint Chiefs of Staff, Washington, D.C.

1. Since it would seem <u>desirable to use legitimate provocation as the basis for US</u> <u>military intervention in Cuba a cover and deception plan</u>, to include requisite preliminary actions such as has been developed in response to Task 33 c, could be executed as an initial <u>effort to provoke Cuban reactions</u>. <u>Harassment plus deceptive</u> <u>actions</u> to convince the Cubans of imminent invasion would be emphasized. Our military posture throughout execution of the plan will allow a <u>rapid change from exercise to</u> <u>intervention</u> if Cuban response justifies.

In the time leading up to and on the day of the 9-11 attacks there were several exercises that simulated the exact attack that happened. As you can clearly see, military exercises are used to practice a real attack and provide cover for the people who are acting in a criminal way to make the attack happen.

## Create a Series of Fake Incidents around the American Base at Guantanamo Bay and Blame the Cubans for It

Another tactic suggested by Operation Northwoods was staging an attack on the American base at Guantanamo using friendly Cubans who were allied to the Americans. The American base at Guantanamo would then respond by attacking the Cuban military. As you can see from this tactic it is totally an act with no enemy Cubans involved. The Americans and their 'friendly' Cubans would be the only people involved and it would have absolutely nothing to do with the communist Cuban army but the communist Cubans would be blamed and attacked and killed. It is a lie and a set up. Some Americans and their 'friendly' Cubans would no doubt be killed and wounded in all the chaos as well. Here is a list from the Northwoods memo of the things they would have done if the plan was approved by Kennedy.

https://nsarchive2.gwu.edu/news/20010430/northwoods.pdf

Operation Northwoods

## The Joint Chiefs of Staff, Washington, D.C.

2. A series of well coordinated incidents will be planned to take place in and around Guantanamo to give genuine appearance of being done by hostile Cuban forces.

a. Incidents to establish a credible attack (not in chronological order):

(1) Start rumors (many). Use clandestine radio.

(2) Land friendly Cubans in uniform "over-the-fence" to stage attack on base.

(3) Capture Cuban (friendly) saboteurs inside the base.

(4) Start riots near the base main gate (friendly Cubans).

(5) Blow up ammunition inside the base; start fires.

- (6) Burn aircraft on air base (sabotage).
- (7) Lob mortar shells from outside of base into base. Some damage to installations.

(8) Capture assault teams approaching from the sea or vicinity of Guantanamo City.

(9) Capture militia group which storms the base.

(10) Sabotage ship in harbor; large fires -- naphthalene.

(11) Sink ship near harbor entrance. <u>**Conduct funerals for mock-victims**</u> (may be lieu of (10)).

b. <u>United States would respond by executing offensive operations</u> to secure water and power supplies, destroying artillery and mortar emplacements which threaten the base.

c. Commence large scale United States military operations.

From the above part of the Northwoods memo we can see that the Americans in the N.W.O. are willing to attack themselves using their own people who are pretending to be hostile. As you may already know, Al-Qaeda was created by the CIA and Osama worked for the Americans for a long time before 9-11.

## Sink a Ship and Blame It on Cuba

This tactic was used before on more than one occasion. This tactic called for the sinking of an empty remote controlled ship near a large Cuban city and it would be blamed on Cuba. There would be a fake search for non existent survivors and fake casualty lists would be published in the American news papers causing anger against Cuba that would help justify going to war. The ship would be sailed close to a major city and then only after Cuban planes and ships came to investigate the unknown ship would it be blown up by remote control. The people in the Cuban cities would have seen and heard the explosion and the Cuban ships and planes near the empty ship would be enough to make people think Cuba attacked the empty ship. Cuban citizens would very likely be tricked into believing their own country was responsible and their testimony of just seeing their planes and ships near the explosion would help sell the N.W.O. lie.

https://nsarchive2.gwu.edu/news/20010430/northwoods.pdf

## Operation Northwoods

The Joint Chiefs of Staff, Washington, D.C.

3. A "Remember the Maine" incident could be arranged in several forms:

a. We could blow up a US ship in Guantanamo Bay and blame Cuba.

b. <u>We could blow up a drone (unmanned) vessel anywhere in the Cuban waters.</u> We could arrange to cause such incident in the vicinity of Havana or Santiago as a spectacular result of Cuban attack from the air or sea, or both.

The presence of Cuban planes or ships merely investigating the intent of the vessel could be fairly compelling evidence that the ship was taken under attack. The nearness to Havana or Santiago would add credibility especially to those people that might have heard the blast or have seen the fire. The US could follow up with an air/sea rescue operation covered by US fighters to <u>"evacuate" remaining members of the non-existent crew</u>. Casualty lists in US newspapers would cause a helpful wave of national indignation.

What is important here is to note how the N.W.O. will use people's assumptions to their advantage. Even though no one could have seen Cuba attack the ship because the Cubans didn't attack it, they will assume they didn't see it because they were too far to see the rockets or torpedoes and that the attack really did happened. After the 9-11 attacks most people assumed the buildings fell because of fires and the damage from the planes but that's not what happened. The N.W.O. knows most people don't understand engineering and will assume the government is telling them the truth. All they have to do

after is to discredit real engineers who explain what really happened by calling them crazy conspiracy theorists and by using institutions like NIST and the media to lie and support the N.W.O. story.

## Create a Campaign of Terror in Florida and Surrounding States and In Washington

In this tactic the N.W.O. actually planned to kill and wound innocent people. This plan called for creating a fake communist terror campaign against America to be blamed on Cuba. There are people who don't want to live in Cuba because they don't want to live under communism so they try to escape to America using boats. American N.W.O. members would pretend to be Cuban communists that were targeting the immigrants as traitors for leaving Cuba. They would then sink some of their boats and kill some of them to make Cuba look evil. At the same time America would encourage more immigrants to leave Cuba by plane and boat to make sure there are more targets or else emigration might stop due to fear. Here is a list of some other things that are part of this tactic:

#### https://nsarchive2.gwu.edu/news/20010430/northwoods.pdf

Operation Northwoods

#### The Joint Chiefs of Staff, Washington, D.C.

4. We could develop a Communist Cuban terror campaign in the Miami area, in other Florida cities and even in Washington. The terror campaign could be pointed at refugees seeking haven in the United States. We could sink a boatload of Cubans enroute to Florida (real or simulated). We could foster attempts on lives of Cuban refugees in the United States even to the extent of wounding in instances to be widely publicized. Exploding a few plastic bombs in carefully chosen spots, the arrest of Cuban agents and the release of prepared documents substantiating Cuban involvement, also would be helpful in projecting the idea of an irresponsible government. 5. A "Cuban-based, Castro-supported" filibuster could be simulated against a neighboring Caribbean nation (in the vein of the 14th of June invasion of the Dominican Republic). We know that Castro is backing subversive efforts clandestinely against Haiti, Dominican Republic, Guatemala, and Nicaragua at present and possible others. These efforts can be magnified and additional ones contrived for exposure. For example, advantage can be taken of the sensitivity of the Dominican Air Force to intrusions within their national air space. "Cuban" B-26 or C-46 type aircraft could make cane-burning raids at night. Soviet Bloc incendiaries could be found. This could be coupled with "Cuban" messages to the Communist underground in the

Dominican Republic and "Cuban" shipments of arm which would be found, or intercepted, on the beach.

From this tactic we can see that American N.W.O. members are willing to attack and kill American citizens by using terrorism. The bombs planned for Florida and Washington would have likely killed Americans.

## Fake an Attack on a Civilian Airliner by Cubans

This tactic also has similarities to what we saw on 9-11. Here is a list of points involved in this plan.

#### https://nsarchive2.gwu.edu/news/20010430/northwoods.pdf Operation Northwoods

The Joint Chiefs of Staff, Washington, D.C.

8. It is possible to <u>create an incident which will demonstrate convincingly that a</u> <u>Cuban aircraft has attacked and shot down a chartered civil airliner enroute from</u> <u>the United States to Jamaica, Guatemala, Panama or Venezuela</u>. The destination would be chosen only to cause the flight plan route to cross Cuba. The passengers could be a group of college students off on a holiday or any grouping of persons with a common interest to support chartering a non-scheduled flight. a. <u>An aircraft at Eglin AFB would be painted and numbered as an exact duplicate</u>

a. An aircraft at Eglin AFB would be painted and numbered as an exact duplicate for a civil registered aircraft belonging to a CIA proprietary organization in the Miami area. At a designated time the duplicate would be substituted for the actual civil aircraft and would be loaded with the selected passengers, all boarded under carefully prepared aliases. The actual registered aircraft would be converted to a drone. b. Take off times of the drone aircraft and the actual aircraft will be scheduled to allow a rendezvous south of Florida. From the rendezvous point the passenger-carrying aircraft will descend to minimum altitude and go directly into an auxiliary field at Eglin AFB where arrangements will have been made to evacuate the passengers and return the aircraft to its original status. The drone aircraft meanwhile will continue to fly the filed flight plan. When over Cuba the drone will being transmitting on the international distress frequency a "MAY DAY" message stating he is under attack by Cuban MIG aircraft. The transmission will be interrupted by destruction of the aircraft which will be triggered by radio signal. This will allow ICAO radio stations in the Western Hemisphere to tell the US what has happened to the aircraft instead of the US trying to "sell" the incident.

On 9-11 something similar to this happened. Two allegedly highjacked planes crossed over Stewart air National Guard base in New York State at the same time and shut off their transponders then later crashed into the twin towers. Could it have been a remote controlled drone that left Stewart AFB after the two planes were switched? There is also evidence that flight 77 didn't actually hit the pentagon either. The evidence shows that it was more likely that flight 77 flew over the pentagon and explosives were detonated at the same time inside the Pentagon. Then flight 77 turned right and flew at low level and joined the airplanes leaving the airport that is right next door to the Pentagon. Sound strange and unbelievable? How's Operation Northwoods sound? Normal?

## Pretend To Shoot Down an American Air Force Jet

This tactic is another case of totally framing Cuba for something it didn't do. This is what the plan was for the event:

https://nsarchive2.gwu.edu/news/20010430/northwoods.pdf

Operation Northwoods

The Joint Chiefs of Staff, Washington, D.C.

9. It is possible to create an incident which will <u>make it appear that Communist Cuban</u> <u>MIGs have destroyed a USAF aircraft</u> over international waters in an unprovoked attack. a. Approximately 4 or 5 F-101 aircraft will be dispatched in trail from Homestead AFB, Florida, to the vicinity of Cuba. Their mission will be to reverse course and simulate fakir aircraft for an air defense exercise in southern Florida. These aircraft would conduct variations of these flights at frequent Intervals.

Crews would be briefed to remain at least 12 miles off the Cuban coast; however, they would be required to carry live ammunition in the event that hostile actions were taken by the Cuban MIGs.

b. On one such flight, <u>a pre-briefed pilot</u> would fly tail-end Charley at considerable interval between aircraft. <u>While near the Cuban Island this pilot would broadcast</u> <u>that he had been jumped by MIGs and was going down. No other calls would be</u> <u>made. The pilot would then fly directly west at extremely low altitude and land at a</u> <u>secure base, an Eglin auxiliary.</u> The aircraft would be met by the proper people, quickly stored and given a new tail number. The pilot who had performed the mission under an alias, would resume his proper identity and return to his normal place of business. The pilot and aircraft would then have disappeared.

c. At precisely the same time that the aircraft was presumably shot down a submarine or small surface craft would disburse F-101 parts, parachute, etc., at approximately 15 to 20 miles off the Cuban coast and depart. The pilots returning to Homestead would have a true story as far as they knew. Search ships and aircraft could be dispatched and parts of aircraft found.

The important points to note are: the pilot's involvement, fake wreckage was to be placed at the scene, and a very deceptive part was to trick others into being involved in the lie by letting the people who heard the fake distress call report what supposedly happened. This makes them into unknowing co-conspirators. The placement of fake wreckage and a parachute is very deceitful and shows us just how far the N.W.O. is willing to go to make us believe in their lies. This has a similarity to what likely happened at the Pentagon on 9-11 because the pilot of American Airlines who flew flight 77 used to work at the Pentagon in the exact spot where it was hit. But there is evidence the plane just flew over the Pentagon and explosives inside the building did the damage.

## **False Flag Terror**

Most people are tricked into following the N.W.O. plan even though they are good people. It is important to remind ourselves that the N.W.O. is a group of people separate from the nations of our world who have infiltrated us and who are trying to build what they call a N.W.O. where they are in control of every single thing on Earth. Their agents are operating in every country so don't forget that most people are tricked into following their N.W.O. plan even though they are good people.

A false flag attack is a tactic used by the N.W.O. to frame people for something they didn't do. Then the N.W.O. will use the lie of the false flag they created to justify their actions. It's a deception. People almost always get hurt or killed or their rights are violated by the false flag and the N.W.O. gets closer to completing their plan.

The false flags committed by the N.W.O. are complex. The people involved are divided into different levels. Only the people at the top level who are part of the N.W.O. know what is really happening and the people at the lower levels who are committing the

false flag are told lies to make them think that they have to frame someone to stop some bigger problem from happening. Then after the false flag is committed the public is told yet another story and that final story will help the N.W.O. reach their goals.

## Who Uses False Flags

Many governments use false flags. The whole world is a stage and we are the audience and the N.W.O. is the director.

Robert David Steele is a former American intelligence officer who wrote a book about false flag terrorism. This is a very short list of his experience:

https://robertdavidsteele.com/about-sav/history/experience/

## • Central Intelligence Agency

- Operations Officer (1979-1988)
- Top performer (top 10% in class, Mid-Career Course 101 (War College))
- Created first *Standard Operating Procedures for a (Clandestine) Field Station*
- United States Marine Corps (1976-1996)
  - Created Service General Defense Intelligence Program (1985-1988)
  - Created Marine Corps Intelligence Center/Command (1988-1992)

In one of his books this is what Robert David Steele had to say about false flags:

False Flag AttacksA Tool of the Deep StatePublished June 7th 2017 by Earth Intelligence NetworkKindle Edition, 114 pagesAuthor(s): Robert David Steele VivasASIN: B071JTFK17I am quite certain Manchester was a false flag attack approved by British Prime MinisterTheresa May.

<u>I believe the next false flag attack in the USA will be a fake pandemic followed by a</u> <u>mass vaccination program</u> intended to both weaken a large number of citizens, and kill off a disproportionate number of white Alt-Right activists. The evil incarnate in the Deep State cannot be over-stated. <u>(Published June 7th 2017!)</u>

A false flag event is one in which the alleged perpetrators, their motives, and the outcome are fabricated. It generally includes very real dead people and often includes very real terrorists using very real bombs and bullets, but the entire script, the enabling logistics, and the prepared narrative that quickly follow are designed to meet the needs of the "deep state" and its financial masters – both of which are very international in nature, with no national loyalties to speak of....for the "deep state" a few French casualties are no different than, say, a few Palestinian casualties. The origin of the false flag concept is traced to naval warfare, where ships could achieve a form of tactical surprise in the open ocean by flying the flag of the enemy they planned to attack once close enough to do some unexpected damage.

Here is another quote from former U.S. intelligence officer Robert David Steele:

https://www.goodreads.com/quotes/9504119-most-terrorists-are-falseflag-terrorists-or-are-created-by

"<u>Most terrorists are false flag terrorists, or are created by our own security</u> <u>services</u>. In the United States, every single terrorist incident we have had has been a false flag, or has been an informant pushed on by the FBI. In fact, <u>we now have</u> <u>citizens taking out restraining orders against FBI informants</u> that are trying to incite terrorism. We've become a lunatic asylum."

Here are more quotes from people in positions of power about terrorism.

https://www.scoop.co.nz/stories/HL0604/S00259/nsa-director-williamodom-dissects-iraq-blunders.htm

NSA Director William Odom Dissects Iraq Blunders

Friday, 21 April 2006, 11:45 am Michael Hammerschlag

The head and special agent in charge of the FBI's Los Angeles office said that most terror attacks are committed by the CIA and FBI as false flags. Similarly, the director of the National Security Agency under Ronald Reagan – Lt. General William Odom said: By any measure the US has long used terrorism. In '78-79 the Senate was trying to pass a law against international terrorism – in every version they produced, the lawyers said the US would be in violation

https://conspiracyanalyst.org/2015/09/11/never-forget-your-countryadmits-to-false-flag-terror/

Never Forget ... Your Country Admits to False Flag Terror September 11, 2015 ConspiracyAnalyst.org

**Leaders throughout history have acknowledged the "benefits" of false flags** to justify their political agenda:

"<u>Terrorism is the best political weapon</u> for nothing drives people harder than a fear of sudden death".

Adolf Hitler

"Why of course the people don't want war ... But after all it is the leaders of the country who determine the policy, and it is always a simple matter to drag the people along, whether it is a democracy, or a fascist dictatorship, or a parliament, or a communist dictatorship ... Voice or no voice, the people can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy. All you have to do is to tell them they are being attacked, and denounce the pacifists for lack of patriotism and exposing the country to danger. It works the same in any country."

– Hermann Goering, Nazi leader.

"The easiest way to gain control of a population is to carry out acts of terror. [The public] will <u>clamor for such laws if their personal security is threatened</u>". – Josef Stalin

#### https://conspiracyanalyst.org/2015/09/11/never-forget-your-countryadmits-to-false-flag-terror/

## Never Forget ... Your Country Admits to False Flag Terror

*September 11, 2015* 

ConspiracyAnalyst.org

The highly-respected writer for the Telegraph Ambrose Evans-Pritchard says that the head of Saudi intelligence – Prince Bandar – recently admitted that **<u>the Saudi</u> government controls "Chechen" terrorists:** 

'Prince Bandar went on to say that Chechens operating in Syria were a pressure tool that could be switched on an off. "These groups do not scare us. We use them in the face of the Syrian regime but they will have no role in Syria's political future." '

## Real Examples of False Flag Terror

In this video you will see the government of Egypt planning to interfere in Ethiopia's national affairs because Egypt is opposed to their project to build a dam across part of the Nile River. Egypt says that their countries water supply is at risk but they have two coast lines with the red sea and the Mediterranean Sea. Egypt has all the water they need even if they have to remove the salt first. It is an example of crating problems that don't need to exist. Use the link below to view the video.

## https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2-gyeLkTb3Q Egypt: President, politicians plotting against Ethiopia's dam [English subtitle]

In the following instances, officials in the government which carried out the attack (or seriously proposed an attack) admit to it, either orally, in writing, or through photographs or videos.

## All of the following examples are from this article:

## https://conspiracyanalyst.org/2015/09/11/never-forget-your-countryadmits-to-false-flag-terror/ <u>Never Forget ... Your Country Admits to False Flag Terror</u> <u>September 11, 2015</u> <u>ConspiracyAnalyst.org</u>

## Manchurian Incident

Japanese troops set off a small explosion on a train track in 1931, and falsely blamed it on China in order to justify an invasion of Manchuria. This is known as the "Mukden Incident" or the "Manchurian Incident". The Tokyo International Military Tribunal found: "Several of the participators in the plan, including Hashimoto [a high-ranking Japanese army officer], have on various occasions admitted their part in the plot and have stated that the object of the 'Incident' was to afford an excuse for the occupation of Manchuria by the Kwantung Army

## Gleiwitz Incident

A major with the Nazi SS admitted at the Nuremberg trials that – under orders from the chief of the Gestapo – he and some other Nazi operatives faked attacks on their own people and resources which they blamed on the Poles, to justify the invasion of Poland.

## Reistag Fire

Nazi general Franz Halder also testified at the Nuremberg trials that Nazi leader Hermann Goering admitted to setting fire to the German parliament building in 1933, and then falsely blaming the communists for the arson.

## Winter War

Soviet leader Nikita Khrushchev admitted in writing that the Soviet Union's Red Army shelled the Russian village of Mainila in 1939 – while blaming the attack on Finland – as a basis for launching the "Winter War" against Finland. Russian president Boris Yeltsin agreed that Russia had been the aggressor in the Winter War.

#### Joseph Stalin Ordered His Secret Police to Execute 22,000 Polish Army Officers

The Russian Parliament, current Russian president Putin and former Soviet leader Gorbachev all admit that Soviet leader Joseph Stalin ordered his secret police to execute 22,000 Polish army officers and civilians in 1940, and then falsely blamed it on the Nazis.

#### **Operation Embarrass**

The British government admits that – between 1946 and 1948 – it bombed 5 ships carrying Jews attempting to flee the Holocaust to seek safety in Palestine, set up a fake group called "Defenders of Arab Palestine", and then had the psuedo-group falsely claim responsibility for the bombings.

#### Lavon Affair

Israel admits that in 1954, an Israeli terrorist cell operating in Egypt planted bombs in several buildings, including U.S. diplomatic facilities, then left behind "evidence" implicating the Arabs as the culprits (one of the bombs detonated prematurely, allowing the Egyptians to identify the bombers, and several of the Israelis later confessed).

## CIA Overthrew Iran

The CIA admits that it hired Iranians in the 1950's to pose as Communists and stage bombings in Iran in order to turn the country against its democratically-elected prime minister

## 1955 Bombing On a Turkish Consulate in Greece

The Turkish Prime Minister admitted that the Turkish government carried out the 1955 bombing on a Turkish consulate in Greece – also damaging the nearby birthplace of the founder of modern Turkey – and blamed it on Greece, for the purpose of inciting and justifying anti-Greek violence

## Eisenhower Doctrine

The British Prime Minister admitted to his defense secretary that he and American president Dwight Eisenhower approved a plan in 1957 to carry out attacks in Syria and blame it on the Syrian government as a way to effect regime change Operation Gladio

The former Italian Prime Minister, an Italian judge, and the former head of Italian counterintelligence admit that NATO, with the help of the Pentagon and CIA, carried out terror bombings in Italy and other European countries in the 1950s and blamed the

communists, in order to rally people's support for their governments in Europe in their fight against communism. As one participant in this formerly-secret program stated:

"You had to attack civilians, people, women, children, innocent people, unknown people far removed from any political game. The reason was quite simple. They were supposed to force these people, the Italian public, to turn to the state to ask for greater security."

Italy and other European countries subject to the terror campaign had joined NATO before the bombings occurred. They also allegedly carried out terror attacks in France, Belgium, Denmark, Germany, Greece, the Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, the UK, and other countries.

False flag attacks carried out pursuant to this program include – by way of example only:

- The murder of the Turkish Prime Minister (1960)
- Bombings in Portugal (1966)
- The Piazza Fontana massacre in Italy (1969)
- Terror attacks in Turkey (1971)
- The Peteano bombing in Italy (1972)
- Shootings in Brescia, Italy and a bombing on an Italian train (1974)
- Shootings in Istanbul, Turkey (1977)
- The Atocha massacre in Madrid, Spain (1977)
- The abduction and murder of the Italian Prime Minister (1978)
- The bombing of the Bologna railway station in Italy (1980)
- Shooting and killing 28 shoppers in Brabant county, Belgium (1985)

#### Northwoods

In 1960, American Senator George Smathers suggested that the U.S. launch "a false attack made on Guantanamo Bay which would give us the excuse of actually fomenting a fight which would then give us the excuse to go in and [overthrow Castro]"

As admitted by the U.S. government, recently declassified documents show that in 1962, the American Joint Chiefs of Staff signed off on a plan to **blow up AMERICAN airplanes** (using an elaborate plan involving the switching of airplanes), and also to **commit terrorist acts on American soil**, and then to blame it on the Cubans in order to justify an invasion of Cuba. See the following ABC news report; the official documents; and watch this interview with the former Washington Investigative Producer for ABC's World News Tonight with Peter Jennings

## Operation Mongoose

In 1963, the U.S. Department of Defense wrote a paper prormoting attacks on nations within the Organization of American States – such as Trinidad-Tobago or Jamaica – and then falsely blaming them on Cuba

## Gulf Of Tonkin

The NSA admits that it lied about what really happened in the Gulf of Tonkin incident in 1964 ... manipulating data to make it look like North Vietnamese boats fired on a U.S. ship so as to create a false justification for the Vietnam war

## <u>Cointelpro</u>

A U.S. Congressional committee admitted that – as part of its "Cointelpro" campaign – the FBI had used many provocateurs in the 1950s through 1970s to carry out violent acts and falsely blame them on political activists

## Burned Down a Mosque

A top Turkish general admitted that Turkish forces burned down a mosque on Cyprus in the 1970s and blamed it on their enemy. He explained: "In Special War, certain *acts of sabotage are staged and blamed on the enemy* to increase public resistance. We did this on Cyprus; we even burnt down a mosque." In response to the surprised correspondent's incredulous look the general said, "I am giving an example"

## CIA Trained Foreign Police and Troops to Make Booby Traps

A declassified 1973 CIA document reveals a program to train foreign police and troops on how to make booby traps, pretending that they were training them on how to investigate terrorist acts:

The Agency maintains liaison in varying degrees with foreign police/security organizations through its field stations ....

[CIA provides training sessions as follows:]

a. Providing trainees with basic knowledge in the uses of commercial and military demolitions and incendiaries as they may be applied in terrorism and industrial sabotage operations.

b. Introducing the trainees to commercially available materials and home laboratory techniques, likely to he used in the manufacture of explosives and incendiaries by terrorists or saboteurs.

c. Familiarizing the trainees with the concept of target analysis and operational planning that a saboteur or terrorist must employ.

d. Introducing the trainees to booby trapping devices and techniques giving practical experience with both manufactured and improvised devices through actual fabrication.

The program provides the trainees with ample opportunity to develop basic familiarity and use proficiently through handling, preparing and applying the various explosive charges, incendiary agents, terrorist devices and sabotage techniques

## Frame Gaddafi as a Terrorist Supporter

A Mossad agent admits that, in 1984, Mossad planted a radio transmitter in Gaddaffi's compound in Tripoli, Libya which broadcast fake terrorist trasmissions recorded by Mossad, in order to frame Gaddaffi as a terrorist supporter. Ronald Reagan bombed Libya immediately thereafter.

## CIA's "Dirty Wars"

The United States Army's 1994 publication *Special Forces Foreign Internal Defense Tactics Techniques and Procedures for Special Forces* – updated in 2004 – recommends employing terrorists and using false flag operations to destabilize leftist regimes in Latin America. False flag terrorist attacks were carried out in Latin America and other regions as part of the CIA's "Dirty Wars".

## CIA "Psychological Operations" Manual

Similarly, a CIA "psychological operations" manual prepared by a CIA contractor for the Nicaraguan Contra rebels noted the value of assassinating someone on your own side to create a "martyr" for the cause. The manual was authenticated by the U.S. government. The manual received so much publicity from Associated Press, Washington Post and other news coverage that – during the 1984 presidential debate – President Reagan was confronted with the following question on national television:

At this moment, we are confronted with the extraordinary story of a CIA guerrilla manual for the anti-Sandinista contras whom we are backing, which advocates not only assassinations of Sandinistas but the **hiring of criminals to assassinate the guerrillas** we are supporting in order to create martyrs

## KGB Blew Up Apartment Buildings

Senior Russian Senior military and intelligence officers admit that the KGB blew up Russian apartment buildings in 1999 and falsely blamed it on Chechens, in order to justify an invasion of Chechnya.

#### A Fake Macedonia Terror Tale That Led To Deaths

As reported by BBC, the New York Times, and Associated Press, Macedonian officials admit that the government murdered 7 innocent immigrants in cold blood and pretended that they were AI Qaeda soldiers attempting to assassinate Macedonian police, in order to join the "war on terror"

#### Fake Stabbing Of a Police Officer at the G8

At the July 2001 G8 Summit in Genoa, Italy, black-clad thugs were videotaped getting out of police cars, and were seen by an Italian MP carrying "iron bars inside the police station". Subsequently, senior police officials in Genoa subsequently admitted that police planted two Molotov cocktails and faked the stabbing of a police officer at the G8 Summit, in order to justify a violent crackdown against protesters

## <u>Iraq War</u>

Although the FBI now admits that the 2001 anthrax attacks were carried out by one or more U.S. government scientists, a senior FBI official says that the FBI was actually *told* to blame the Anthrax attacks on AI Qaeda by White House officials (remember what the anthrax letters looked like). Government officials also confirm that the white House tried to link the anthrax to Iraq as a justification for regime change in that country

#### Indonesian Military Killed American Teachers

According to the Washington Post, Indonesian police admit that the Indonesian military killed American teachers in Papua in 2002 and blamed the murders on a Papuan separatist group in order to get that group listed as a terrorist organization

#### Weapons Planted On This Protester

Police outside of a 2003 European Union summit in Greece were filmed planting Molotov cocktails on a peaceful protester

## Warranties Wire Taps and Torture

Former Department of Justice lawyer John Yoo suggested in 2005 that the US should go on the offensive against al-Qaeda, having "our intelligence agencies create a **false terrorist organization**. It could have its own websites, recruitment centers, training camps, and fundraising operations. It could **launch fake terrorist operations** and claim credit for real terrorist strikes, helping to sow confusion within al-Qaeda's ranks, causing operatives to doubt others' identities and to question the validity of communications."

## **Operation Glass Houses**

Undercover Israeli soldiers admitted in 2005 to throwing stones at other Israeli soldiers so they could blame it on Palestinians, as an excuse to crack down on peaceful protests by the Palestinians

#### Quebec Police at Montebello

Quebec police admitted that, in 2007, thugs carrying rocks to a peaceful protest were actually undercover Quebec police officers.

#### A 2008 Us Army Special Operations Field Manual

A 2008 US Army special operations field manual recommends that the U.S. military use surrogate non-state groups such as "paramilitary forces, individuals, businesses, foreign political organizations, resistant or insurgent organizations, expatriates, **transnational terrorism adversaries, disillusioned transnational terrorism members**, black marketers, and other social or political 'undesirables.'" The manual specifically acknowledged that U.S. special operations can involve both counterterrorism and "Terrorism" (as well as "transnational criminal activities, including narco-trafficking, illicit arms-dealing, and illegal financial transactions.")

#### Agent Provocateur

The former head of Secret Services and Head of State of Italy (Francesco Cossiga) advised the 2008 minister in charge of the police, on how to deal with protests from teachers and students:

He should do what I did when I was Minister of the Interior ... infiltrate the movement with agents provocateurs inclined to do anything .... And after that, with the strength of the gained population consent, ... beat them for blood and beat for blood also those teachers that incite them. Especially the teachers. Not the elderly, of course, but the girl teachers yes

#### G20 Police 'Used Undercover Men to Incite Crowds' Police

At the G20 protests in London in 2009, a British member of parliament saw plain clothes police officers attempting to incite the crowd to violence

#### Colombian Army Civilian Murders

A Colombian army colonel has admitted that his unit murdered 57 civilians, then dressed them in uniforms and claimed they were rebels killed in combat.

## The USS Maine

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NoG7YNk31bg James Perloff the Shadows of Power; the CFR and decline of America Youtube user: TEXAS LIBERTY ADVOCATE NETWORK ARCHIVE

In 1891 a newly built American battleship named the USS Maine sailed into Havana Harbor and a few days later there was an explosion that caused it to sink. 266 crew members died. Headlines started casting suspicion onto the Spanish for causing the explosion with a mine or torpedo. Because of that sunken ship, America went to war with Spain and their battle cry was "remember the Maine". In 2002, the Discovery Channel produced a documentary called, <u>Unsolved</u> <u>History: death of the USS Maine</u> where they reconstructed part of the hull of the Maine. They Used the exact same type of steel, the exact same type of rivets and they consulted the original blueprints and an identical sister ship of the main that was still afloat.

The model hull was tested with different explosions and the results were compared to photographs of the blown up main that was raised and photographed in the past.

They determined that there was no doubt that the Maine was sunk by an internal explosion and not a mine or torpedo. An external explosion creates a totally different effect on the hull.

America went to war over an incorrect decision. There is more to this story and considering all the other well know false flags, we will just end with the conclusion that America went to war over a wrong decision. It is worth mentioning this event because we know how often false flags are used by people in the government who are part of the N.W.O. and because it might be a false flag.

## The Sinking Of the Lusitania

## Was It Really A False Flag?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NoG7YNk31bg James Perloff the Shadows of Power; the CFR and decline of America Youtube user: TEXAS LIBERTY ADVOCATE NETWORK ARCHIVE Patrick Beesly is a former officer of british naval intelligence who considered the world leading authority in british naval intelligence. Mr. beesly wrote a book titled, Room 40: British Naval Intelligence, 1914-18 Hardcover – 7 Oct. 1982, where he writes: "I am reluctantly driven to the conclusion that there was a conspiracy to put the Lusitania at risk in the hopes that even an abortive attack on her would bring the United States into the War."

Before the Lusitania was sunk, Winston Churchill was head of the British Admiralty which is the command of the British royal navy.

#### https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php? title=First\_Lord\_of\_the\_Admiralty&oldid=1014194476

## First Lord of the Admiralty & orald=10.

First Lord of the Admi

25 March 2021

The First Lord of the Admiralty, or formally the Office of the First Lord of the Admiralty, was **the political head of the Royal Navy** who was the government's senior adviser on all naval affairs and responsible for the direction and control of Admiralty as well as general administration of the Naval Service of the United Kingdom.

It would appear that the N.W.O. was looking for the false flag that would get them the outcome that they wanted which was causing America to enter the war.

#### https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NoG7YNk31bg

James Perloff The Shadows of Power; the CFR and decline of America Youtube user: TEXAS LIBERTY ADVOCATE NETWORK ARCHIVE Time: 12.45

While winston churchill was head of the admiralty he ordered a study to determine what the political outcome would be **if a british ship with american passengers was sunk** and there were almost 200 americans on the Lusitania.

https://winstonchurchill.hillsdale.edu/sinking-Lusitania/ <u>Sinking "Lusitania": A Long-Lived Conspiracy Theory</u> *By THE CHURCHILL PROJECT September 24, 2020* It is also known that <u>Churchill hoped</u> <u>German attacks on merchant shipping</u> would <u>bring America into the war</u>.

During the day before the ship was attacked and on the evening of the attack, American President Woodrow Wilson's top advisor, Colonel Edward Mandell House, met with Sir Edward Grey, who was the British Foreign Secretary. During the evening of the attack Colonel House also met with King George V, who was King of the United Kingdom and Lord Mersey, who was the Wreck Commissioner what would later oversee investigation of the sinking of the Lusitania and who also investigated the sinking of the Titanic. Their conversations sound like they were making sure their plan was on track and ready to execute. Everyone who was in a high level and key position was present at the meeting.

# https://thenewamerican.com/the-sinking-of-the-Lusitania/

## The Sinking of the Lusitania

## The New American, by Kurt Hyde

On Friday morning Edward Mandell House, President Wilson's alter ego, was preparing for an audience with King George V, a meeting that hinted of finalizing a plan to sacrifice the Lusitania in order to draw the United States into the war. As Simpson described it, House met first with <u>Sir Edward Grey, who asked him, "What will America do if the</u> <u>Germans sink an ocean liner with American passengers on board?" House</u> replied, "I believe that a flame of indignation would sweep the United States and that by itself would be sufficient to carry us into the war."

King George V, when he met with House later that day, was more specific, asking, "Colonel, what will America do if the Germans sink the Lusitania?" Apparently House spent the whole day and into the evening with the British elites, as James Perloff reported in "False Flag at Sea — Lusitania":

At evening, a splendid dinner was given honoring House; numerous British dignitaries attended, including Grey, and —<u>at House's request</u>—<u>Lord Mersey, the Wreck</u>. <u>Commissioner who would later oversee the inquiry regarding the Lusitania.</u> During this dinner the news arrived of the great ship's sinking. House announced to the assembled guests that America would enter the war within the month.

## Why Sink The Lusitania?

The Lusitania was sunk to create an excuse for America to enter World War 1 because going to war requires an act of congress to be passed and there has to be a good reason for that to happen. Why would anyone want America to enter World War 1? First, as always, you have to put this event into the proper perspective by considering why the N.W.O. would want this to happen and how they would benefit. There were many goals that the N.W.O. were trying achieve with the war and three of those goals were to set up communism in Russia, set up a League Of Nations and to lay the foundation for the state of Israel. With one of their goals being a world government that would supposedly end all future wars, there would certainly have to be a world war because a regional war would not make people believe a world body was needed. Therefore America was needed in the war. But there are always several reasons because the N.W.O. plan is very complex and they multitask.

Two of the people connected to the sinking of the Lusitania were important parts of the League of Nations. President Woodrow Wilson was the lead architect of the League and Sir Edward Grey was a big supporter of the League.

## https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?

title=Edward Grey, 1st Viscount Grey of Fallodon&oldid=1015313558 Edward Grev, 1st Viscount Grev of Fallodon

wikipedia 1 March 2021

....Sir Edward Grey He was a leading British supporter of theLeague of Nations.

https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php? title=League of Nations&oldid=1016594706 League of Nations wikipedia 8 April 2021 In 1919 U.S. president Woodrow Wilson won the Nobel Peace Prize for his role as the leading architect of the League.

https://books.google.ca/books?id=8FGfGQAACAAJ&source=gbs similarbooks Andrew Carrington Hitchcock The Synagogue of Satan RiverCrest Pub., 2007 ISBN: 1930004451, 9781930004450 1917

As per the Rothschild promise to the British, to take America into the war, they decide they want something in writing from the British to prove that they will uphold their side of the bargain. The British Foreign Secretary, Arthur James Balfour, a Jew, therefore drafts a letter which is commonly known as the, "Balfour Declaration," which is reprinted below. "Foreign Office November 2nd, 1917 Dear Lord Rothschild, I have much pleasure in conveying to you, on behalf of His Majesty's Government, the following declaration of sympathy with Jewish Zionist aspirations which has been submitted to. and approved by, the Cabinet. His Majesty's Government view with favour the establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people, and will use their best endeavours to facilitate the achievement of this object, it being clearly understood that nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights

of existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine, or the rights and political status enjoyed by Jews in any other country. I should be grateful if you would bring this declaration to the knowledge of the Zionist Federation. Yours sincerely, Arthur James Balfour."

#### <u>1919</u>

On January 18th, the Versailles peace conference commences, to decide reparations that the Germans are required to pay to the victors following the end of World War 1. A delegation of 117 Jews headed up by Ashkenazi Jew, Bernard Baruch (who would go on to state to a select committee of the United States Congress, "I probably had more power than perhaps any other man did in the war, doubtless that is true,") bring up the subject of the promise of Palestine for them. At this point the Germans realised why America had turned on them and under whose influence, the Rothschilds'.

Finally, the Rothschilds' also use the Versailles peace conference to set up their second overt attempt at world government, which they promote under the pretext of ending all wars (which they of course create). They call this the, "**League of Nations**."

## Setting Up the Lusitania before the Attack

Without careful preparation the Lusitania would never have been attacked by Germany. Let's look at the way the N.W.O. members manipulated the situation to create the conditions where the Lusitania could be attacked. Remember that the N.W.O. has members on all sides and that they play their parts very well because they have to make all the soldiers and sailors and citizens of the countries at war believe what they are seeing is real and not planned. We know there are N.W.O. people on the American and British side of this event because of their actions but the people in power on the German side could have easily and even likely played a role in helping America into the war, bringing about the results which benefit the N.W.O.

Let's first look at the actions of the German sailors at the beginning of the war. The sailors are almost certainly not N.W.O. members. And then we will go through the steps taken to set up the Lusitania to be attacked.

## Rules on What Ships to Attack

#### https://thenewamerican.com/the-sinking-of-the-Lusitania/ The Sinking of the Lusitania

## The New American, by Kurt Hyde

At first, **German U-boats were obedient to the Cruiser Rules of naval warfare.** Unarmed passenger ships were not to be sunk. Unarmed cargo ships of belligerent nations could be sunk or towed away as a prize of war once the crew was **allowed to radio a distress call for rescue and abandon ship**. The passengers and crew were considered to be in safety in their lifeboats if they were near land or on a neutral vessel. Armed warships or merchant vessels that were a threat to the U-boat could be sunk without warning.

The February 1, 1915 issue of the New York Times had a front-page article in which British civilian sailors from unarmed merchant vessels explained in detail their **experiences of being captured by German U-boats under the Cruiser Rules.** The SS Linda Blanche was sunk by U-31 on January 30, 1915. One of the crewmen related

# what happened once the U-boat surfaced, announced they had been captured, examined the papers, and determined it was an unarmed merchant ship:

The mate [who] was in charge of the boat that passed between the Linda Blanche, and the submarine, said the <u>German officers were jolly chaps</u> and quite <u>young</u>, too. They could <u>speak English well</u>, and they <u>gave the crew half a box of</u> <u>cigars and some cigarettes</u>. One Welshman got <u>a muffler</u>, another a pair of strong suede <u>gloves</u>, and the cabin boy, a little chap of 15, who was made much of because he was in tears, got a cap and a pair of <u>mittens</u> from the submarine crew. He laughed when he got back to land, and was comparatively happy when he went off to his Welsh home by train.

The quartermaster of the SS Ben Cruachan, which was sunk by the U-21 on that same day in a manner similar to that of the SS Linda Blanche, explained how they were treated by the German submariners:

It was about 10:00 o'clock when the submarine was sighted and we should have been in Liverpool at 2 o'clock. When we were held up the crew got into two large lifeboats and two small boats.

The report that our ship was torpedoed is not correct. They blew her up by a bomb which was carried aboard and placed at the stern end. There was a long fuse which ran over the side of the ship and reached almost down to the level of the water. When everybody had got off one of the submarine crew applied a light to it. The quartermaster added:

<u>The Germans were very decent to us. They told us where we could find a</u> <u>trawler fleet.</u> The chief officer of the submarine shook hands with our skipper and said "I am very sorry, Captain, but war is war." When our skipper got off the submarine into the lifeboat the German crew stood at salute and the chief officer shouted, "I hope you will all get picked up before bad weather comes on."

## British Ignore the Rules of War Putting Innocent People at Risk

## Ibid

The British learned early in the war that they had underestimated the capabilities of the German U-boats, and Britain needed an answer to them. One of the early answers was the **Q-boat**. These were merchant ships retrofitted with hidden armaments, or specially designed military ships with panels hiding the armaments making them appear to be merchant vessels. They got the nickname Q-boats (or Q-ships) because their homeport was in Queenstown, Ireland.

One problem with the British Q-boats was that their very existence was a violation of one of the rules of war, the Cruiser Rules, because it blurred the distinction between a warship and a noncombatant merchant vessel. Once it became known that the British had violated the Cruiser Rules by deploying warships disguised as noncombatant merchant ships, Germany was no longer legally bound by the Cruiser Rules to treat merchant ships as unarmed noncombatants.

## Britain Caught Violating the Cruiser Rules

## Ibid

When the U-21 captured the Ben Cruachan, the German submarine crew made a startling discovery: <u>official orders to</u> ships' captains to <u>disobey the Cruiser Rules.</u> Author Colin Simpson in his book Lusitania described it:

On board her first victim, the 3000-ton Ben Cruachan of the Ben Line of Edinburg, she captured a complete set of **Churchill's inflammatory orders**, including the instructions to ram and to fly a neutral flag.

https://winstonchurchill.hillsdale.edu/sinking-Lusitania/ Sinking "Lusitania": A Long-Lived Conspiracy Theory By THE CHURCHILL PROJECT September 24, 2020 It was well known during the First World War that the British were shipping arms on passenger liners.

> <u>Germany Declares War Zone Says Even Neutral Ships Not Safe</u> <u>Neutral American Ships Are Now Possible Targets</u>

The choice of Germany to declare neutral ships possible targets is a suspicious decision considering it plays directly into the hand of sinking the Lusitania and bringing America into the war. It is an example of how the N.W.O. with its members on all sides may possibly work together to achieve their goals. However, the act of ignoring the rules of war and shipping arms on passenger liners is a provocation against the Germans that is difficult to ignore. No matter what happens the N.W.O. are always trying to force humanity into doing something we don't want to do.

#### https://thenewamerican.com/the-sinking-of-the-Lusitania/

#### The Sinking of the Lusitania

#### The New American, by Kurt Hyde

Within days Kaiser Wilhelm II traveled to the naval station at Wilhelmshaven. On February 4, the <u>German Admiralty issued a war zone decree. The next day's New</u> <u>York Times printed much of it on the front page:</u>

The waters around Great Britain and Ireland, including the whole English Channel, are declared a war zone from and after Feb. 18, 1915.

Every enemy **merchant ship found in this war zone will be destroyed**, even if it is impossible to avert dangers which threaten the **crew and passengers**.

Also, **neutral ships in the war zone are in danger, as in consequence of the misuse** of neutral flags, ordered by the British Government on Jan. 31, and in view of the hazards of naval warfare, it cannot always be avoided that attacks meant for enemy ships endanger neutral ships.

Prior to the Lusitania's departure from New York on May 1, 1915 — her last voyage — the **German government issued official warnings** and even paid for **advertisements warning prospective passengers** that the waters around the British Isles were a war zone.

Germany's ill-advised war zone reaction to catching the British red-handed was one of the biggest blunders of World War I. Germany should have used this in psychological warfare. They had the evidence they needed to influence neutral nations to take action against Britain. They could have pointed out the endangerment of 15-yearold cabin boys by ordering noncombatant merchant vessels to attempt to ram U-boats, thereby revoking their status as noncombatants. Instead, the German leaders chose a military reaction that backfired in two ways: It alienated the very people they were trying to influence, and the emotional headlines of war got the front page news while articles of substance, if reported all, were frequently pushed to the back pages.

## Lusitania Is Specifically Made a Target by Name by Making It Known It Is Violating the Cruiser Rules

For the Germans to sink any neutral ship, or any foreign ship that was claimed to have American passengers would not be enough to make the majority of the American public instantly outraged and call for war after hearing the news. If American citizens heard about a foreign ship previously unknown to them, that was not known for carrying American passengers which was sunk by a German u-boat, they would be able to understand the possibility of the ship being accidentally sunk by the Germans because they too were unaware of the ships history and it's carrying of American passengers. Therefore it had to be well known to Germans and Americans that this specific foreign ship, the Lusitania, was known for carrying American passengers. Publishing this news in advance of an attack would make it known to everyone which is what happened.

https://thenewamerican.com/the-sinking-of-the-Lusitania/

## The Sinking of the Lusitania

## The New American, by Kurt Hyde

Within days of the heightened awareness of England's bizarre foreign policy, <u>the British</u> ship Lusitania was observed sailing under an American flag.

The incident was reported to the New York Times by three American citizens who were on board and requested their names not be published. The February 7 front-page article contained Lusitania Captain David Dow's explanation that he changed flags because he had received a warning that U-boats were in the area. Captain Dow defended his actions by saying part of the ship's cargo was mail of neutral nations and some of the passengers were citizens of neutral nations.

## <u>Wilson Sets The Stage For Going To War By Holding Germans Strictly Accountable If</u> <u>They Fire On An American Flagged Ship And Not Discouraging The British From</u> <u>Flying The American Flag On Their Ships</u>

Now President Wilson has to link the sinking of the Lusitania to the reaction of going to war when it is sunk. To do this he tells the Germans that if they sink any American flagged ship even if it is not really an American ship and Americans are killed, the Germans will be held strictly accountable. And then the British and Americans sail a British ship with an American flag carrying American passengers into a known u-boat area of operation. The story gets even worse as you will see in the following paragraphs. But at this point the American citizens have been told by the media and government in advance what will happen when the false flag attack on the Lusitania takes place so their response is more likely to call for war or at least to expect entry into World War 1.

# https://thenewamerican.com/the-sinking-of-the-Lusitania/

## The Sinking of the Lusitania

The New American, by Kurt Hyde

On February 10, under public pressure, President Wilson sent notes to both the British and German governments. His note to the German government is known as the Strict Accountability note. If any German naval commander, assuming a ship flying a U.S. flag is not an American ship, sinks an American ship or takes the life an American, the German government will be held strictly accountable. Wilson's note to the British government soft-pedaled their use of the U.S. flag, essentially giving the British a tacit go-ahead to continue doing it. His note included such phrases as, "reserving for future considerations the legality and propriety of the deceptive use of the flag" and "the occasional use of the flag of a neutral or an enemy ... seems to this Government a very different thing from an explicit sanction by a belligerent Government for its merchant ships generally to fly the flag of a neutral power." It had plenty of double-talk, but no mention of accountability.

Many historians refer frequently to Wilson's Strict Accountability note to Germany while ignoring his parallel memo to England giving the British permission to use the U.S. flag as a cover. Any attempt to understand this incident while studying only one of these memos without the other is using only half of the truth.

## Making Sure There Would Be American Passengers

With all the talk of war and danger regarding sailing across the Atlantic, it's possible no Americans will sail that route or only a tiny number of them will. But with a public assurance of their safety more passengers will board the Lusitania.

## https://thenewamerican.com/the-sinking-of-the-Lusitania/

## The Sinking of the Lusitania

#### The New American, by Kurt Hyde

Prior to the Lusitania's departure from New York on May 1, 1915 — her last voyage — the German government issued official warnings and even paid for advertisements warning prospective passengers that the waters around the British Isles were a war zone. However, Charles Sumner, agent for the Cunard Line, was quoted in a page 3 article in the New York Times published that same day:

"<u>There are now no German cruisers in the Atlantic</u>, and the 'danger zone' does not begin until we reach the British Channel and the Irish Sea. Then one may say there is a general system of convoying British ships. The British Navy is responsible for all British ships, and especially for Cunarders."

"Your speed, too, is a safeguard, is it not?" it was suggested. "Yes; **as for submarines, I have no fear of them whatever**."

# Putting Guncotton on the Ship to Make Sure the Lusitania Sinks and Does It Quickly *ibid*

James Perloff, in "False Flag at Sea — Lusitania," lists among the items illegally on board guncotton, a high explosive used by the British when manufacturing military mines. According to Perloff:

In the U.S. Justice Department's archives is an affidavit signed by Dr. E. W. Ritter von Rettegh, a chemist employed by Captain Guy Gaunt, the British naval attaché in Washington. Ritter von Rettegh stated that Gaunt called him to his office on April 26, 1915, and asked what the effect would be of sea water coming into contact with guncotton. The chemist explained that <u>there were two types of guncotton — trinitro</u> <u>cellulose, which seawater would not affect, and pyroxyline, which sea water could</u> <u>cause to suddenly explode</u>, as a result of chemical changes that he explained in technical detail.

The following day, <u>Gaunt visited the Du Pont munitions plant in Cristfield, New</u> Jersey, and Du Pont thereupon shipped tons of pyroxyline, packaged in burlap, to the Cunard wharf in New York City, where it was loaded onto the Lusitania. It quite evidently accounts for the item on the ship's manifest of 3,813 40-pound containers of "cheese," which were shipped along with 696 containers of "butter." That these packages were not butter and cheese is clear: they were not shipped in refrigerated compartments; their destination was listed as the Royal Navy's Weapons Testing Establishment; and no one filed an insurance claim for the lost "butter and cheese."

it was a violation of the intent of the Passenger Act of 1882 for a ship coming into or departing from a U.S. port to have both passengers and explosive cargo on board.

There Was Known Submarine Activity in the Area

The Lusitania was sailed into harms way to be attacked.

https://winstonchurchill.hillsdale.edu/sinking-Lusitania/ Sinking "Lusitania": A Long-Lived Conspiracy Theory By THE CHURCHILL PROJECT September 24, 2020 Indeed the Lusitania had been warned of submarine activity in the area.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NoG7YNk3lbg James Perloff The Shadows of Power; the CFR and decline of America Youtube user: TEXAS LIBERTY ADVOCATE NETWORK ARCHIVE The **British had broken the Germans naval codes** and knew the submarine named u-20 was there because it sunk two ships already.

## Taking the Escort Away

Now the Lusitania is undefended and the u-boat is safe and can fire carefully at will.

https://thenewamerican.com/the-sinking-of-the-Lusitania/ The Sinking of the Lusitania

The New American, by Kurt Hyde

Shortly after noon on 5 May the Admiralty signaled the Juno to <u>abandon her escort</u> <u>mission and return</u> to Queenstown. She was to travel south-east overnight so as to clear the Fastnet by some 50 miles and under cover of darkness. <u>The Lusitania was</u> <u>not informed that she was now alone</u>, and closing every minute to the U-20..... the Lusitania proceeded, <u>unaware that the Juno, her appointed escort, had been</u> <u>recalled</u>.

## Making the Target Easier To Hit

The Lusitania traveled slower than it could have because it was ordered to arrive later than it could have.

https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?
title=Sinking\_of\_the\_RMS\_Lusitania&oldid=1011735554

Sinking of the RMS Lusitania

wikipedia 2 March 2021

Although he might have achieved 21 knots and had given orders to raise steam ready to do so, he was also <u>under orders to time his arrival at Liverpool for high tide</u> so that the ship would not have to wait to enter port. <u>Thus, he chose to travel more slowly.</u>

## The Attack

Only one torpedo was fired but there were two explosions and the second explosion was far bigger than what one torpedo could ever produce alone.

## https://thenewamerican.com/the-sinking-of-the-Lusitania/

## The Sinking of the Lusitania

The New American, by Kurt Hyde

When the U-20 encountered the unescorted Lusitania, the U-boat <u>fired one torpedo</u> at the Lusitania. The explosion from the torpedo penetrated the hull and did some damage. But the <u>damage done by the torpedo</u> <u>was nothing</u> when <u>compared to the second</u> <u>blast</u>. It was a massive explosion from within the Lusitania. The Lusitania sank 18 minutes later.

Survivor and director of a ship building company said only one torpedo hit the Lusitania.

ibid

Gauntlett, obviously a man who retained his senses during a crisis and had a keen eye for observing details despite the traumatic experience, also stated definitely that <u>only</u> <u>one torpedo hit the Lusitania</u>.

The U-Boat Captain Said He Only Fired One Torpedo.

https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?

title=Sinking\_of\_the\_RMS\_Lusitania&oldid=1011735554

Sinking of the RMS Lusitania

wikipedia 2 March 2021

In Schwieger's own words, recorded in the log of U-20:

Torpedo hits starboard side right behind the bridge. <u>An unusually heavy detonation</u> <u>takes place with a very strong explosive cloud. The explosion of the torpedo must</u> <u>have been followed by a second one [boiler or coal or powder?]</u>... The ship stops immediately and heels over to starboardvery quickly, immersing simultaneously at the bow... the name Lusitania becomes visible ingolden letters.

## Making Sure Americans Died

https://thenewamerican.com/the-sinking-of-the-Lusitania/ The Sinking of the Lusitania

The New American, by Kurt Hyde

Suspicions were also raised by the lack of rescue assistance by the British Navy. When the Lusitania transmitted its SOS signal, Vice-Admiral Sir Henry Coke dispatched every ship he had at his disposal including the cruiser Juno, the ship that had been ordered to abandon its convoy responsibilities. But soon after, in a bizarre turn of events, <u>the Juno</u> was ordered back to port by Admiral Lord Fisher without rescuing any

passengers. Simpson gives this account:

Admiral Oliver received the signal shortly before 3 p.m. and at once took it to Fisher, who seemed to take the news calmly. It was not until Oliver mentioned that the Juno was on her way and would doubtless wireless a full report that Fisher seemed to react. <u>He</u> ordered the Juno to be recalled at once.... The Juno was in sight of the survivors in the water when she received the recall signal and turned back to Queenstown; as

a result almost two hours elapsed before the first rescue ships started picking up survivors.

Ships were held in port to not save people.

#### https://thenewamerican.com/the-sinking-of-the-Lusitania/

The Sinking of the Lusitania

The New American, by Kurt Hyde

"When I was landed in Queenstown after the sinking of the Lusitania," said Mr. Gauntlett, "I was very much surprised to find <u>several torpedo boat destroyers inside</u> <u>the harbor</u> which were under the orders of a superannuated naval officer. I went to this officer asked him why the destroyers had not gone out after getting the S O S call from the Lusitania, and he replied that he was <u>under Admiralty orders not to risk the</u> <u>boats</u>."

The British Navy was supposedly afraid to rescue the survivors of the Lusitania because of a lone German submarine. Gaunlett didn't buy that flimsy excuse. Being a director of a shipbuilding company, <u>he knew a torpedo boat destroyer when he saw one and knew they didn't run from U-boats</u>.

## The Cover Up

Wilson refused an offer from Germany to pay compensation to avoid an international investigation.

## ibid

This note also included <u>a formal offer by the German government to have the</u> <u>Lusitania incident investigated</u> by "an international call on the International Commission of Inquiry, as provided by Article III. of The Hague agreement of Oct. 18, 1907." Germany was willing, if found wrong, to be held responsible for the sinking and pay compensation. Secretary of State William Jennings Bryan was willing to accept the German offer, but <u>President Wilson refused</u>.

An investigation under Article 3 of The Hague agreement in a neutral country could have asked <u>why the British Navy withdrew its convoy ship</u>, the Juno, and then ordered it back to port without rescuing survivors. It could have asked about the butter and cheese. It could have questioned dockworkers to <u>identify the dairy that supplied the dairy</u> <u>products that didn't need refrigeration</u>. It could have asked whether or not the Lusitania had been retrofitted with artillery at Queenstown, making it a Q-boat.

A customs agent was appointed by President Wilson and no questions were asked about the illegal items discovered.

## ibid

Of course, <u>the collector of customs, Dudley Field Malone</u>, who <u>was appointed by</u> <u>President Wilson, didn't question the lack of refrigeration for the dairy products</u>. When reports appeared in the press suspecting the internal explosions were the real cause of the Lusitania's sinking, President Wilson ordered Robert Lansing to find out if there was any contraband on board. Colin Simpson related the happenings: Lansing had a detailed report in writing from Malone by noon, which stated that <u>"practically all her cargo was contraband of some kind"</u> and listed <u>great quantities</u> of munitions. Nevertheless, Lansing and Wilson were the first to realize that if it became public that over 100 American lives had been lost because of the Administration's lax interpretation of neutrality, it would be most unlikely for them to survive the inevitable political holocaust.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NoG7YNk31bg James Perloff The Shadows of Power; the CFR and decline of America Youtube user: TEXAS LIBERTY ADVOCATE NETWORK ARCHIVE Time: 14.15 At a hearing into what caused the sinking of the Lusitania only a fake cargo manifest was allowed to be shown and president wilson ordered the real cargo manifest hidden in the archive of the us treasury.

#### The United States Finally Enters the War

The United States didn't enter the war right after the Lusitania was sunk. There was more help from the Rothschild's owned media by demonizing the Germans after the Lusitania was sunk. The Rothschild's were also busy as members of the N.W.O. even in the years before the Lusitania was attacked by taking over the media in the United States to control what was printed so they could influence the people and government of the United States.

Even Germany seemed to try to provoke America into the war by minting a commemorative medallion of the sinking of the Lusitania and offering support to Mexico if it went to war with America.

https://books.google.ca/books?id=8FGfGQAACAAJ&source=gbs\_similarbooks Andrew Carrington Hitchcock The Synagogue of Satan RiverCrest Pub., 2007 ISBN: 1930004451, 9781930004450 1917 United States Congressman, Oscar Callaway, informs Congress that J. P. Morgan is a

**Rothschild front** and has taken control of the American media industry. He states, "In March, 1915, the J.P. Morgan interests, the steel, shipbuilding, and powder interest, and their subsidiary organizations, **got together twelve men high up in the newspaper world and employed them to select the most influential newspapers in the United States and sufficient number of them to control generally the policy of the daily press**... They found it was only necessary to purchase the control of 25 of the greatest papers... An agreement was reached. The policy of the papers was bought, to be paid for by the month, an editor was furnished for each paper to properly supervise and edit information regarding the questions of preparedness, militarism, financial policies, and other things of national and international nature considered vital to the interests of the purchasers."

https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?
title=Zimmermann\_Telegram&oldid=1017430131
The Zimmermann Telegram
12 April 2021

<u>The Zimmermann Telegram</u> (or Zimmermann Note or Zimmerman Cable) was a secret diplomatic communication issued from the German Foreign Office in January 1917 that **proposed a military alliance between Germany and Mexico if the United States** <u>entered World War I</u> against Germany. Mexico would recover Texas, Arizona, and New Mexico. The telegram was intercepted and decoded by British intelligence. Revelation of the contents enraged Americans, especially after German Foreign Secretary Arthur Zimmermann publicly admitted on March 3 that the telegram was genuine. <u>It helped to</u> generate support for the American declaration of war on Germany in April.

#### <u>Pearl Harbor</u>

Here is a message from Admiral Yamamoto to the Japanese first air fleet, on November 25, 1941. This message was intercepted by America and was decoded and understood by people in positions of power in the American military and government. There is absolutely no doubt that the attack on Pearl Harbor was known at least ten days in advance. But it is much worse than just ten days in advance as you will see in the following paragraphs.

http://archive.org/details/dayofdeceittruth00stin\_0 <u>DAY OF DECEIT: THE TRUTH ABOUT F.D.R. AND PEARL HARBOR</u> *Author: ROBERT B. STINNETT ISBN 0-684 85339-6 Page 46:* 

<u>Yamamoto broke radio silence</u> and directed the Japanese First Air Fleet to depart Hitokappu Bay on November 26, advance into Hawaiian waters through the North Pacific, and attack the United States Fleet in Hawaii. He even provided the latitude and longitude for portions of Route 2.

#### In his first dispatch he wrote:

The task force, keeping its movement strictly secret, shall leave hitokappu bay on the morning of 26th november and <u>advance to 42° n. X 170° e</u>. On the <u>afternoon of</u> <u>3 december</u> and speedily complete refueling.

The task force, keeping its movement strictly secret and maintaining close guard against submarines and aircraft, shall advance into hawaiian waters, and upon the very opening of hostilities <u>shall attack the main force of the united</u> <u>states fleet in hawaii and deal it a mortal blow.</u> The first air raid is planned for the dawn of x-day. Exact date to be given by later order.

Upon completion of the air raid, the task force, keeping close coordination and guarding against the enemy's counterattack, shall speedily leave the enemy waters and then return to japan.

Should the negotiations with the united states prove successful, the task force shall hold itself in readiness forthwith to return and reassemble.

Pearl Harbor was a carefully planned attack and it was not just planned by the Japanese. The American government also very carefully planned and carried out the attack. Don't ask yourself why the American government would do such a thing. Ask yourself why the N.W.O. would do it because it was the N.W.O. who did it using their agents who were working inside the American government.

Here is what the report of the Navy Court Of Inquiry said:

#### http://www.ibiblio.org/pha/pha/navy/navy\_0.html#9 <u>REPORT OF NAVY COURT OF INQUIRY</u> Page 230 There, therefore, can be no question that between the dates of December 4 and December 6, <u>the imminence of war on the following Saturday and Sunday</u>, <u>December 6 and 7, was clear-cut and definite</u>.

## ....<u>Up the morning of December 7, 1941, everything that the Japanese were planning to do was known to the United States</u>

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NoG7YNk3lbg James Perloff The Shadows of Power; the CFR and decline of America Youtube user: TEXAS LIBERTY ADVOCATE NETWORK ARCHIVE President roosivelt ordered that the report of navy court of inquiry results be quashed and held top secret in the interest of securing the war effort.

The attack on Pearl Harbor was just one step of a much larger plan for completely taking over the world. At this time the N.W.O. was trying to use America to introduce the United Nations and they were also trying to spread communism into China. Another very important goal of the N.W.O. at this time was to create the state of Israel and to cause mass emigration of Jews to this new nation.

In this Pearl Harbor topic we will look at how the N.W.O. agents in the American government helped plan and carryout the attack on Pearl Harbor which lead to America entering World War Two.

#### Their Cover Story 'Reasoning' For the Attack

Not everyone involved in this N.W.O. operation to bring America into World War Two was part of the N.W.O. But even if they were, they may not have been given the full plan for security reasons. The N.W.O. uses compartmentalization to make sure their members only know as much as they need to know. That way if anyone decides to reveal the N.W.O. they can't give away too much information.

So what was the reason president Roosevelt and some of the others in the N.W.O. used to convince a small group of people to go along with them? They said that America had to join WW2 to make sure that Britain didn't loose or else Germany would spread and become powerful enough to defeat the United States.

https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/McCollum\_memorandum Memorandum for the Director: Estimate of the Situation in the Pacific and Recommendations for Action by the United States. 1940 Arthur H. McCollum wikisource.org 15 February 2017 In the Pacific, Japan by virtue of her alliance with Germany and Italy is a definite threat to the security of the British Empire and once the British Empire is gone <u>the power of</u> Japan-Germany and Italy is to be directed against the United States.

http://archive.org/details/dayofdeceittruth00stin\_0 DAY OF DECEIT: THE TRUTH ABOUT F.D.R. AND PEARL HARBOR Author: ROBERT B. STINNETT ISBN 0-684 85339-6

Page 8:

Few people in America's government or military knew as much about Japan's activities and intentions as Lieutenant Commander Arthur H. McCollum. <u>He felt that war with</u> <u>Japan was inevitable and that the United States should provoke it at a time which suited US interests</u>. In his October 1940 memorandum <u>McCollum advocated eight</u> <u>actions that he predicted would lead to a Japanese attack on the United States</u>.

McCollum's eight-action memo was dated October 7, 1940, and was addressed and forwarded to <u>two of Roosevelt's most trusted military advisors: Navy captains</u> <u>Waller S. Anderson and Dudley W. Knox</u>. Anderson was the Director of the Office of Naval Intelligence and had direct White House access to FDR. Knox was a naval strategist and chief of the ONI library. He served as mentor to Admiral Ernest J. King,

#### F.D.R. And McCollum Developed a Strategy to Provoke the Japanese

How does the N.W.O. make Japan attack the United States while making it look like it was something that happened naturally? This plan started over a year before the attack on Pearl Harbor.

http://archive.org/details/dayofdeceittruth00stin\_0 <u>DAY OF DECEIT: THE TRUTH ABOUT F.D.R. AND PEARL HARBOR</u> *Author: ROBERT B. STINNETT ISBN 0-684 85339-6* 

#### Page 46:

in October 1940 FDR adopted a specific strategy to incite Japan to commit an overt act of war. Part of the strategy was to move America's Pacific fleet out of California and anchor it in Pearl Harbor. Admiral James Richardson, the commander of the Pacific fleet, strongly opposed keeping the ships in harm's way in Hawaii. He expressed this to Roosevelt, and so the President relieved him of his command. Later Richardson quoted Roosevelt as saying: "Sooner or later the Japanese will commit an overt act against the United States and the nation will be willing to enter the war."

#### Page 6

Japan, Germany and Italy threatened countries in three continents, a memorandum circulated in Washington. Originating in the Office of Naval Intelligence and addressed to two of FDR's most trusted advisors, <u>it suggested a shocking new American foreign</u> <u>policy. It called for provoking Japan into an oven act of war against the United</u> <u>States</u>. It was written by Lieutenant Commander Arthur H. McCollum, head of the Far East desk of the Office of Naval Intelligence (ONI)

#### Page 7

Lieutenant Commander McCollum's five-page memorandum of October 1940 (hereafter referred to as the eight-action memo) pull forward a startling plan—a plan intended to engineer a situation that would mobilize a reluctant America into joining Britain's struggle against the German armed forces then overrunning Europe. <u>Its eight actions called for virtually inciting a Japanese attack on American ground, air, and naval forces in Hawaii,</u> as well as on British and Dutch colonial outposts in the Pacific region.

#### Page 8:

## In his October 1940 memorandum McCollum advocated eight actions that he predicted would lead to a Japanese attack on the United States.

A. Make an arrangement with Britain for the use of British bases in the Pacific, particularly Singapore.

B. Make an arrangement with Holland for the use of base facilities and acquisition of supplies in the Dutch East Indies [now Indonesia].

C. Give all possible aid to the Chinese government of Chiang Kai-shek.

D. Send a division of long-range heavy cruisers to the Orient, Philippines, or Singapore.

E. Send two divisions of submarines to the Orient.

F. Keep the main strength of the US Fleet, now in the Pacific, in the vicinity of the Hawaiian Islands.

G. Insist that the Dutch refuse to grant Japanese demands for undue economic concessions, particularly oil.

H. Completely embargo all trade with Japan, in collaboration with a similar embargo imposed by the British Empire.4

President Franklin D. Roosevelt knew of the plan to provoke Japan. He was part of the conspiracy from the beginning. In an interview with Robert B. Stinnett who wrote the book <u>Day of Deceit: The Truth about F.D.R. And Pearl Harbor</u>, the author explains that there is conclusive evidence that FDR knew about and supported the plan.

https://www.independent.org/issues/article.asp?id=408

Do Freedom of Information Act Files Prove FDR Had Foreknowledge of Pearl Harbor? | <u>Robert B. Stinnett</u> *The Independent Institute March 11, 2002 An Interview with Robert B. Stinnett by Douglas Cirignano* 

**Stinnett:** That is correct, and that is what Commander McCollum said. He said, "If you adopt these policies then Japan will commit an overt act of war."

Is there any proof that FDR saw McCollum's memorandum?

Stinnett: There's no proof that he actually saw the memorandum, but <u>he adopted all</u> eight of the provocations—including where he signed executive orders...And other information in Navy files offers conclusive evidence that he did see it.

#### The N.W.O. Plants the Pacific Fleet at Pearl Harbor as Bait for the Japanese

https://thenewamerican.com/pearl-harbor-hawaii-was-surprised-fdr-wasnot/

<u>Pearl Harbor: Hawaii Was Surprised; FDR Was Not</u> by James Perloff The New American December 7, 2020

The bait offered Japan was our Pacific Fleet. In 1940, Admiral J.O. Richardson, the fleet's commander, flew to Washington to protest [president] FDR's decision to permanently base the fleet in Hawaii instead of its normal berthing on the U.S. West Coast. The admiral had sound reasons: Pearl Harbor was vulnerable to attack, being approachable from any direction; it could not be effectively rigged with nets and baffles to defend against torpedo planes; and in Hawaii it would be hard to supply and train crews for his undermanned vessels. Pearl Harbor also lacked adequate fuel supplies and dry docks, and keeping men far from their families would create morale problems. The argument became heated. Said Richardson: "I came away with the impression that, despite his spoken word, the President was fully determined to put the United States into the war if Great Britain could hold out until he was reelected."

**Richardson was quickly relieved of command**. Replacing him was Admiral Husband E. Kimmel. Kimmel also informed Roosevelt of Pearl Harbor's deficiencies, but accepted placement there, trusting that Washington would notify him of any intelligence pointing to attack. This proved to be misplaced trust. As Washington watched Japan preparing to assault Pearl Harbor, Admiral Kimmel, as well as his Army counterpart in Hawaii, General Walter C. Short, were completely sealed off from the information pipeline.

#### Japanese Naval and Diplomatic Codes Were Broken

When a military breaks the codes of another military they want to keep the enemy from changing their codes so they don't have to break them again. The military has to be careful not to act in a way that would make their enemy ask: "why would they do that? Do they know what we are planning? Did they break our codes?" if the enemy became suspicious they would change their codes just to be careful.

However, the reason to break the enemy codes is to save the lives of your soldiers. There is always a way to act that would not let your enemy know you have the codes. But don't forget, the World War was a planned event created by the N.W.O. The N.W.O. wanted dead Americans and lots of damaged equipment to anger the public into going to war.

Thomas Dewey, an American, found out that the codes were broken and Thomas wanted to tell the public that the attack on Pearl Harbor could have been avoided.

General Marshal convinced Thomas not to tell because the war was not over and it would cause the Japanese to change their codes. General Marshal was also the person who delayed sending the warning by fifteen hours to Pearl Harbor on the day of the attack. Do Freedom of Information Act Files Prove FDR Had Foreknowledge of Pearl Harbor? | Robert B. Stinnett

https://www.independent.org/issues/article.asp?id=408 The Independent Institute

March 11, 2002

#### An Interview with Robert B. Stinnett by Douglas Cirignano

When Thomas Dewey was running for president against Roosevelt in 1944 he found out about America's ability to intercept Japan's radio messages, and thought this knowledge would enable him to defeat the popular FDR. In the fall of that year, Dewey planned a series of speeches charging FDR with foreknowledge of the attack. Ultimately, General **George Marshall, then Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, persuaded Dewey not to make the speeches**. Japan's naval leaders did not realize America had cracked their codes, and Dewey's speeches could have sacrificed America's code-breaking advantage. So, Dewey said nothing, and in November FDR was elected president for the fourth time.

Do Freedom of Information Act Files Prove FDR Had Foreknowledge of Pearl Harbor? | Robert B. Stinnett https://www.independent.org/issues/article.asp?id=408 The Independent Institute March 11, 2002 An Interview with Robert B. Stinnett by Douglas Cirignano

Newly released naval records prove that <u>from November 17 to 25 the United States</u> <u>Navy intercepted eighty-three messages</u> that Yamamoto sent to his carriers.

They knew for twenty years what Japan was doing.

Do Freedom of Information Act Files Prove FDR Had Foreknowledge of Pearl Harbor? | Robert B. Stinnett https://www.independent.org/issues/article.asp?id=408 The Independent Institute March 11, 2002

March 11, 2002

An Interview with Robert B. Stinnett by Douglas Cirignano

The "**splendid arrangement**" was a phrase that FDR's military leaders used to describe America's situation in the Pacific. Can you explain what the "splendid arrangement" was?

Stinnett: <u>The "splendid arrangement" was the system of twenty-two monitoring</u> <u>stations in the Pacific</u> that were operated by the United States, Britain, and the Dutch. These extended along the west coast of the United States, up to Alaska, then down to Southeast Asia, and into the Central Pacific.

*These radio monitoring stations allowed us to* **<u>intercept and read all of Japan's</u>** <u>messages</u>, *right*?

**Stinnett:** Absolutely. <u>We had Japan wired for sound.</u> You claim that the "splendid arrangement" was so adept that <u>ever since the 1920's</u> <u>Washington always knew what Japan's government was doing</u>. So to assert that we didn't know the Japanese were going to bomb Pearl Harbor would be illogical? **Stinnett:** That is correct. They knew what the Japanese ships were doing.

https://www.independent.org/issues/article.asp?id=408

Do Freedom of Information Act Files Prove FDR Had Foreknowledge of Pearl Harbor? | Robert B. Stinnett The Independent Institute March 11, 2002 An Interview with Robert B. Stinnett by Douglas Cirignano And all these other <u>messages that the Navy was constantly intercepting showed</u> <u>exactly where the Japanese ships were</u>, that they were preparing for war, and that

they were heading straight for Hawaii. Right?

**Stinnett:** That's right. Our radio direction finders located the Japanese warships.

http://archive.org/details/dayofdeceittruth00stin\_0

DAY OF DECEIT: THE TRUTH ABOUT F.D.R. AND PEARL HARBOR Author: ROBERT B. STINNETT ISBN 0-684 85339-6 Page 46:

<u>Yamamoto broke radio silence</u> and directed the Japanese First Air Fleet to depart Hitokappu Bay on November 26, advance into Hawaiian waters through the North Pacific, and attack the United States Fleet in Hawaii. <u>He even provided the latitude</u> <u>and longitude for portions of Route 2.</u>

In his first dispatch he wrote:

The task force, keeping its movement strictly secret, shall leave hitokappu bay on the morning of 26th november and <u>advance to 42° n. X 170° e</u>. On the afternoon of 3 december and speedily complete refueling.

The task force, keeping its movement strictly secret and maintaining close guard against submarines and aircraft, shall advance into hawaiian waters, and upon the very opening of hostilities shall <u>attack the main force of the united states fleet in</u> <u>hawaii and deal it a mortal blow</u>. The first air raid is planned for the dawn of x-day. Exact date to be given by later order.

Upon completion of the air raid, the task force, keeping close coordination and guarding against the enemy's counterattack, shall speedily leave the enemy waters and then return to japan.

Should the negotiations with the united states prove successful, the task force shall hold itself in readiness forthwith to return and reassemble.

Roosevelt knew what the intercepts said.

https://www.independent.org/issues/article.asp?id=408

Do Freedom of Information Act Files Prove FDR Had Foreknowledge of Pearl Harbor? | Robert B. Stinnett

The Independent Institute

March 11, 2002

An Interview with Robert B. Stinnett by Douglas Cirignano

early in November 1941 Roosevelt ordered that Japanese raw intercepts be

**<u>delivered directly to him</u>** by his naval aide, Captain Beardall. Sometimes if McCollum felt a message was particularly hot he would deliver it himself to FDR.

You say Roosevelt regularly received copies of these intercepts. How were they delivered to him?

**Stinnett:** By Commander McCollum routing the information to him. They were prepared in monograph form. They called it monograph....<u>it was sent to the President</u> through Commander McCollum who dispatched it through the naval aide to the President.

They all knew the morning of the attack and they let it happen. They also knew by other sources a year in advance as you will see in the paragraphs about prior warnings and of course they planned and helped cause the attack so they knew it was going to happen. Then to make sure the attack wasn't stopped or even resisted, general Marshal withheld the warning for fifteen hours before he delivered it to make sure no one could prepare in time.

https://www.independent.org/issues/article.asp?id=408

Do Freedom of Information Act Files Prove FDR Had Foreknowledge of Pearl Harbor? Robert B. Stinnett The Independent Institute March 11, 2002 An Interview with Robert B. Stinnett by Douglas Cirignano Late on December 6 and in the very early morning hours of December 7 the United States intercepted messages sent to the Japanese ambassador in Washington. These messages were basically a declaration of war-Japan was saying it was breaking off negotiations with America. At those times, General Marshall and President Roosevelt were shown the intercepts. When FDR read them he said, "This means war." When the last intercept was shown to Roosevelt it was still hours before the Pearl Harbor attack. In that last intercept Japan gave the deadline for when it was breaking off relations with the U.S.—the deadline was the exact hour when Pearl Harbor was attacked. FDR and Marshall should have then sent an emergency warning to Admiral Kimmel in Pearl Harbor. But they acted nonchalantly and didn't get a warning to Kimmel.

**Stinnett:** Yes. This is a message sent from the Japanese foreign office to the Japanese ambassador in Washington DC. And in it he directed....it broke off relations with the United States and set a timetable of 1:00 PM on Sunday, December 7, eastern time. *Which was* **the exact time that Pearl Harbor was bombed.** 

**Stinnett:** That's right. So they realized, with all their information, this is it. <u>And then</u> <u>General Marshall, though, sat on the message for about fifteen hours because he</u> <u>didn't want to send...he didn't want to warn the Hawaiian commanders in time</u>....he didn't want them to interfere with the overt act. Eventually they did send it but it didn't arrive until way after the attack.

**<u>Roosevelt saw it too.</u>** They should have sent an emergency warning to Admiral Kimmel in Hawaii, right?

**Stinnett:** That's right. But you see they wanted the successful overt act by Japan. It unified the American people.

Japan had sent a spy to help prepare their attack on Pearl Harbor. The N.W.O. American agents had made the pacific fleet an obvious and easy to hit target and they provoked Japan to attack them so naturally Japan was taking steps to set up their attack through spying and collecting information. But the spy was discovered by the FBI.

#### https://www.independent.org/issues/article.asp?id=408

Do Freedom of Information Act Files Prove FDR Had Foreknowledge of Pearl Harbor? | Robert B. Stinnett

The Independent Institute March 11, 2002

An Interview with Robert B. Stinnett by Douglas Cirignano

Your book claims that in 1941 Japan had a spy residing in the Japanese consulate in Honolulu.

**Stinnett:** Japan secreted <u>this spy—he was a Japanese naval officer</u>—in Honolulu. <u>He</u> <u>arrived there in March 1941</u> under an assumed name, and he was attached to the Japanese consulate there. But when <u>the FBI checked on him</u> they found out he was not listed in the Japanese foreign registry, so they were suspicious immediately. They put a tail on him. And then <u>the spy started filing messages to Japan that we were</u> <u>intercepting</u>. This was in a diplomatic code now. And so the FBI continued to tail him, and so did Naval intelligence.

Naval intelligence, the FBI, and Roosevelt knew this man was spying on the fleet in Pearl Harbor, and they let the espionage go on. The policy of FDR's government then was to look the other way and let Japan prepare itself for attacking us? Stinnett: That's right. That is correct. <u>He was providing a timetable for the attack</u>. The spy was even sending bomb plots of Pearl Harbor?

**Stinnett:** Yes. From March to August he was giving a census of the US Pacific fleet. Then starting in August <u>he started preparing bomb plots of Pearl Harbor, where our</u> <u>ships were anchored</u> and so forth.

And Roosevelt even saw those bomb plots, right? Stinnett: Yes, that is correct.

You claim that twice during the week of December 1 to 6 the spy indicated that Pearl Harbor would be attacked. According to a Japanese commander, the message on December 2 was: "No changes observed by afternoon of 2 December. So far they do not seem to have been alerted." And on the morning of December 6 the message was: "There are no barrage balloons up and there is an opportunity left for a surprise attack against these places." These messages were intercepted by the Navy, right? Did Roosevelt know about these messages?

**Stinnett:** They were intercepted. That is correct. They were sent by RCA communications. And Roosevelt had sent David Sarnoff, who was head of RCA, to Honolulu so that this would facilitate getting these messages even faster. Though we were also intercepting them off the airways, anyway. <u>And on December 2 and on</u> <u>December 6 the spy indicated that Pearl was going to be the target. And the</u> <u>December 2 message was intercepted, decoded, and translated prior to December 5.</u>

You saw the records of those intercepts yourself? **Stinnett:** Yes. I have those.

#### Prior Warnings from Multiple Sources Were Hidden From Pearl Harbor

An operation this large would likely be detected by several means because the military is set up to detect the enemy. The Japanese were detected and Pearl Harbor was a known target and warnings were sent to the people in command at Pearl Harbor. One warning slipped through to Pearl Harbor so Commander McCollum contacted the command there and told them that the warning was nothing more than a rumor.

https://thenewamerican.com/pearl-harbor-hawaii-was-surprised-fdr-wasnot/

Pearl Harbor: Hawaii Was Surprised; FDR Was Not by James Perloff The New American December 7, 2020 However, none of this information was passed to our commanders in Hawaii, Kimmel and Short, with the exception of Ambassador Grew's January warning

Kimmel and Short, with the exception of Ambassador Grew's January warning, a copy of which reached Kimmel on February 1st. To allay any concerns, Lieutenant Commander McCollum — who originated the plan to incite Japan to war — wrote Kimmel: "Naval Intelligence places no credence in these rumors. Furthermore, based on known data regarding the present disposition and deployment of Japanese naval and army forces, no move against Pearl Harbor appears imminent or planned for in the foreseeable future."

1941 also witnessed the following 5 warnings:

On January 27th, our ambassador to Japan, Joseph Grew, sent a message to Washington stating: "The Peruvian Minister has informed a member of my staff that he has heard from many sources, including a Japanese source, that in the event of trouble breaking out between the United States and Japan, <u>the Japanese intended to make a</u> <u>surprise attack against Pearl Harbor with all their strength</u>...."

On November 3rd, still relying on informants, Grew notified Secretary of State Cordell Hull: "War with the United States may come with dramatic and dangerous suddenness." **He sent an even stronger warning on November 17th**.

#### Congressman Martin Dies would write:

Early in 1941 the Dies Committee came into possession of a strategic map which gave clear proof of the intentions of the Japanese to make an assault on Pearl Harbor. The strategic map was prepared by the Japanese Imperial Military Intelligence Department. As soon as I received the document I telephoned Secretary of State Cordell Hull and told him what I had. <u>Secretary Hull directed me not to let anyone know</u> <u>about the map</u> and stated that he would call me as soon as he talked to President Roosevelt. In about an hour he telephoned to say that he had talked to Roosevelt and they agreed that it would be very serious if any information concerning this map reached the news services.... I told him it was a grave responsibility to withhold such vital information from the public. <u>The Secretary assured me that he and Roosevelt</u> considered it essential to national defense.

Dusko Popov was a Yugoslav who worked as a double agent for both Germany and Britain. His true allegiance was to the Allies. In the summer of 1941, the Nazis ordered Popov to Hawaii to make a detailed study of Pearl Harbor and its nearby airfields. The agent deduced that the mission betokened a surprise attack by the Japanese. In August, he fully reported this to the FBI in New York. <u>J. Edgar Hoover later bitterly</u> recalled that he had provided warnings to FDR about Pearl Harbor, but that Roosevelt told him not to pass the information any further and to just leave it in his (the president's) hands.

Kilsoo Haan, of the Sino-Korean People's League, received definite word from the Korean underground that the **Japanese were planning to assault Hawaii "before Christmas.**" In November, after getting nowhere with the State Department, Haan convinced Iowa Senator Guy Gillette of his claim's merit. Gillette briefed <u>the president, who laconically thanked him and said it would be looked into</u>.

In Java, in early December, the Dutch Army decoded a dispatch from Tokyo to its Bangkok embassy, forecasting attacks on four sites including Hawaii. The Dutch passed the information to Brigadier General Elliot Thorpe, the U.S. military observer. Thorpe sent Washington a total of four warnings. The last went to General Marshall's intelligence chief. Thorpe was ordered to send no further messages concerning the matter. The Dutch also had their Washington military attaché, Colonel Weijerman, personally warn General Marshall.

#### Pearl Harbor Not Was Allowed To Defend Itself

To make sure that the Japanese have a successful attack and kill as many Americans as possible and destroy as much equipment as possible, the N.W.O. took steps to make sure that Pearl Harbor would not be able to defend itself to the best of its ability.

They were not allowed to know there was danger.

https://thenewamerican.com/pearl-harbor-hawaii-was-surprised-fdr-wasnot/ Pearl Harbor: Hawaii Was Surprised; FDR Was Not by James Perloff The New American December 7, 2020 However, although three Purple decoding machines were allotted to Britain, none was sent to Pearl Harbor. Intercepts of ciphered messages radioed between Tokyo and its Honolulu consulate had to be forwarded to Washington for decrypting. Thus Kimmel and Short, the Hawaiian commanders, were at the mercy of Washington for feedback. A request for their own decoding machine was rebuffed on the grounds that diplomatic traffic was of insufficient interest to soldiers.

They were not allowed to patrol the entire ocean around them.

#### ibid

During the week before December 7th, naval aircraft searched more than two million square miles of the Pacific — but never saw the Japanese force. This is because Kimmel and Short had only enough planes to survey one-third of the 360-degree arc around them, and <u>intelligence had advised (incorrectly) that they should</u> <u>concentrate on the Southwest.</u>

#### ibid

Radar, too, was insufficient. There were not enough trained surveillance pilots. Many of the reconnaissance craft were old and suffered from a lack of spare parts. <u>The</u> <u>commanders' repeated requests to Washington for additional patrol planes were</u> <u>turned down</u>. Rear Admiral Edward T. Layton, who served at Pearl Harbor, summed it up in his book *And I Was There*: "There was never any hint in any intelligence received by the local command of any Japanese threat to Hawaii. Our air defenses were stripped on orders from the army chief himself. Of the twelve B-17s on the island, <u>only six could</u> <u>be kept in the air by cannibalizing the others for spare parts</u>."

They were not allowed to patrol the sea around them even though they had an entire fleet of ships. They were told to just sit there.

#### ibid

The Navy has traditionally followed the rule that, when international relations are critical, the fleet puts to sea. That is exactly what Admiral Kimmel did. <u>Aware that U.S.-</u> Japanese relations were deteriorating, he sent 46 warships safely into the North <u>Pacific</u> in late November 1941 — without notifying Washington. He even ordered the fleet to conduct a mock air raid on Pearl Harbor, clairvoyantly selecting the same launch site Admiral Yamamoto chose two weeks later.

When the White House learned of Kimmel's move it countermanded his orders and ordered all ships returned to dock, using the dubious excuse that Kimmel's action might provoke the Japanese. Washington knew that if the two fleets met at sea, and engaged each other, there might be questions about who fired the first shot.

Pearl Harbor was told to protect itself from sabotage which put their planes at very high risk from the Japanese bombers. Sabotage was very unlikely but bombing was imminent.

#### ibid

<u>"Continental districts, Guam, Samoa take measures against sabotage.</u>" The message further stated that "measures should be carried out so as not repeat not to alarm civil population." Both commanders reported the actions taken to Washington. <u>Short followed through with sabotage precautions, bunching his planes together</u> (which hinders saboteurs but makes ideal targets for bombers), and Kimmel stepped up air surveillance and sub searches. If their response to the "war warning" was insufficient, Washington said nothing. <u>The next day, a follow-up message</u> from Marshall's adjutant general to Short warned only: "Initiate forthwith all additional measures necessary to provide for protection of your establishments, property, and equipment against sabotage, protection of your personnel against subversive propaganda and protection of all activities against espionage."

#### Kimmel and Short Are Set Up As Patsies for the Attack

#### ibid

It was clear, of course, that once disaster struck Pearl Harbor, there would be demands for accountability. Washington seemed to artfully take this into account by sending an ambiguous "war warning" to <u>Kimmel</u>, and a similar one to <u>Short</u>, on

## November 27th. This has been used for years by Washington apologists to allege that the commanders should have been ready for the Japanese.

Indeed, the message began conspicuously: "This dispatch is to be considered a war warning." But it went on to state: "The number and equipment of Japanese troops and the organizations of naval task forces indicates an amphibious expedition against the Philippines, Thai or Kra Peninsula, or possibly Borneo." <u>None of these areas was</u> closer than 5,000 miles to Hawaii! No threat to Pearl Harbor was hinted at.

#### Pacific Cleared Of Shipping

ibid

On November 25th, approximately <u>one hour after the Japanese attack force left port</u> for Hawaii, the U.S. Navy issued an order forbidding U.S. and Allied shipping to travel via the North Pacific. All transpacific shipping was rerouted through the South Pacific. This order was even applied to Russian ships docked on the American west coast. The purpose is easy to fathom. If any commercial ship accidentally stumbled on the Japanese task force, it might alert Pearl Harbor. As Rear Admiral Richmond K. Turner, the Navy's War Plans officer in 1941, frankly stated: <u>"We were prepared to</u> divert traffic when we believed war was imminent. We sent the traffic down via the Torres Strait, so that the track of the Japanese task force would be clear of any traffic."

#### **Gulf Of Tonkin Attack**

President Lyndon Baines Johnson was always looking to go to war with Vietnam. The gulf of Tonkin resolution was written before the Gulf of Tonkin attack took place.

https://spartacus-educational.com/USAjohnsonLB.htm Lyndon Baines Johnson John Simkin spartacus-educational.com September 1997 (updated October 2020) "Just let me get elected," he told his military advisers, "and <u>then you can have your</u> war"

https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?
title=Gulf\_of\_Tonkin\_incident&oldid=1024010278
Gulf of Tonkin incident

In February 1964, **Walt Whitman Rostow, the director of the State Department's Policy Planning Staff pointed out a major constitutional problem** with the plans to commit American forces to Vietnam, noting under the American constitution **only Congress had the power to declare war**......By late May 1964, a rough draft of the resolution that was to become the Gulf of Tonkin resolution had been completed by Bundy which if passed by Congress would give Johnson the legal power to use force to defend any nation in Southeast Asia threatened by "Communist aggression or subversion". **By the beginning of June 1964, the final draft of the resolution had been completed and all that remained to do was to submit it to Congress.** 

## https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php? title=Gulf\_of\_Tonkin\_incident&oldid=1024010278 Gulf of Tonkin incident

The National Security Agency originally claimed that another sea battle, the Second Gulf of Tonkin incident, occurred on August 4, 1964, but instead evidence was found of "Tonkin ghosts" (false radar images) and not actual North Vietnamese torpedo boats. In the 2003 documentary The Fog of War, the former <u>United States Secretary of Defense</u> <u>Robert S. McNamara admitted</u> that the August 2 USS Maddox attack happened with no Defense Department response, but <u>the August 4 Gulf of Tonkin attack never</u> <u>happened.</u> In 1995, McNamara met with former Vietnam People's Army General Võ Nguyên Giáp to ask what happened on August 4, 1964, in the second Gulf of Tonkin Incident. "Absolutely nothing", Giáp replied. Giáp claimed that <u>the attack had been</u> <u>imaginary</u>.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HODxnUrFX6k Gulf of Tonkin: McNamara admits It didn't happen. Youtube user: compelled2283 Time: 3:28 McNamara: "It didn't happen."

How did that happen? The USA supposedly so great and moral but couldn't figure out their ship was not attacked by torpedo boats. How does a navy or a President make a mistake like that?

James Stockdale was an admiral who wrote a book about his experience as a pilot during the alleged second attack on august 4, 1964, and he said in his book that there was no torpedo boat there.

https://archive.org/details/inlovewarstoryof00stoc In love and war : the story of a family's ordeal and sacrifice during the Vietnam years By Stockdale, James B; Published September 1st 1985 by Bantam Books

ISBN 0553253166 (ISBN13: 9780553253160)

Page -32-

"Damned if I know," I said. "Its really a flap. The guy on the Maddox Air Control radio was giving blow-by-blow accounts just like he did on Sunday. Turning left, turning right, torpedoes to the right of us, torpedoes to the left of us— boom, boom, boom! I got right down there and shot at whatever they were shooting at. <u>I came around toward the destroyers once, right on the deck, chasing some imaginary PT boat they said was running up behind them,</u>

Page -33-

<u>"did you see any boats?"</u>

"Not a one. No boats, no boat wakes, no ricochets off boats, no boat gunfire, no torpedo wakes — nothing but black sea and American firepower. But for goodness' sake, I must be going crazy. How could all of that commotion have built up out there without something being behind it?"

#### **USS Liberty**

During Israel's six day war with Egypt, Jordan, Iraq, Syria and Lebanon, the USS Liberty was the target of an attack by Israel. The American ship was attacked by Israel on June 8 1967 killing 34 and wounding 173 American servicemen. The war started because Egypt was going to block Israel's ships from using the Straits of Tiran. As usual the three main divisions of the world are fighting with each other and they are the communists, Islam and the Judeo-Christians.

This attack on the USS Liberty has the characteristics of a false flag attack. Why else would Israel use unmarked aircraft?

https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/The\_Moorer\_Report

The Moorer Report

Admiral Thomas H. Moorer, United States Navy, (Ret.) Former Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff et. al.

Capitol Hill, Washington, D.C. October 22, 2003

2. That the Israeli air attack lasted approximately 25 minutes, during which time **unmarked Israeli aircraft** dropped napalm canisters on USS Liberty's bridge, and fired 30mm cannons and rockets into our ship

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XWYSmBOwloc USS Liberty: Dead in the Water (2002) time:20.15 Source Films production for BBC FOUR

**no markings were on the planes** even though crew of liberty made a special effort to identify the attackers but could not find markings on the planes. All transmitting antenas were destroyed and deck was napalmed. Looked like an effort to stop outgoing transmissions and keep people off the deck so they couldnt repair the antenna to call for help.

Why Would Israel Stage an Attack on the USS Liberty

Why would Israel stage an attack on the USS Liberty? One reason would be to give the United States a reason to enter their war. We can say for certain Israel intended to attack and sink the ship as you will see in the paragraphs below. But why would Israel want America to enter the war? Maybe to have a larger war that would result in Israel taking the Strait of Tiran away from Egypt which would give Israel a powerful strategic hold on the access to the Gulf of Suez and the Gulf of Aqaba making Israel an even greater power in that region.

#### The Set Up Of the USS Liberty

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XWYSmBOwloc USS Liberty: Dead in the Water (2002) time:11.00 Source Films production for BBC FOUR

Before the war started, <u>meir amit, the chief of Israeli secret service</u> 1963-68 visited with robert mcNamara, the US secretary of defense, 1961-68, and <u>told McNamara that</u> <u>the israelies wanted the americans to stop the russians from entering the war</u> <u>zone and then support isreal after the war</u> but were not asking for americans to be involved in the fight directly against egypt.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XWYSmBOwloc USS Liberty: Dead in the Water (2002) time:12.30 Source Films production for BBC FOUR McNamara said he and president Johnson and dean rusk, the secretary of state 1961-69, all agreed that if israel called for help from the US to fight, they had to be in position where the American people and congress would support the war. <u>They said if israel</u> <u>started the war the would not get support</u>.

Egypt had told Israel that their ships would be blocked from going through the Straits of Tiran and then started massing troops on its border with Israel which was a provocation but it was Israel that attacked first. Israel lied and said it was Egypt that attacked first but later admitted they did first.

#### https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Six-Day\_War&oldid=1016759897 Six-Day War

#### wikipedia 8 April 2021

In the months prior to June 1967, tensions became dangerously heightened. Israel reiterated its post-1956 position that the closure of the Straits of Tiran to Israeli shipping would be a cause for war (a casus belli).

Egyptian President Gamal Abdel Nasser announced in May that the Straits would be closed to Israeli vessels, and then mobilised Egyptian forces along the border with Israel, ejecting UNEF. **On 5 June, Israel launched a series of airstrikes against Egyptian airfields**, initially claiming that it had been attacked by Egypt, but later stating that the airstrikes were preemptive. The question of which side caused the war is one of a number of controversies relating to the conflict.

The USS Liberty was sent unprotected close to a war-zone.

#### https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XWYSmBOwloc USS Liberty: Dead in the Water (2002) time:15.45 Source Films production for BBC FOUR

The soviets moved twenty war ships into the eastern mediteranian near the war zone and the US sixth fleet was ordered to stay 100 miles away from the coast of egypt. The USS liberty moved close to the coast of egypt and israel in the mediteranian sea and **asked for an armed escort but was told there was no need for armed escort** because they were in international waters flying the American flag.

#### Israel Knew It Was an American Ship and Exactly What Ship It Was

```
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XWYSmBOwloc
USS Liberty: Dead in the Water (2002) time:17.15
Source Films production for BBC FOUR
```

At five o'clock in the morning Israeli planes flew around the USS Liberty to identify it and they knew it was an American ship and its name and had marked its location on their maps. The ship was circled twelve separate times by the Israeli planes. It was flying a large American flag and visibility was perfect that day. The ship received no orders to leave the area.

https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/The\_Moorer\_Report

The Moorer Report

Admiral Thomas H. Moorer, United States Navy, (Ret.) Former Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff et. al.

Capitol Hill, Washington, D.C. October 22, 2003

1. That on June 8, 1967, <u>after eight hours of aerial surveillance</u>, Israel launched a two-hour air and naval attack against USS *Liberty*, the world's most sophisticated intelligence ship, inflicting 34 dead and 173 wounded American servicemen (a casualty rate of seventy percent, in a crew of 294);

https://www.baltimoresun.com/news/chi-liberty\_tuesoct02-story.html New revelations in attack on American spy ship

*John Crewdson Tribune senior correspondent Oct 02, 2007 at 3:00 AM* Bryce Lockwood, Marine staff sergeant, Russian-language expert, recipient of the Silver Star for heroism, ordained Baptist minister:

"I'm angry! I'm seething with anger! Forty years, and I'm seething with anger!" "I don't believe that for a minute! <u>You just don't shoot at a ship at sea without</u> <u>identifying it, making sure of your target!</u>"

They Wanted Everyone Dead and the Ship Sunk

https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/The\_Moorer\_Report

The Moorer Report

Admiral Thomas H. Moorer, United States Navy, (Ret.) Former Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff et. al.

Capitol Hill, Washington, D.C. October 22, 2003

2. That the Israeli air attack lasted approximately 25 minutes, during which time **unmarked Israeli aircraft** dropped napalm canisters on USS Liberty's bridge, and fired 30mm cannons and rockets into our ship, causing 821 holes, more than 100 of which were rocket-size; survivors estimate 30 or more sorties were flown over the ship by a minimum of 12 attacking **Israeli planes which were jamming all five American emergency radio channels**;

3. That the torpedo boat attack involved not only the firing of torpedoes, but <u>the</u> <u>machine-gunning of Liberty's firefighters and stretcher-bearers as they struggled</u> <u>to save their ship and crew</u>; the Israeli torpedo boats later returned to <u>machine-gun at</u> <u>close range three of the Liberty's life rafts</u> that had been lowered into the water by survivors to rescue the most seriously wounded; 4. That <u>there is compelling evidence that Israel's attack was a deliberate attempt to</u> <u>destroy an American ship and kill her entire crew</u>; evidence of such intent is supported by statements from Secretary of State Dean Rusk, Undersecretary of State George Ball, former CIA director Richard Helms, former NSA directors Lieutenant General William Odom, USA (Ret.), Admiral Bobby Ray Inman, USN (Ret.), and Marshal Carter; former NSA deputy directors Oliver Kirby and Major General John Morrison, USAF (Ret.); and former Ambassador Dwight Porter, U.S. Ambassador to Lebanon in 1967;

5. That in attacking USS Liberty, Israel committed acts of murder against American servicemen and an act of war against the United States;

https://consortiumnews.com/2015/07/04/still-waiting-for-uss-libertystruth/

Still Waiting for USS Liberty's Truth

July 4, 2015 Ray McGovern

Here, for example, is the text of an intercepted Israeli conversation, just one of many pieces of evidence that the Israeli attack was not a mistake

Israeli pilot to ground control: "This is an American ship. Do you still want us to attack?"

Ground control: "Yes, follow orders." ...

Israeli pilot: "But, sir, it's an American ship – <u>I can see the flag!</u>" Ground control: "Never mind; <u>hit it!</u>"

https://www.baltimoresun.com/news/chi-liberty\_tuesoct02-story.html New revelations in attack on American spy ship

*John Crewdson Tribune senior correspondent Oct 02, 2007 at 3:00 AM* Analyst: Israelis wanted it sunk

The transcript published by the Jerusalem Post bore scant resemblance to the one that in 1967 rolled off the teletype machine behind the sealed vault door at Offutt Air Force Base in Omaha, where Steve Forslund worked as an intelligence analyst for the 544th Air Reconnaissance Technical Wing, then the highest-level strategic planning office in the Air Force.

"The ground control station stated that the target was American and for the aircraft to confirm it," Forslund recalled. "The aircraft did confirm the identity of the target as American, by the American flag.

"The ground control station ordered the aircraft to attack and sink the target and ensure they left no survivors."

Forslund said he clearly recalled "the obvious frustration of the controller over the inability of the pilots to sink the target quickly and completely."

"He kept insisting the mission had to sink the target, and was frustrated with the pilots' responses that it didn't sink."

Nor, Forslund said, was he the only member of his unit to have read the transcripts. "Everybody saw these," said Forslund, now retired after 26 years in the military. Forslund's recollections are supported by those of two other Air Force intelligence specialists, working in widely separate locations, who say they also saw the transcripts of the attacking Israeli pilots' communications.

One is James Gotcher, now an attorney in California, who was then serving with the Air Force Security Service's 6924th Security Squadron, an adjunct of the NSA, at Son Tra, Vietnam.

"It was clear that the Israeli aircraft were being vectored directly at USS Liberty," Gotcher recalled in an e-mail. "Later, around the time Liberty got off a distress call, the controllers seemed to panic and urged the aircraft to 'complete the job' and get out of there."

#### Help Was Denied To the Ship

https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/The\_Moorer\_Report

The Moorer Report

Admiral Thomas H. Moorer, United States Navy, (Ret.) Former Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff et. al.

Capitol Hill, Washington, D.C. October 22, 2003

Lieutenant Commander David E. Lewis, USS Liberty's chief intelligence officer (who was severely wounded in the attack) has reported a conversation with Admiral Lawrence R. Geis, the Sixth Fleet carrier division commander, who visited Lewis after he had been medically evacuated by helicopter to the aircraft carrier USS America. According to Lewis, "He (Admiral Geis) said that he wanted somebody to know that we weren't forgotten" attempts HAD been made to come to our assistance. <u>He said that he had launched a flight of aircraft to come to our assistance</u>, and he had then called Washington. <u>Secretary McNamara came on the line and ordered the recall of the aircraft</u>, which he did. Concurrently he said that since he suspected that they were afraid that there might have been nuclear weapons on board, he reconfigured another flight of aircraft - strictly conventional weaponry - and re-launched it. <u>After the second launch, he again called Washington to let them know what was going on. Again, Secretary McNamara ordered the aircraft recalled</u>.

Not understanding why, he requested confirmation of the order; and the next higher in command came on to confirm that <u>"President Johnson...with the instructions that</u> <u>the aircraft were to be returned, that he would not have his allies embarrassed, he</u> <u>didn't care who was killed or what was done to the ship</u> "words" to that effect. With that, Admiral Geis swore me to secrecy for his lifetime. I had been silent up until I found out from Admiral Moorer that Admiral Geis had passed away" [transcript from NBC's Liberty Story, aired on national television 1/27/92]. This statement by Commander Lewis has recently been corroborated by Tony Hart, a Navy communications technician stationed at the U.S. Navy Base in Morocco in June, 1967. Mr. Hart connected the telephone conversation between Secretary McNamara and Admiral Geis and stayed on the line to keep them connected. Hart has been recorded as saying that he overheard Admiral Geis refusing McNamara's order to recall the Sixth Fleet rescue aircraft while the ship was under attack. Mr. Hart reported that <u>McNamara responded</u>, <u>"we are not going to war over a bunch of dead sailors."</u>

Here is an interesting point. Both McNamara and President Johnson didn't want to go to war over a bunch of dead sailors as they claim. What they were more likely trying to do was cover up what happened because no one expected the USS Liberty to call for help or even survive after six torpedoes were fired at it. They weren't going to war over an exposed false flag that wouldn't work because there were still witnesses. How do we know this is more likely what they meant? Because three years earlier due to the Gulf of Tonkin incident the same two people went to war with Vietnam over an imaginary attack (another false flag) that didn't even kill any sailors. No attack in the Gulf of Tonkin and no one killed and they went to war. An American ship that was really attacked and people really died but they don't want to go to war. It sounds more like an excuse because they got caught.

## https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gulf\_of\_Tonkin\_incident Gulf of Tonkin incident

#### From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia 3 April 2021

It was originally claimed by the National Security Agency that a Second Gulf of Tonkin incident occurred on August 4, 1964, as another sea battle, but instead, evidence was found of "Tonkin ghosts" (false radar images) and not actual North Vietnamese torpedo boats. In the 2003 documentary The Fog of War, the former United States Secretary of **Defense Robert S. McNamara admitted that the August 2 USS Maddox attack happened with no Defense Department response, but the August 4 Gulf of Tonkin attack never happened**. In 1995, McNamara met with former Vietnam People's Army General Võ Nguyên Giáp to ask what happened on August 4, 1964, in the second Gulf of Tonkin Incident. "Absolutely nothing", Giáp replied. Giáp claimed that the attack had been imaginary.

#### The Cover-Up

The official inquiry was described as "a hasty, superficial, incomplete and totally inadequate inquiry."

https://www.baltimoresun.com/news/chi-liberty\_tuesoct02-story.html New revelations in attack on American spy ship John Crewdson Tribune senior correspondent Oct 02, 2007 at 3:00 AM U.S. inquiry widely criticized

Rather than investigating how and why a U.S. Navy vessel had been attacked by an ally, the Navy seemed interested in asking as few questions as possible and answering them in record time.

Rear Adm. Merlin Staring, the Navy's former judge advocate general, was asked to assess the American inquiry's report before it was sent to Washington. But Staring said it was taken from him when he began to question some aspects of the report. He describes it now as "<u>a hasty, superficial, incomplete and totally inadequate inquiry</u>."

Robert McNamara had nothing significant to say about such a shocking event. His statements sound like someone who doesn't even care. Or possibly someone who doesn't want to reveal any truth.

## https://www.baltimoresun.com/news/chi-liberty\_tuesoct02-story.html New revelations in attack on American spy ship

John Crewdson Tribune senior correspondent Oct 02, 2007 at 3:00 AM McNamara, who is now 91, told the Tribune he has <u>"absolutely no recollection of</u> what I did that day," except that "<u>I have a memory that I didn't know at the time</u> what was going on."

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XWYSmBOwloc USS Liberty: Dead in the Water (2002) time:28.30 Source Films production for BBC FOUR When asked if he recalled the planes McNamara said: 'I'm absolutely certain that's false.'

#### 'i don't know what the hell happened and i havn't taken time to find out.'

Israel said it was all a case of mistaken identity.

https://www.baltimoresun.com/news/chi-liberty\_tuesoct02-story.html New revelations in attack on American spy ship John Crewdson Tribune senior correspondent Oct 02, 2007 at 3:00 AM The Israeli court of inquiry that examined the attack, and absolved the Israeli military of criminal culpability,..... The attack, the court said, had been prompted by a report, which later proved erroneous, that a ship was shelling Israeli-held positions in the Sinai Peninsula. The Liberty had no guns capable of shelling the shore, but the court

concluded that the U.S. ship had been mistakenly identified as the source of the shelling

How can a ship with no guns, except four machine guns to repel enemies trying to climb onto it, fire artillery from miles away at Israeli forces? Over the course of the two hour attack someone should have noticed there were no guns capable of firing artillery shells. Warships have big long guns that are very visible. Artillery shells don't come out of a machine gun.

There are also missing Recordings of the Attack.

https://www.baltimoresun.com/news/chi-liberty\_tuesoct02-story.html
New revelations in attack on American spy ship
John Crewdson
Oct 02, 2007
One set of transcripts apparently survived in the archives of the U.S. Army's intelligence

school, then located at Ft. Holabird in Maryland.

W. Patrick Lang, a retired Army colonel who spent eight years as chief of Middle East intelligence for the Defense Intelligence Agency, said the transcripts were used as "course material" in an advanced class for intelligence officers on the clandestine interception of voice transmissions.

Asked whether the NSA had in fact intercepted the communications of the Israeli pilots who were attacking the Liberty, Kirby, the retired senior NSA official, replied, "We sure did."

On its Web site, the NSA has posted three recordings of Israeli communications made on June 8, 1967. But none of the recordings is of the attack itself.

The three recordings reflect what the NSA describes as "the aftermath" of the attack --Israeli communications with two Israeli helicopters dispatched to rescue any survivors who may have jumped into the water.

Two of the recordings were made by Michael Prostinak, a Hebrew linguist aboard a U.S. Navy EC-121, a lumbering propeller-driven aircraft specially equipped to gather electronic intelligence.

But Prostinak said he was certain that more than three recordings were made that day.

"I can tell you there were more tapes than just the three on the Internet," he said. "No doubt in my mind, more than three tapes."

At least one of the missing tapes, Prostinak said, captured Israeli communications "in which people were not just tranquil or taking care of business as normal. We knew that something was being attacked," Prostinak said. "Everyone we were listening to was excited. You know, it was an actual attack. And during the attack was when mention of the American flag was made."

Prostinak acknowledged that his Hebrew was not good enough to understand every word being said, but that after the mention of the American flag "the attack did continue. We copied [recorded] it until we got completely out of range. We got a great deal of it."

Charles Tiffany, the plane's navigator, remembers hearing Prostinak on the plane's intercom system, shouting, "I got something crazy on UHF," the radio frequency band used by the Israeli Air Force.

"I'll never forget it to this day," said Tiffany, now a retired Florida lawyer. He also remembers hearing the plane's pilot ordering the NSA linguists to "start taping everything."

Prostinak said he and the others aboard the plane had been unaware of the Liberty's presence 15,000 feet below, but had concluded that the Israelis' target must be an American ship. "We knew that something was being attacked," Prostinak said.

# After listening to the three recordings released by the NSA, Prostinak said it was clear from the sequence in which they were numbered that at least two tapes that had once existed were not there.

One tape, designated A1104/A-02, begins at 2:29 p.m. local time, just after the Liberty was hit by the torpedo. Prostinak said there was a preceding tape, A1104/A-01.

That tape likely would have recorded much of the attack, which began with the air assault at 1:56 p.m. Prostinak said a second tape, which preceded one beginning at

3:07 p.m., made by another linguist aboard the same plane, also appeared to be missing.

Another linguist aboard the EC-121, who spoke on condition that he not be named, said he believed there had been as many as "five or six" tapes recording the attack on the Liberty or its aftermath.

Andrea Martino, the NSA's senior media adviser, did not respond to a question about the apparent conflict between the agency's assertion that there were no recordings of the Israeli attack and the recollections of those interviewed for this article.

#### Islamic Terrorism

There are many sides to the story of terrorism. In this topic we will look at modern Islamic terrorism during the time period from 1917 up to our present time.

The N.W.O. push Muslims into violence by harassing them and framing Jews and Christians for the harassment. Then the N.W.O. help the Muslims attack the Jews and Christians and the fighting goes on back and forth which helps the N.W.O. achieve their goals. The N.W.O. have done this before to just about every group of people.

In this topic we will look at how the N.W.O. used the conflicts they created to set up the state of Israel and a world wide Islamic terror network. Over the past century the N.W.O. has slowly taken away our rights and freedoms as the answer to stopping the terrorism they created. That is how they set up their police state around the world and passed their laws that target freedom loving patriots who try to defend their country. The N.W.O. set up intelligence agencies to spy on citizens to find out if anyone is trying to stop them and when they find someone who resists them, that person is called a terrorist and persecuted.

After the N.W.O. set up all their global surveillance and secret spying on innocent citizens because of the September 11, 2001 attacks, the N.W.O. used the covid pandemic to make people accept being tracked and traced. The covid-19 pandemic made people accept giving up their freedom and stopped people from forming any group that could resist or protest the N.W.O. The covid pandemic was the beginning of a new phase of the N.W.O. strategy where the N.W.O. will depopulate the Earth by killing billions of people.

All these steps are leading up to what is called a technocracy where everyone on Earth will be totally controlled. It has already started and it will get worse if the N.W.O. are not stopped.

#### Creating Israel Made the Modern Islamic Terrorists

Now we will look at the steps that the N.W.O. have taken over the time period from 1917 until our present time that created modern Islamic terrorism which helped justify and build the global police state and will lead to their technocracy.

#### The Balfour Declaration

The Rothschild's are part of the N.W.O. but not everyone on the planet with that name are part of it.

Since the turn of the twentieth century the Rothschilds have been setting up the state of Israel. The Rothschild's promised Britain they would bring America into World War 1 to help Britain if the British would promise to give their land in Palestine to the Rothschild's. This agreement was documented in something called the Balfour Declaration. The declaration only created a small section inside of Palestine. It did not give the whole state of Palestine to the Rothschild's.

The Synagogue of Satan: The Secret History of Jewish World Domination

by Andrew Carrington Hitchcock Published March 1st 2007 by Rivercrest Publishing ISBN 1930004451 (ISBN13: 9781930004450) 1916

So, whilst the British are considering Germany's offer, <u>Rothschild agent Louis</u> <u>Brandeis sends a Zionist delegation from America to Britain to promise to bring</u> <u>America into the war on the side of the British, provided the British agree to give</u> <u>the land of Palestine to the Rothschilds'</u>. The Rothschilds' wanted Palestine to protect the great business interests they had in the East. They also desired their own state in that area along with their own military which they could use as an aggressor to any state that threatened those interests.

The British subsequently agree to the deal for Palestine and the Zionists in London contact their counterparts in America and inform them of this fact. Suddenly all the major newspapers in America that up to that point had been pro-German turn on Germany, running propaganda pieces to manipulate the American public against the Germans, such as: German soldiers are killing Red Cross Nurses; and, German soldiers are cutting off babies hands. Interestingly Woodrow Wilson is re-elected President this year, the slogan of his campaign being, "Re-elect the man who will keep your sons out of the war."

1917

As a result of Germany's offer of peace, the Rothschild war machine goes into total overdrive in America, spreading anti-German propaganda throughout the American media which leads to President Wilson under the instructions of the Jewish American Supreme Court Justice, Louis Dembitz Brandeis, reneging on his promise to the electorate and taking America into World War 1 on April 6th. As per the Rothschild promise to the British, to take America into the war, <u>they decide they want something</u> in writing from the British to prove that they will uphold their side of the bargain.

The British Foreign Secretary, Arthur James Balfour, a Jew, therefore drafts a letter which is commonly known as the, "Balfour Declaration," which is reprinted below.

Foreign Office November 2nd, 1917

Dear Lord Rothschild, I have much pleasure in conveying to you, on behalf of His Majesty's Government, the following declaration of sympathy with Jewish Zionist aspirations which has been submitted to, and approved by, the Cabinet. <u>His Majesty's</u> <u>Government view with favour the establishment in Palestine of a national home</u> <u>for the Jewish people</u>, and will use their best endeavours to facilitate the achievement of this object,

it being clearly understood that nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights of existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine, or the rights and political status enjoyed by Jews in any other country. I should be grateful if you would bring this declaration to the knowledge of the Zionist Federation. Yours sincerely, Arthur James Balfour

#### Expanding the State of Israel and Creating the Islamic Terrorists

This was just the beginning. The state of Palestine still existed and needed to be fully taken over to create hatred that would cause Muslims to fight back and also to create a full and complete state of Israel. To do this several steps were taken.

#### Everyone But Jews Must Be Driven Out Of Palestine

The British have to go so that there is no challenge to the power and control of the Black Lodge over Palestine. The Black Lodge are a group of N.W.O. members playing a role as part of the Main N.W.O. strategy. Descriptions of the Black Lodge and the Jewish people can be found in Theosophy. The descriptions found in Theosophy describe what sounds like the Rothschilds family and others including the Axis Powers from the World Wars.

The Synagogue of Satan: The Secret History of Jewish World Domination

by Andrew Carrington Hitchcock Published March 1st 2007 by Rivercrest Publishing ISBN 1930004451 (ISBN13: 9781930004450)

1946 On July 22nd, the future **Prime Minister of Israel, Ashkenazi Jew, David Ben-Gurion**, orders another **future Prime Minister of Israel, Ashkenazi Jew, Menachem Begin**, to carry out a **terrorist attack** on the King David Hotel in Palestine, to try and drive out the British. As a result of this, 91 people are killed, most of them civilians: 41 Arabs; 28 British; 17 Jews; and 5 others. Around 45 people are injured.

When he was asked by prominent journalist, Russell Warren Howe, <u>if he</u> <u>considered himself the father of terrorism in the Middle East, Menachem Begin</u> <u>proudly replied, "No, in the entire whole world."</u>

60 years later on July 22nd, 2006 another future Prime Minister of Israel, Benjamin Netanyahu together with many other Israeli government representatives, dedicate <u>a plaque at the site of this terrorist atrocity, which cites the bombers as</u> <u>freedom fighters to be admired by Israel.</u>

## The White Lodge and Black Lodge Cooperate: The United Nations Gave Palestine to the Jews

The Synagogue of Satan: The Secret History of Jewish World Domination by Andrew Carrington Hitchcock Published March 1st 2007 by Rivercrest Publishing ISBN1930004451 (ISBN13: 9781930004450)

1947

The British who prior to World War 2 declared that there would be no more immigration of Jews to Palestine in order to protect the Palestinians from their acts of terror against both them and British soldiers, transfer control of Palestine to the United Nations.

The United Nations resolve to have Palestine partitioned into two states, one <u>Jewish and one Arab</u>, with Jerusalem to remain as an international zone to be enjoyed by all religious faiths. This transfer is scheduled to take place on May 15th, 1948.

However, just to put into perspective who controls the United Nations (UN), please be aware that the UN had no right to give Arab property to anyone, as indeed even though the Jews owned only 6% of Palestine at that time, resolution 181 granted the Jews 57% of the land leaving the Arabs who at that time had 94% with only 43%.

#### Expanding the State of Israel and Removing Non Jews

Arabs were threatened with death by Israel if they did not leave their lawful homes.

#### ibid

#### 1948

Following the United Nations transfer of Palestine to an independent Jewish state and an independent Arab state on May 15th, <u>the Israelis launch another military</u> <u>assault on the Arabs</u> (today known as Palestinians) with blaring loudspeakers on their trucks informing the Arabs that <u>if they do not flee immediately, they will be</u> <u>slaughtered.</u> 800,000 Arabs with the recent memory of the Deir Yassin massacre at the forefront of their minds, flee in panic. They ask for help from neighbouring Arab states, but those states do not get involved as they are no match for the Israelis whose up to date military hardware had been supplied by the Jewish Stalinist regime in Russia.

Following this series of Jewish genocidal war crimes, <u>the Jews now control</u> <u>78% of the former Palestine as oppose to the 57%</u> that had already been given to them illegally by the Jewish controlled United Nations. <u>The Arabs, many of them</u> <u>Christians</u>, would never be paid compensation for their homes, property and businesses stolen from them during this genocide, and as a result these people end up in slum refugee cities of tents. Furthermore at least half of the Arabs, in a desperate hurry to flee with their lives, leave their birth certificates behind. The State of Israel then pass a law that only those Arabs who are able to prove their citizenship are allowed to return to their land, now known as Israel, which meant these <u>400,000 Arabs could not</u> <u>return and lost all the property they had</u> left there.

#### Setting the Example of Using Terrorism against the Jews

There is no doubt that the N.W.O. is present all over the world including in Britain. They always want to keep their true intentions secret so no one can stop them or expose them. So what you see is not always what it seems to be and the N.W.O. does not leave things to chance and hope that events will simply fall into place. They make events fall into place. They didn't just hope that Muslims would fight back by specifically using terrorism. They started the movement of Muslims using terrorism by leading with an example. The British members of the N.W.O. created a terrorist group and committed terrorist attacks in the name of Palestine to get the movement started. Of course they would claim that they were only trying to help Palestine. Were they trying to help Palestine when they helped start the state of Israel? There is nothing below the N.W.O. They lie, steal, cheat and murder for their own gain.

https://conspiracyanalyst.org/2015/09/11/never-forget-your-countryadmits-to-false-flag-terror/

Never Forget ... Your Country Admits to False Flag Terror September 11, 2015 ConspiracyAnalyst.org

The British government admits that – between 1946 and 1948 – it bombed 5 ships carrying Jews attempting to flee the Holocaust to seek safety in Palestine, set up a fake group called "Defenders of Arab Palestine", and then had the psuedo-group falsely claim responsibility for the bombings.

Creating Anger in Muslims to Make Them Become Terrorists

<u>The Synagogue of Satan: The Secret History of Jewish World Domination</u> by Andrew Carrington Hitchcock Published March 1st 2007 by Rivercrest Publishing ISBN1930004451 (ISBN13: 9781930004450)

1948

In the early hours of April 19th, 132 Jewish terrorists from the Irgun gang, led by **future Israeli Prime Minister Menachem Begin, and the Stern gang, led by future Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir, brutally massacre 200 men, women and children as they are sleeping peacefully in the Arab village of Deir Yassin.** In an effort to prevent outside observers discovering the brutality of their war crimes, they try to burn some of the bodies, but when that proves unsatisfactory, they stuff some in a well to hide them from the Red Cross representatives who arrive on the scene the next day and would subsequently tell the world. Indeed reports from survivors can be found in the, "Report of the Criminal Investigation Division," a Palestine Government Document labelled, No. 179/110/17/GS dated April 13th, 15th, and 16th, 1948, in which British interrogating officer, Assistant Inspector General Richard Catling states,

"The recording of statements is hampered also by the hysterical state of the women, who often break down many times, whilst the statement is being recorded. There is, however, <u>no doubt that many sexual atrocities were committed by the attacking Jews. Many young school girls were raped and later slaughtered. Old women were also molested.</u> One story is current concerning a case in which <u>a young girl was literally torn in two.</u> Many <u>infants were butchered and killed</u>. I also saw one old woman who gave her age as 104, who had been severely beaten about the head

with rifle butts. Women had bracelets torn from their arms and rings from their fingers, and parts of some **women's ears were severed in order to remove ear-rings.**"

History shows that this strategy worked by creating Islamic terrorists. Now Israel would use the threat of Islamic terrorism to make themselves look like a victim and keep increasing the military and police state inside Israel preventing Palestine from ever returning.

#### **Building the International Islamic Terror Network**

By the year 1978 terrorism between Muslims and Jews was well in motion but there wasn't an international network of Islamist terrorists. There were a few one off international attacks before this time but there wasn't an organized and co-coordinated network. The N.W.O. would now create a new international Islamist terrorist network to be used for some specific goals they had for the future.

They also created an organization called the Trilateral Commission in 1973 to start building their global structures like a one world financial system and to start bringing the individual sovereign nations fully under the control of the United Nations by promoting internationalism in business and industry.

One of the final goals of the N.W.O. is to set up a technocracy that is controlled by the 'elite' and by technical experts that will know everything about you and totally control you. This goal requires total surveillance of you. How else will they know everything about you if they can't totally watch you and track you and trace your contacts? This technocracy is for control of the entire Earth but to set up a global surveillance grid there has to be a reason. That reason was the global war on terror and that war required an international Islamist terrorism network to go to war against. Also, Anti-terrorism laws will be used to stop anyone who opposes the N.W.O. by calling them a domestic terrorist if they are trying to save their nation from being taken over by the N.W.O.

The N.W.O. used the three main divisions of people who are the communists, the Muslims and the Judeo-Christians as part of their strategy by creating conflicts between them. It's the same tactic of problem, reaction, solution they use again and again. Over the past forty years from 1978 until our present time, they have accomplished their goals. The global surveillance grid is here and some people are happy to accept it because they are afraid and because they don't know the N.W.O. is real or what they are doing. The COVID-19 pandemic has caused even more people to accept total surveillance and even more aspects of technocracy like total control by unelected technicians.

The next several topics will cover the time period from the 1970's up until our present time and we will look at the steps the N.W.O. have taken using terrorism and globalism to bring themselves closer to their final composition of the N.W.O.

This is how Zbigniew Brzezinski describes what the technocracy will be like in a magazine article from Encounter Magazine that is no longer published. It is worth noting that this quote is often mistakenly attributed to Brzezinski's book between two ages.

https://www.unz.com/print/Encounter-1968jan-00016/ America in the Technetronic Age: New Questions of Our Time Encounter (Magazine), January 1968

Zbigniew Brzezinski pp. 16-26

At the same time, <u>the capacity to assert social and political control over the</u> <u>individual will vastly increase</u>. As I have already noted, it will soon be possible to assert almost <u>continuous surveillance over every citizen and to maintain up-to-</u> <u>date, complete files</u>, containing even most personal information about the health or personal behaviour of the citizen, in addition to more customary data. <u>These files will</u> <u>be subject to instantaneous retrieval by the authorities</u>.

## The Steps to Start Merging the World into a One World System Controlled By the <u>N.W.O.</u>

Before we look at how international terrorism helped set up the global police state surveillance network, we will look at how the N.W.O. also brought nations under the control of their world government.

We will now go through the steps of one smaller piece of the N.W.O. Main strategy. This is a group of steps that are meant to start the change from the world having individual sovereign nations to having all the nations under total control by the United Nations. No one who understands history or has a sense of security would want one government to control the world. Why not? Because what if someone like Hitler or Saddam Hussein is in control of that government with millions of people just like them helping? Those types of people have already killed tens of millions of citizens just because those citizens opposed their views. How did they start this change to bring individual sovereign states under control of a single world government? They will use deception and lies. Here is what Richard Gardner from the Trilateral Commission tells us:

#### https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/1974-04-01/hard-road-world-order The Hard Road to World Order

#### By Richard N. Gardner April 1974

In short, the "house of world order" will have to be built from the bottom up rather than from the top down. It will look like a great "booming, buzzing confusion," to use William James' famous description of reality, but <u>an end run around national</u> <u>sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece</u>, will accomplish much more than the oldfashioned frontal assault. Of course, for political as well as administrative reasons, some of these specialized arrangements should be brought into an appropriate relationship with the <u>central institutions of the U.N. system</u>, but the main thing is that the essential functions be performed.

Who was Richard Gardner? He was a Trilateral Commission member that worked in the American government focusing on various types of internationalism. https://www.amacad.org/person/richard-newton-gardner Richard Newton Gardner

www.amacad.org

- He was also a member of the Trilateral Commission from 1974 to 2005.
- Gardner served in the <u>Kennedy administration...from 1961 to 1965</u>. He was the senior adviser to the U.S. <u>ambassador to the United Nations</u> from 1965 to 1966 and a member of the <u>President's Commission on International Trade</u> and Investment Policy from 1970 to 1971.
- ... member of the <u>State Department's Advisory Committee on International</u> <u>Economic Policy</u>.
- His Research focuses on U.S. foreign policy, with a special emphasis on the <u>United</u> <u>Nations and international organizations</u>, including economic organizations such as the **WTO**, the **World Bank** and the **International Monetary Fund**.

#### Step 1: Get the People in Place to Start Globalism In General

#### The Trilateral Commission

To build the N.W.O., There needs to be groups of people in positions of power that will force the individual sovereign nations to join together. David Rockefeller and Zbigniew Brzezinski founded an organization called the Trilateral Commission in 1973 to start interconnecting some of the nations together through economics and production. The process has to be gradual moving in small steps so no one becomes alarmed or suspicious. But what would be even better would be to make the process sound like it is an improvement over current conditions so people will support the process and even fight for it. Then the N.W.O. would have unknowing co-conspirators helping them. The Trilateral Commission was focused on North America, Western Europe and Japan becoming interconnected and they were also trying to make it sound like a wonderful thing:

http://trilateral.org/download/files/ZBIGNIEW%20BRZEZINSKI.pdf
The Trilateral Commission

Warsaw 2004 Plenary Meeting

Special Address By Founding Director Zbigniew Brzezinski In The Palace Of Culture 9th May 2004

When the Commission was formed some 30 years ago its basic concept – the "doctrine" of trilateralism – was strategically clear-cut: <u>that trilateral cooperation between the</u> <u>three major democratic centers of economic and political power – between North</u> <u>America, Western Europe, and Japan – was the key to global stability and</u> <u>progress</u>; that therefore trans- Atlantic cooperation had to be wedded to trans-Pacific cooperation; and that in the world at large democracy plus prosperity equal influence and power and that such power and influence should be harnessed for the common good, both for the sake of self-interest and of the moral imperative.

Here are two more quotes by members of the Trilateral Commission. First, one of the founders David Rockefeller and then former member Peter Sutherland. David Rockefeller tells us how After the N.W.O. set up the UN in 1945, the idea of internationalism grew in the minds of the generation that grew up over the next thirty years and how it was now time, in the 1970's, to put those people to good use for the N.W.O. as unknowing co-conspirators. He is speaking at the 25th anniversary of the Trilateral Commission.

# http://trilateral.org/download/files/speeches\_25\_anniversary.pdf the Trilateral Commission at 25. between past...and future. speeches and toasts Anniversary Evening December 1, 1998 New york historical society David rockefeller: "Out of curiosity i dug up the speech and i'm going to read to you

several paragraphs, because theis is the real origin of the Trilateral Commission: A whole generation of both sides of the atlantic and pacific has grown up thinking internationally as no generation ever did before them. the future of the alliance rests on their giving voice to what they have learned."

Former member Peter Sutherland tells us at the same anniversary dinner that the Trilateral Commission wants to take away the nations control over themselves so the Trilateral Commission can create an international order. Sovereignty means that a nation has its own government and its own citizens govern themselves. Take America for example, it is a sovereign nation that has a government of elected representatives and no outside country or organization has the right to interfere in that process. If you want to have a say in America's politics, become a citizen and vote or run for office. But the Trilateral Commission wants to take away their independence and interfere with them.

http://trilateral.org/download/files/speeches\_25\_anniversary.pdf the Trilateral Commission at 25. between past...and future. speeches and toasts Anniversary Evening December 1, 1998 New york historical society Peter sutherland: "The bugbear of diminished national sovereighty in the united states is really a serious difficulty in creating an international order.....absolute

sovereignty is not a viable option into the future even for the most powerful state in the world."

This is exactly what the N.W.O. wants to do. They want to build a new international order where they control the nations. The new international order is the N.W.O.

#### The Trilateral Commission Has N.W.O. Members

The Trilateral Commission has N.W.O. members in it. Even the two founders were N.W.O. members. Here are quotes from founders David Rockefeller and Zbigniew Brzezinski about the N.W.O. as well as quotes from one of the first members Henry Kissinger and former member president George Bush Sr.

https://archive.org/details/davidrockefeller00davi/page/405/mode/2up?
q=United

<u>Memoirs</u> by David Rockefeller published October 15th 2002 ISBN 0812969731 (ISBN13: 9780812969733) p. 405

"For more than a century, ideological extremists, at either end of the political spectrum, have seized upon well-publicized incidents, such as my encounter with Castro, to attack the Rockefeller family for the inordinate influence they claim we wield over American political and economic institutions. Some even believe <u>we are part of a secret cabal,</u> <u>working against the best interests of the United States</u>, characterizing my family and me as <u>internationalists</u>, and of conspiring with others around the world to build a <u>more integrated global political and economic structure - one world, if you will. If that's the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it.</u>

Zbigniew Brzezinski says they have to move towards a N.W.O. in small steps for it to be successful.

#### https://www.ourrepubliconline.com/Author/62

Zbigniew Kazimierz Brzezinski

#### Quotes by This Author

"We cannot leap into world government in one quick step.... In brief, the precondition for eventual globalization — genuine globalization — is progressive regionalization, because thereby we move toward larger, more stable, more cooperative units." (Zbigniew Kazimierz Brzezinski, *Gorbachev Summit*, 1995.)

#### Between Two Ages

Zbigniew Brzeziński Published October 28th 1976 by Penguin Books ISBN 0140043144 (ISBN13: 9780140043143)

#### *p*.113

More intensive efforts to shape a <u>new world monetary structure</u> will have to be undertaken,

Henry Kissinger was asked by David Rockefeller to join the Trilateral Commission when it was created and Henry Kissinger is a member of the N.W.O. After the first gulf war in 1991 when Saddam Hussein invaded Kuwait, members of the N.W.O. were publicly calling for a N.W.O. in the media.

#### https://archive.org/details/newsweek-magazine-1991-01-28/page/n71/mode/2up <u>Newsweek Magazine</u> A post war agenda

#### 1991-01-28 Henry A. Kissinger

#### p.44

Over the long run, our biggest challenge will be to **preserve the new balance of power that will emerge from this conflict**. And that will not prove easy, given convention al American thinking about foreign policy. Today, it translates into the notion of "**a new world order**," which would emerge from a set of legal arrangements and be safeguarded by collective security.

President George Bush Sr. called for a N.W.O. in 1991 using the first Gulf War as an example just like Henry Kissinger did. They all claim they want the N.W.O. for peace and prosperity and to fix every problem in the world but as you will see from studying the N.W.O., they are the ones who create the very problems they want to save us from. If the N.W.O. would just leave us alone we could all live in peace and prosperity.

#### https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/politics/1990/09/12/bush-out-ofthese-troubled-times-a-new-world-order/b93b5cf1-e389-4e6a-84b0-85f71bf4c946/

BUSH 'OUT OF THESE TROUBLED TIMES . . . A NEW WORLD ORDER'

A new partnership of nations has begun, and we stand today at a unique and extraordinary moment. The crisis in the Persian Gulf, as grave as it is, also offers a rare opportunity to move toward an historic period of cooperation. Out of these troubled times, our fifth objective -- a new world order -- can emerge: A new era -freer from the threat of terror, stronger in the pursuit of justice and more secure in the quest for peace. An era in which the nations of the world, east and west, north and south, can prosper and live in harmony.

A hundred generations have searched for this elusive path to peace, while a thousand wars raged across the span of human endeavor, and today that new world is struggling to be born. A world quite different from the one we've known. A world where the rule of law supplants the rule of the jungle. A world in which nations recognize the shared responsibility for freedom and justice. A world where the strong respect the rights of the weak.

This is the vision that I shared with President Gorbachev in Helsinki. He and the other leaders from Europe, the gulf and around the world understand that how we manage this crisis today could shape the future for generations to come. 'The Test We Face Is Great'

Once again, Americans have stepped forward to share a tearful goodbye with their families before leaving for a strange and distant shore. At this very moment, they serve together with Arabs, Europeans, Asians and Africans in defense of principle and the dream of a **new world order**.

Zbigniew Brzezinski also said that in 1991 the N.W.O. was starting to emerge and sprout. But he went on to say that it was very early and not strong and even with the UN supporting it there was a lot of work to be done to bring it into its final form.

## http://trilateral.org/download/files/speeches\_25\_anniversary.pdf the Trilateral Commission at 25. between past...and future. speeches and toasts

Anniversary Evening

December 1, 1998

New york historical society

Not quite a decade ago, the cold war came to an end and we all started searching for another formula which would capture the essence of the new situation in which we found ourselves. A phrase emerced which was meant to descrive the fundamental character of the security conditon of the world, and it was the "<u>New World Order." ..... with the implicit notion that the U.N. would shore up the New World Order. ....Of course we quickly discoverd that..... the N.W.O. world order wan't there.</u>

#### The Trilateral Commission Is Very Active In Public Politics for a Private Organization

From the time the Trilateral Commission was created it was active in politics. Because it is a private organization, they are required to give up their membership if they become members of the government but their beliefs and actions don't change. It means nothing if they give up their membership in the commission.

Right after the creation of the Trilateral Commission, the administration of President Jimmy Carter had many Trilateral members in it who gave up their membership which didn't change anything but make them more powerful to fulfill the goals of the N.W.O. This was one of the first steps of the N.W.O. during the part of their plan where they are merging the world together. During the 1970's they are putting their members into proper position and they are getting ready for the next step which is to create the international Islamist terror network that will justify the war on terror and bring in global surveillance to allow them to have a global technocracy.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zbigniew\_Brzezinski#Government

Zbigniew Brzezinski

wikipedia.org

5 May 2021

<u>Jimmy Carter</u> announced his candidacy for the 1976 presidential campaign to a skeptical media and proclaimed himself an <u>"eager student" of Brzezinski. Brzezinski</u> became Carter's principal foreign policy advisor by late 1975.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zbigniew\_Brzezinski#The\_Trilateral\_Commis
sion

Brzezinski co-founded the Trilateral Commission with David Rockefeller, serving as director from 1973 to 1976

https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?
title=Trilateral\_Commission&oldid=953001446

The Trilateral Commission

25 April 2020

Essentially liberal internationalists from Europe, Japan and the United States, the liberal wing of the intellectual elite. <u>That's where Jimmy Carter's whole government came</u> <u>from</u>. [...] [The Trilateral Commission]

The Trilateral Commission is in power and they are helping to build the N.W.O. since their creation 9 out of 12 USA trade representatives, 6 of 8 World Bank presidents, 7 of 12 executive office members and 10 of 17 national security advisors have been members.

Here is a list of some American politicians that were members.

- 1. Henry Kissinger
- 2. Brent Scowcroft
- 3. Jimmy Carter and Vice President Walter Mondale
- 4. Frank Carlucci
- 5. Condoleezza Rice
- 6. Susan Rice
- 7. General James Jones
- 8. Tom Donilon
- 9. George Bush Sr.
- 10. Bill Clinton
- 11. Al Gore
- 12. Dick Cheney And His Wife
- 13. Robert McNamara
- 14. James Wolfenson
- 15. Paul Wolfowitz

#### Step 2: Create the Global Islamist Terror Network

https://www.globalresearch.ca/america-created-al-qaeda-and-the-isisterror-group/5402881

America Created Al-Qaeda and the ISIS Terror Group - Global Research

Garikai Chengu

Global Research, October 28, 2019

Former British Foreign Secretary, Robin Cook, told the House of Commons that Al Qaeda was unquestionably a product of Western intelligence agencies. Mr. Cook explained that Al Qaeda, which literally means an abbreviation of "the database" in Arabic, was originally the computer database of the thousands of Islamist extremists, who were trained by the CIA and funded by the Saudis, in order to defeat the Russians in Afghanistan.

In 1997, a U.S. Department of Defense report stated, "<u>the data show a strong</u> correlation between U.S. involvement abroad and an increase in terrorist attacks against the U.S." Truth is, the only way America can win the "War On Terror" is if it stops giving terrorists the motivation and the resources to attack America.

The Reason for the Global Islamist Terror Network

There has to be a false reason for the N.W.O. to set up this terror network. You must think on a grand scale just like the members of the N.W.O. to understand how they did this. Remember that the N.W.O. created communism and they use it often to reach their goals through the resolutions to their conflicts. We will now see that the resolution to their conflict between Afghanistan, the USSR and America was the creation of an

international Islamist terror network which is their same tactic of problem, reaction solution being used again and again. The global terror network leads to the war on terror which leads to police state and technocracy for the N.W.O.

# The Soviet-Afghan War

In 1978 The People's Democratic Party of Afghanistan (PDPA) seized power in a bloody coup. They were communists with ties to the USSR. Before they took power Afghanistan was a peaceful country.

After the communists took power they murdered thousands of people that were opposed to them which caused a civil war. The citizens of Afghanistan were trying to protect themselves from a brutal evil government. Several nations supported the citizens that were fighting the communist government including America, China, Pakistan and Saudi Arabia. It was communism, a tool of the N.W.O., that started the conflict.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/People%27s\_Democratic\_Party\_of\_Afghanistan People's Democratic Party of Afghanistan

Wikipedia

26 April 2021

The People's Democratic Party of Afghanistan (PDPA) was officially formed at the unity congress of the different factions of the Socialist Party of Afghanistan on 1 January 1965. Twenty-seven men gathered at Taraki's house in Kabul, elected Taraki as the first party Secretary General and Karmal as Deputy Secretary General, and chose a five-member <u>Central Committee (also called a Politburo). Taraki was invited to Moscow</u> by the Communist Party of the Soviet Union's International Department later that year.

The PDPA was known in Afghan society at that time as having strong ties with the Soviet Union. Eventually the PDPA was able to get three of its members into parliament, in the first free elections in Afghan history;

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Republic\_of\_Afghanistan#Saur\_Revolution Democratic Republic of Afghanistan - Wikipedia

Wikipedia 1 May 2021

The **PDPA seized power in a military coup in 1978** which is best known as the Saur RevolutionI On April 27, troops from the military base at Kabul International Airport started to move towards the center of the capital. It took only 24 hours to consolidate power, with the rapid push including an air raid on the presidential palace and insurgent army units quickly seizing critical institutions and communication lines. [President] **Daoud and most of his family were executed the following day**.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Afghanistan#Democratic\_Republic\_regime\_an
d\_Soviet\_war

Afghanistan - Wikipedia Wikipedia 4 May 2021 In April 1978, the communist People's Democratic Party of Afghanistan (PDPA) seized power in a bloody coup d'état against then-President Mohammed Daoud <u>Khan</u>, ..... This would trigger a series of events that would dramatically <u>turn</u> <u>Afghanistan from a poor and secluded (albeit peaceful) country to a hotbed of</u> <u>international terrorism</u>. The PDPA initiated various social,

symbolic and land distribution reforms that provoked strong opposition, while also <u>brutally oppressing political dissidents</u>. This caused unrest and quickly <u>expanded</u> <u>into a state of civil war by 1979, waged by guerrilla mujahideen</u> (and smaller Maoist guerillas) against regime forces countrywide. It quickly turned into a proxy war as the <u>Pakistani government provided these rebels with covert training centers, the</u> <u>United States supported them through Pakistan's Inter-Services Intelligence (ISI)</u>, and the Soviet Union sent thousands of military advisers to support the PDPA regime

https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?
title=Saur\_Revolution&oldid=1021840015#Human\_rights
Saur Revolution
Wikipedia
6 May 2021

The revolution also introduced severe repression of a kind previously unknown in <u>Afghanistan</u>. According to journalist and CNAS member Robert D. Kaplan, while Afghanistan had historically been extremely poor and underdeveloped, it

"had never known very much political repression" until 1978. The soldiers' knock on the door in the middle of the night, so common in many Arab and African countries, was little known in Afghanistan, where a central government simply lacked the power to enforce its will outside of Kabul. Taraki's coup changed all that. Between April 1978 and the Soviet invasion of December 1979, Afghan communists executed 27,000 political prisoners at the sprawling Pul-i-Charki prison six miles east of Kabul. Many of the victims were village mullahs and headmen who were obstructing the modernization and secularization of the intensely religious Afghan countryside. By Western standards, this was a salutary idea in the abstract. But it was carried out in such a violent way that it alarmed even the Soviets. — Robert D. Kaplan, Soldiers of God: With Islamic Warriors in Afghanistan and Pakistan,

Kaplan states that <u>it was the Saur Revolution</u> and its harsh land reform program, <u>rather than the December 1979 Soviet invasion</u> "as most people in the West suppose", <u>that "ignited" the mujahidin revolt</u> against the Kabul authorities and prompted the refugee exodus to Pakistan.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Soviet%E2%80%93Afghan\_War

Soviet-Afghan War Wikipedia

12 May 2021

The foundations of the conflict were laid by the Saur Revolution, a 1978 coup wherein Afghanistan's communist party took power, initiating a series of radical modernization and land reforms throughout the country. These reforms were deeply unpopular among the more traditional rural population and established power structures. The repressive nature of the "Democratic Republic", which vigorously suppressed opposition and executed thousands of political prisoners, led to the rise of antigovernment armed groups; by April 1979, large parts of the country were in open rebellion

### The CIA Helped Build the International Islamist Terror Network

The conflict was escalated when America started supporting the rebels that opposed the new Afghan communist government (the PDPA). Then the Soviets invaded. After that the Americans started to escalate their construction of the global Islamist terrorist network that would eventually become Al Qaeda.

http://www.veteranstoday.com/2013/04/20/the-cias-founding-of-al-qaedadocumented/

The CIA's "Founding" of Al Qaeda Documented

Gordon Duff, Senior Editor veteranstoday.com

April 20, 2013

Le Nouvel Observateur:

Former CIA director Robert Gates states in his memoirs: <u>The American secret</u> <u>services began six months before the Soviet intervention to support the</u>

**Mujahideen** [in Afghanistan]. At that time you were president Carters security advisor; thus you played a key role in this affair. Do you confirm this statement?

Zbigniew Brzezinski: Yes. According to the official version, the CIA's support for the Mujahideen began in 1980, i.e. after the Soviet army's invasion of Afghanistan on 24 December 1979. But the reality, which was kept secret until today, is completely different:

Actually it was on 3 July 1979 that president Carter signed the first directive for the secret support of the opposition against the pro-Soviet regime in Kabul. And on the same day I wrote a note, in which I explained to the president that this support would in my opinion lead to a military intervention by the Soviets.

### Le Nouvel Observateur:

Despite this risk you were a supporter of this covert action? But perhaps you expected the Soviets to enter this war and tried to provoke it?

#### Zbigniew Brzezinski:

It's not exactly like that. <u>We didn't push the Russians to intervene but we</u> knowingly increased the probability that they would do it.

#### Le Nouvel Observateur:

When the Soviets justified their intervention with the statement that they were fighting against a secret US interference in Afghanistan, nobody believed them. Nevertheless there was a core of truth to this...Do you regret nothing today?

#### Zbigniew Brzezinski:

Regret what? This secret operation was an excellent idea. It lured the

**Russians into the Afghan trap**, and you would like me to regret that? On the day when the Soviets officially crossed the border, I wrote president Carter, in essence:

<u>"We now have the opportunity to provide the USSR with their Viet Nam</u> war." Indeed for ten years Moscow had to conduct a war that was intolerable for the regime, a conflict which involved the demoralization and finally the breakup of the Soviet Empire.

# https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Soviet%E2%80%93Afghan\_War

Soviet-Afghan War *Wikipedia 12 May 2021* The Amin government, having secured a treaty in December 1978 that allowed them to **call on Soviet forces, repeatedly requested the introduction of troops** in Afghanistan in the spring and summer of 1979. <u>They requested Soviet troops</u> to provide security and to assist in the fight against the mujaheddin rebels.

### Foreign Fighters Weren't Needed In Afghanistan

According to Milt Bearden, the CIA station chief in Pakistan during part of the Soviet–Afghan War, there were enough Afghans willing to fight so there was no need to recruit Islamic foreign fighters from around the world to stop the Soviets.

There were at least 3 million Afghan refugees in Pakistan alone to recruit from. Milt Bearden also says that the CIA did not recruit Arabs but that is a deception. It's like saying an accomplice to murder had nothing to do with it. The CIA was directly connected to recruiting Islamic fighters from around the world in partnership with many other governments and organizations. If another government or organization handled the recruiting part of the Arabs it changes nothing. The N.W.O. has their people everywhere working as a team. The CIA having someone else do the recruiting of Arabs for them does not mean that the CIA didn't recruit Arabs as they would like you to believe.

http://www.geocities.com/LibertyStrikesBack/afghans.html Al-Qaeda: A CIA protégé edited 12 March 2008 Franklin Freeman

Milt Bearden was the CIA's station chief in Pakistan's capital Islamabad in 1986-89; as such he oversaw the agency's efforts to back the mujaheddin. He later said, "The CIA did not recruit Arabs. ... <u>There were hundreds of thousands of Afghans all too</u> <u>willing to fight.</u>" And the CIA denied any direct contact with bin Laden.

(Steve Coll, Ghost Wars: The Secret History of the CIA, Afghanistan and Bin Laden from the Soviet Invasion to September 10, 2001 (Penguin, 2005 edn), pp.87, 147, 155-6, 208; Peter L Bergen, Holy War, Inc: Inside the Secret World of Osama bin Laden [Weidenfield & Nicholson, London, 2001], pp.70-71; Tenet statement to the Joint Inquiry on 9/11, Oct. 17, 2002.)

http://www.isreview.org/issues/20/CIA\_binladen\_afghan.shtml

Afghanistan, the CIA, bin Laden, and the Taliban

by Phil Gasper

International Socialist Review, November-December 2001

With the support of Pakistan's military dictator, General Zia-ul-Haq, the U.S. began recruiting and training both <u>mujahideen fighters from the 3 million Afghan</u> <u>refugees in Pakistan</u> and large numbers of mercenaries from other Islamic countries.

### It was a CIA operation called operation cyclone

https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?
title=Operation\_Cyclone&oldid=1010193795
Operation Cyclone
wikipedia
4 March 2021

Operation Cyclone was the code name for the United States Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) program to arm and finance the mujahideen in Afghanistan from 1979 to 1989, prior to and during the military intervention by the USSR in support of its client, the Democratic Republic of Afghanistan. The mujahideen were also supported by Britain's MI6, who conducted separate covert actions.

http://www.isreview.org/issues/20/CIA\_binladen\_afghan.shtml

Afghanistan, the CIA, bin Laden, and the Taliban

by Phil Gasper

International Socialist Review, November-December 2001

<u>Three weeks after Soviet tanks rolled into Kabul, Carter's secretary of</u> <u>defense, Harold Brown, was in Beijing arranging for a weapons transfer from the</u> <u>Chinese to the CIA-backed Afghani troops mustered in Pakistan</u>.

The Chinese, who were generously compensated for the deal, agreed and even consented to send military advisers. Brown worked out a similar arrangement with Egypt to buy \$15 million worth of weapons. <u>"The U.S. contacted me," [then-Egyptian</u> president] Anwar Sadat recalled shortly before his assassination [in 1981]. "They told me, 'Please open your stores for us so that we can give the Afghans the armaments they need to fight.' And I gave them the armaments. <u>The transport of arms to the Afghans</u> started from Cairo on U.S. planes."

With the support of Pakistan's military dictator, General Zia-ul-Haq, the U.S. began recruiting and training both mujahideen fighters from the 3 million Afghan refugees in Pakistan and large numbers of mercenaries from other Islamic countries. Estimates of how much money the U.S. government channeled to the Afghan rebels over the next decade vary, but most sources put the figure between \$3 billion and \$6 billion, or more.

The CIA became the grand coordinator: purchasing or arranging the manufacture of Soviet-style weapons from Egypt, China, Poland, Israel and elsewhere, or supplying their own; arranging for military training by Americans, Egyptians, Chinese and Iranians; hitting up Middle-Eastern countries for donations, notably Saudi Arabia which gave many hundreds of millions of dollars in aid each year, totaling probably more than a billion; pressuring and bribing Pakistan-with whom recent American relations had been very poor-to rent out its country as a military staging area and sanctuary; putting the Pakistani Director of Military Operations, Brigadier Mian Mohammad Afzal, onto the CIA payroll to ensure Pakistani cooperation.

The USA funded the most extreme and barbaric people

http://www.isreview.org/issues/20/CIA\_binladen\_afghan.shtml

Afghanistan, the CIA, bin Laden, and the Taliban

by Phil Gasper

International Socialist Review, November-December 2001

The mujahideen consisted of at least seven factions, who often fought amongst themselves in their battle for territory and control of the opium trade. **To hurt the** 

Russians, the U.S. deliberately chose to give the most support to the most extreme groups. A disproportionate share of U.S. arms went to Gulbuddin Hekmatyar, "a particularly fanatical fundamentalist and woman-hater." According to journalist Tim Weiner, "[Hekmatyar's] followers first gained attention by throwing acid in the faces of women who refused to wear the veil. CIA and State Department officials I have spoken with call him 'scary,' 'vicious,' 'a fascist,' 'definite dictatorship material."

https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?
title=Operation\_Cyclone&oldid=1010193795

Operation Cyclone wikipedia 4 March 2021

The program leaned heavily towards supporting militant Islamic groups, including groups with jihadist ties, that were favored by the regime of Muhammad Zia-ul-Haq in neighboring Pakistan, rather than other, less ideological Afghan resistance groups that had also been fighting the Soviet-oriented Democratic Republic of Afghanistan regime since before the Soviet intervention.

#### https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php? title=Operation\_Cyclone&oldid=1010193795

Operation Cyclone wikipedia 4 March 2021

The U.S. government has been criticized for allowing Pakistan to channel a disproportionate amount of its funding to the controversial Hekmatyar, whom Pakistani officials believed was "their man". Hekmatyar has been criticized for killing other mujahideen and attacking civilian populations, including shelling Kabul with American-supplied weapons, causing 2,000 casualties. Hekmatyar was said to be friendly with Osama bin Laden, founder of al-Qaeda, who was running an operation for assisting "Afghan Arab" volunteers fighting in Afghanistan, called Maktab al-Khadamat. Alarmed by his behavior, Pakistan leader General Zia warned Hekmatyar, "It was Pakistan that made him an Afghan leader and it is Pakistan who can equally destroy him if he continues to misbehave."

# **Creating Al Qaeda and Spreading Terrorist Attacks Internationally**

As we saw the N.W.O. created the conflict that allowed them to start gathering Islamist fighters from different nations even though they had enough Afghans to fight in a conflict that should have never happened in the first place. But at this point they only had people fighting in one location so they had to spread the fighters out around the world and have them commit acts of terrorism to justify the global war on terror that the N.W.O. wanted.

There had to be leaders in the Mujahideen who would eventually want to expand the terror globally after the Soviet-Afghan War and they would need a base and support network to carry out that operation. Afghanistan and a few other places would be the main base with a network of locations around America to recruit and finance the terrorism. It all started being built during the Soviet-Afghan War and transitioned into international terror right after the war ended. As we saw in the previous topic, the CIA focused its efforts in Afghanistan on specific people and groups. These people and groups were in line with the goals of the N.W.O. Not everyone involved had to be initiated into the N.W.O. they only had to have the desire to achieve the smaller specific goals that helped the N.W.O. and that would allow them to be manipulated into doing what the N.W.O. wanted them to do. For example, the Mujahideen were very likely not pledged members of the N.W.O. and likely never heard about the N.W.O. but they did want to fight and kill western and communist influence in Muslim countries so they could easily be lead along by actual members of the N.W.O. that were in positions of power.

Now let's go through the steps the N.W.O. took after the Soviets invaded Afghanistan with the CIA involved that lead to Al Qaeda and the Islamist terrorist attacks that were Committed around the world.

# Usama Bin Laden Was A CIA Asset Funded and Supplied By the CIA

In 1979, bin laden contacted the CIA and began recruiting fighters.

### https://msuweb.montclair.edu/~furrg/pol/wtc/oblnus091401.html Osama Bin Laden Created by the US - various articles.html

The <u>first contact with Bin Laden was in 1979</u>, when the new graduate from the Univ. of Jedah got in touch with the U.S. embassy in Ankara, Turkey. With the help of the <u>CIA and the U.S. Armed Forces intelligence services</u> he began to organize in the early 1980s and network to raise money and to <u>recruit fighters for the Afghan</u> <u>mujahidins</u> that were fighting the Soviets. He did this from the city of Peshawar in Pakistan, bordering Afghanistan.

Bin laden was funded by the CIA and had connections to Hekmatyar and Haqqani where Al Qaeda was formed.

https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php? title=Operation\_Cyclone&oldid=1010193795 Operation Cyclone

wikipedia

4 March 2021

Some of the CIA's greatest Afghan beneficiaries were Arabist commanders such as Haqqani and Hekmatyar who were key allies of bin Laden over many years. Haqqani—one of bin Laden's closest associates in the 1980s—received direct cash payments from CIA agents, without the mediation of the ISI. This independent source of funding gave Haqqani disproportionate influence over the mujahideen. Haqqani and his network played an important role in the formation and growth of al Qaeda, with Jalalhuddin Haqqani allowing bin Laden to train mujahideen volunteers in Haqqani territory and build extensive infrastructure there. The CIA had connections to Usama Bin Laden.

http://www.isreview.org/issues/20/CIA\_binladen\_afghan.shtml Afghanistan, the CIA, bin Laden, and the Taliban

by Phil Gasper

International Socialist Review, November-December 2001

Since September 11, <u>CIA officials have been claiming they had no direct link</u> to bin Laden. These denials lack credibility. Earlier this year, the trial of defendants accused of the 1998 U.S. embassy bombing in Kenya disclosed that <u>the CIA shipped</u> <u>high-powered sniper rifles directly to bin Laden's operation in 1989.</u> Even the Tennessee-based manufacturer of the rifles confirmed this. According to the Boston Globe,

The US government paid the Bin Laden family to build the bases in Afghanistan.

https://www.imdb.com/title/tt1851001/?ref\_=fn\_al\_tt\_1
Fabled Enemies (2008)
Documentary | 2008 (USA)
Jason Bermas
Time: 9:45
Synthia mckinny former member of congress said usa gov paid bin laden family to build
military training facilities in afghanistan

Bin laden built the camps with equipment from Saudi Arabia.

http://www.geocities.com/LibertyStrikesBack/afghans.html

Al-Qaeda: A CIA protege

edited 12 March 2008

Franklin Freeman

In 1986, bin Laden brought heavy construction equipment from Saudi Arabia to Afghanistan. Using his extensive knowledge of construction techniques, (he has a degree in civil engineering), he built "training camps", some dug deep into the sides of mountains, and built roads to reach them.

These camps, now dubbed "terrorist universities" by Washington, were built in collaboration with the ISI and the CIA. The Afghan contra fighters, including tens of thousands of mercenaries recruited and paid for by bin Laden, were armed by the CIA. Pakistan, the US and Britain provided military trainers. ...

Al Qaeda (the Base), bin Laden's organisation, was established in 1987-88 to run the camps and other business enterprises. It is a tightly-run capitalist holding company — albeit one that integrates the operations of a military force and related logistical services with `legitimate' business operations. [Norm Dixon, "How the CIA created Osama bin Laden" (autumn 2001)]

Usama Bin Laden was liked by the CIA.

http://www.geocities.com/LibertyStrikesBack/afghans.html Al-Qaeda: A CIA protege edited 12 March 2008 Franklin Freeman More and more Arabs were arriving in Pakistan to fight alongside the Afghan resistance. Azzam and bin Laden's MAK financed and funneled these volunteers. Overall, the U.S. government looked favorably on the Arab recruitment drives. ... Some of the most ardent cold warriors at [CIA headquarters at] Langley thought this program should be formally endorsed and extended. ... [T]he CIA "examined ways to increase their participation, perhaps in the form of some sort of international brigade," ... Robert Gates [then-head of the CIA's Directorate of Intelligence] recalled. ... At the Islamabad station Milt Bearden felt that bin Laden himself "actually did some very good things" ... But nothing came of it ... [Steve Coll, Ghost Wars (Penguin, 2005 edn), pp.145-6, 155-6.]

CIA helped bring terrorists into the USA by giving them visas even if they didn't qualify for a visa.

http://www.geocities.com/LibertyStrikesBack/afghans.html <u>Al-Qaeda: A CIA protege</u> *edited 12 March 2008 Franklin Freeman* But J. Michael Springmann, <u>head of the non-immigrant visa section at the "CIA-</u> <u>dominated" US consulate in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, in 1987-88</u>, said he learned that the <u>CIA had a "program to bring people to the United States for terrorist training</u>, people recruited by <u>the CIA and its asset Usama bin Laden</u>, and the idea was to get them trained and send them back to Afghanistan to fight the then Soviets." "Their nationalities for the most part were Pakistani, Palestinian, Syrian, Lebanese." These "recruits without backgrounds" were given visas over Springmann's protests.

Operation Cyclone Was Active In the United States

The CIA program called Operation Cyclone was also active in the United States and Usama Bin Laden and the CIA continued to build Al Qaeda by expanding into the United States. A CIA document connects Usama bin laden and Abdullah Azzam. Azzam encouraged Bin Laden to become active and they started to build a network of offices starting in Afghanistan and then moving into the USA.

https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/368922-1997-04-18-terrorismusama-bin-ladins-historical.html

The Central Intelligence Agency's 9/11 File

Top Secret CIA Documents on Osama bin Laden Declassified

National Security Archive Electronic Briefing Book No. 381

Posted - June 19, 2012

*Edited by Barbara Elias-Sanborn with Thanks to Archive Senior Fellow Jeffrey T. Richelson* 

1997-04-18 – "Terrorism: Usama bin Ladin's Historical Links to 'Abdullah Azzam," Central Intelligence Agency Intelligence Report.

[9/11 Commission Report - Chapter 4, Endnote 22, 43]

	CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY								
	WARNING:	INFORMATION	REPORT,	NOT	FINALLY	EVALUATED	INTELI	JIGENCE	
	bram.	10 10000 10							
	DIST:	18 APRIL 19	97				•		
	COUNTRY:	AFGHANISTAN	¥.,						
	SUBJ:	TERRORISM: ABDALLAH Z		BIN L	ADIN'S H	IISTORICAL	LINKS	то	
APPROVED FOR RELEASED DATE: 25-April-2012									
Ŀ	JATE: 25-A	pni-2012	]						

Ç0,	373275	
	SUMMARY: DURING THE AFGHAN WAR, USAMA BIN LADIN MET 'ABDALLAH AZZAM, WHO INFLUENCED HIM (BIN LADIN) TO ESTABLISH A NETWORK TO ENHANCE THE MUJAHEDIN'S ABILITY TO RECRUIT NEW FIGHTERS.	

The Al Qaeda organizations international structure was started through an office that was first opened in Pakistan.

https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Maktab\_al-Khidamat&oldid=1019267096

Maktab al-Khidamat

wikipedia

22 April 2021

Maktab al-Khidamat The Maktab al-Khidamat, also Maktab Khadamāt al-Mujāhidīn al-'Arab, also known as the Afghan Services Bureau, was founded in 1984 by <u>Abdullah Azzam, Wa'el Hamza Julaidan, Osama bin Laden</u> and <u>Ayman al-Zawahiri</u> to raise funds and recruit foreign mujahidin for the war against the Soviets in <u>Afghanistan.</u> MAK became the forerunner to al- Qaeda and was instrumental in creating the fundraising and recruitment network that benefited Al-Qaeda during the 1990s. After creating MAK in Afghanistan, Abdullah Azzam traveled around America to raise funds. No other country would let him open an office and few others would let him speak.

Abdullah Azzam's activities in America were known to US authorities.

https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2020/03/jihad-abdallahazzam-america-osama-bin-laden/607498/

Why Jihadists Loved America in the 1980s

*Thomas Hegghammer March 6, 2020* 

A few years after their Indiana meeting, <u>Azzam and bin Laden co-founded the</u> <u>Services Bureau, an organization in Peshawar, Pakistan, that sought to bring</u> <u>Muslim fighters to Afghanistan</u>. As its leader, Azzam spearheaded a <u>worldwide</u> <u>effort</u> to fundraise and <u>recruit, especially from the Gulf countries and the United</u> <u>States</u>.

Although based in Pakistan from 1981 onward, <u>Azzam crossed the Atlantic at least</u> once a year, and by the end of the decade had visited New York, Texas, California, <u>Seattle, and several other states in between.</u> The message was always the same: Muslims in America should fight in Afghanistan, or at least donate money to the jihad. <u>He</u> <u>spoke not in underground cellars, but in large, open venues, such as the annual</u> <u>meeting of the Muslim Arab Youth Association, which usually brought together</u> <u>hundreds of people.</u> He stayed in the apartments of young local supporters, impressing them with his charisma and humble lifestyle. <u>U.S. authorities became aware of these</u> <u>activities in the late '80s, but did not consider Azzam a threat.</u>

In December 1984, Azzam launched al-Jihad magazine, an Arabic-language monthly aimed at raising awareness of the Afghan cause. Within eight months, the magazine had a <u>U.S. distributor—the Islamic Centre in Tucson, Arizona</u>—and by the late '80s, <u>it had a nationwide network of agents who sold thousands of copies</u> <u>each month and made America</u> one of the magazine's most important markets.

Next, branch offices of the Afghanistan MAK office were opened in America under the name Al Kifah.

https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2020/03/jihad-abdallahazzam-america-osama-bin-laden/607498/ Why Jihadists Loved America in the 1980s

Thomas Hegghammer

March 6, 2020

Azzam's activities didn't stop there. In late <u>1987, a group of activists in</u> <u>Brooklyn approached Azzam with a proposition to make their recently established</u> <u>NGO, the al-Kifah Refugee Center, the American branch of the Services Bureau.</u> Azzam was delighted and later wrote the following in *al-Jihad*:

I was pleased that [the brothers] have opened a Services Bureau, appointed a lawyer, gotten him a government license, and started coordinating trips to Afghanistan ...<u>I have opened an account in my name in Brooklyn, and the account number is 016714446, Independence Saving Bank ... Whoever wants to send a check, he may send it to this address: Maktab al-Khadamat in Brooklyn (552 Atlantic Ave, Brooklyn NY; tel. 718-797-9207). Write my name on the check: "Dr. Abdallah Azzam."</u>

Al-Kifah soon set up regional branches in <u>Atlanta, Boston, Chicago, and</u> <u>Tucson. It even had a national hotline run out of Chicago that people could call to</u> <u>hear a recorded news bulletin about the Afghan jihad</u>.

The efforts paid off: <u>Hundreds of Muslims from all over America joined the</u> <u>Afghan jihad</u>, and some even became prominent figures in the nascent jihadist movement. Wa'il Julaydan became a key fundraiser for the Services Bureau in Peshawar, <u>Muhammad Bayazid became one of the co-founders of al-Qaeda, and</u> <u>Wadih El Hage also joined al-Qaeda; he was later convicted for his involvement in</u> <u>the 1998 East Africa embassy bombings</u>. Americans were a minority in the Afghan Arab community, but their language skills and high level of education gave them an outsize importance.

The United States was the only country outside Pakistan where Azzam was able to set up an official branch of the Services Bureau. Even Saudi Arabia, which generally approved of Azzam's efforts, never allowed him to open an office, and it sometimes canceled his talks. Other Middle Eastern countries were even more hostile. Azzam was able to visit only Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, Kuwait, Bahrain, and Jordan in the '80s. The rest denied him entry, and Jordan closed the door on him after 1984.

It helped that the Muslim Brotherhood had a strong presence in the United States, especially in Muslim student societies. For example, the guests at the Indianapolis meeting in 1978 were Muslim Brothers.

Al Kifah held at least a dozen terror conferences around America.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Terrorists\_Among\_Us:\_Jihad\_in\_America <u>Terrorists Among Us: Jihad in America</u>

wikipedia

*22 November 2020* 

<u>Terrorists Among Us: Jihad in America</u> is a documentary by Steven Emerson. It first aired in the United States in 1994 on PBS. The documentary has won numerous awards for journalism, including the George Polk Award for best television documentary.

According to Emerson, the impetus for the documentary came in 1992, when he happened to come across a conference of Arab youths in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. After gaining entrance by pretending to be Muslim, <u>Emerson said that he</u> found tables of pro-terrorism literature from groups such as Hamas and heard speeches calling for death to Americans.

The documentary features <u>hidden camera footage of men publicly raising</u> <u>money for terrorism</u> in U.S. hotel conference rooms.

Al Kifah was a recruiting post for the CIA during Operation Cyclone.

https://www.newsweek.com/war-terror-road-september-11-151771 War on Terror: The Road To September 11 By Mark Hosenball Newsweek 9/30/01 The tale begins more than 10 years ago, when the veterans of the Mooj's holy war against the Soviets began **arriving in the United States--many with passports** 

arranged by the CIA.

the diaspora of young Arab men willing to die for Allah congregated at <u>the Al-</u> <u>Kifah Refugee Center in Brooklyn, N.Y.</u>, a dreary inner-city building that doubled as a <u>recruiting post for the CIA</u> seeking to steer fresh troops to the mujahedin.

Al Kifah trained and educated foreign fighters in the USA.

http://www.geocities.com/LibertyStrikesBack/afghans.html

<u>Al-Qaeda: A CIA protege</u> edited 12 March 2008 Franklin Freeman

(Report later noted that "A number of important al Qaeda figures attended the University of Arizona in Tucson or lived in Tucson in the 1980s and early 1990s".

The largest branch of al-Khifa was in Brooklyn's Atlantic Avenue, New York (in or next to the Farouq Mosque). <u>Other branches were in Atlanta, Boston, Chicago, Pittsburgh, and elsewhere.</u> Officially known as the al-Khifa Refugee Center and the associated Afghan Refugee Services, <u>the Brooklyn centre provided the interface for "Operation Cyclone", the American effort to support the mujaheddin.</u> The organization became known as the "Services Office", after its Peshawar original, and worked to raise funds and train recruits for the war effort.

Azzam is believed to have visited from time to time, and bin Laden was numbered among the financial supporters. <u>AI-Khifa had a training camp (perhaps the "private</u> <u>rifle club") in Connecticut</u>, where "Recruits received brief paramilitary training and weapons induction, according to evidence in [subsequent terrorist] trials". <u>Several</u> <u>former members of the "active service" of the CIA were employed there as "expert</u> <u>consultants".</u>

(Andrew Marshall, "Terror 'blowback' burns CIA: America's spies paid and trained their nation's worst enemies", *Independent on Sunday* [UK], 1 Nov. 1998; Steve Coll, *Ghost Wars* (Penguin, 2005 edn), p.155; *9/11 Commission Report*, chapter 2, p.58 [HTML version]; ibid, chapter 7, p.226 [HTML version]; Richard Labévière, *Dollars For Terror* [Algora, 2000; translation of *Les Dolleurs de la Terreur*, Grasset, 1999], pp.223-4)

MAK and Al Kifah are what led to Al Qaeda with the help of the CIA.

https://www.treasury.gov/resource-center/terrorist-illicit-finance/pages/protecting-charities\_execorder\_13224-i.aspx

Protecting Charitable Organizations - I

Makhtab al-Khidamat / Al Kifah

U.S. Designation Date: September 23, 2001

UN Designation Date: October 6, 2001

Background: <u>Makhtab al-Khidamat/Al Kifah (MK) is considered to be the</u> <u>pre-cursor organization to al Qaida and the basis for its infrastructure.</u> MK was initially created by Usama bin Laden's (UBL) mentor, Shaykh Abdullah Azzam, who was also the spiritual founder of Hamas, as an organization to fund mujahideen in the Soviet-Afghan conflict. MK has helped funnel fighters and money to the Afghan resistance in Peshawar, Pakistan, and had <u>established recruitment centers worldwide to fight the</u> <u>Soviets</u>.

## **Building a Permanent Home for Al Qaeda**

After the USSR left Afghanistan in 1989, the N.W.O. had to make sure that Al Qaeda was secure and stable so they took steps to give the organization a permanent home in Afghanistan with branch offices in the USA. They didn't want the international fighters to all go home and return to normal because the war was over. They wanted a permanent international Islamist terrorism network.

To do this they planned to have the Taliban take over Afghanistan but there had to be a reason for the Taliban to be accepted by the citizens because the Taliban way of life was different from the traditional Afghan way of life. Gulbuddin Hekmatyar was one of the people preferred by and funded by the CIA and Pakistan and he helped to prolong the fighting in Afghanistan after the Soviets left and even after the communist government that started the conflict was removed. He even kept fighting and bombing after he was declared prime minister of Afghanistan.

After five years of this fighting the Taliban who were made in Pakistan invaded Afghanistan with the help of the Pakistan army and eventually took over. The people accepted the Taliban only as the lesser of two evils between the civil war and the extreme Taliban lifestyle of Salafism. The Taliban gave Al Qaeda a stable home in Afghanistan. Over the course of a few years Al Qaeda became an international terrorist organization that was committing acts of terror around the world.

The CIA supported Hekmatyar after the Soviets left making way for Taliban through civil war that was prolonged by Hekmatyar.

http://www.geocities.com/LibertyStrikesBack/afghans.html

Al-Qaeda: A CIA protege edited 12 March 2008 Franklin Freeman As the Soviets withdrew from Afghanistan during 1988-9, a policy split emerged between the US State Department and the CIA. The State Department focused on moderate Afghan factions and a negotiated supersession of the Soviet-installed Najibullah regime. But the CIA continued military support, via Pakistan, of Hekmatyar and other Islamists. Meanwhile, Arabs continued to flow in to fight alongside the Afghan Islamists. ... (Steve Coll, Ghost Wars (Penguin, 2005 edition), chapters 10 to 12)

### Bin Laden split from MAK and made Al Qaeda which was more extreme.

http://www.geocities.com/LibertyStrikesBack/afghans.html

<u>Al-Qaeda: A CIA protege</u> *edited 12 March 2008 Franklin Freeman* ... bin Laden split from the relatively conventional MAK in 1988 and established a new group, al-Qaida, that included many of the <u>more extreme MAK members he had met</u> <u>in Afghanistan</u>. ... Afghan vet[eran]s, or Afghanis ... [Michael Moran, "Bin Laden comes home to roost", MSNBC, 24 Aug. 1998)] The Pakistani ISI (Intelligence Service) was preparing Afghanistan to be taken over by the Taliban by removing any Mujahideen groups that were not under their control.

https://journals.lib.unb.ca/index.php/jcs/article/view/219/377 "Jihad and the Rifle Alone": 'Abdullah 'Azzam and the Islamist Revolution by Andrew McGregor Vol. XXIII, No. 2 Fall 2003

'Azzam turned against the Pakistanis in 1989, when it became apparent that manipulations by the ISI were causing heavy casualties among the mujahidin, particularly in the ISI-engineered siege of Jalalabad. The ISI was encouraging frontal assaults (of the type discredited in 1914) against reinforced communist positions supported by the Afghan air force. These tactics were a fatal break from the proven hitand-run guerrilla attacks favored by the *mujahidin*. Some, like 'Azzam, believed that with the imminent departure of the Russians from Afghanistan, the ISI was seeking to eliminate those factions of the resistance that were not under their control.

During the summer of 1989, 'Azzam attempted to mediate in the dispute between Ahmad Shah Massoud's group and Hekmatyar, always returning to the need for unity among the *mujahidin*. Later that year a large quantity of explosives was placed beneath the *minbar (pulpit) of* the mosque in which 'Azzam gave the Friday sermon. The explosives failed to detonate during the service (which would have killed hundreds), but 'Azzam's enemies were not deterred.

#### https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Maktab\_al-Khidamat&oldid=1019267096

Maktab al-Khidamat wikipedia

22 April 2021 As the war ended, a difference in opinion emerged between Azzam and the Egyptian Islamic Jihad (EIJ) led by Ayman al-Zawahiri over the future direction of MAK. Azzam wanted to use the wealth it had generated, and the network it created to help install a pure Islamic government in post-war Afghanistan and opposed "fitna" among Muslims, including attacks against governments of Muslim countries. <u>Al-</u> Zawahiri wanted to use MAK's assets to fund a global jihad, including the overthrow of governments in Muslim countries deemed un-Islamic. Bin Laden,

MAK's most important fundraiser, was strongly influenced by Zawahiri, although he remained close to Azzam.

On November 24, 1989, <u>Azzam was killed by the detonation of 3 mines, by</u> <u>unknown assassins. Azzam as well as his 2 sons were killed</u> in the assassination on their way to their local mosque for evening prayers. Following Azzam's death, <u>Osama</u> <u>bin Laden assumed control of MAK and the organization became absorbed into al-</u> <u>Qaeda. Suspects include bin Laden, Ayman al-Zawahiri, competing Afghan militia</u> <u>leaders, Pakistani Interservices Intelligence Agency, the CIA, the Israeli Mossad,</u> <u>and Iranian intelligence</u>. Abdullah Azzam was killed in late 1989. His murder was unsolved. Usama Bin Laden took over MAK in Pakistan.

https://journals.lib.unb.ca/index.php/jcs/article/view/219/377 "Jihad and the Rifle Alone": 'Abdullah 'Azzam and the Islamist Revolution by Andrew McGregor Vol. XXIII, No. 2 Fall 2003 Bin Laden is alleged to have become angered at 'Azzam's plan to ship arms to Hekmatyar's enemy, Ahmad Massoud. <u>Bin Laden took control of 'Azzam's</u> organization, recreating it as al-Qaeda

The leader of Al Kifah in Brooklyn was also killed mysteriously and Sheikh Umar Abd al-Rahman took over.

https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2020/03/jihad-abdallahazzam-america-osama-bin-laden/607498/

Why Jihadists Loved America in the 1980s

*Thomas Hegghammer March 6. 2020* 

The story of Azzam and his American network ended badly for him. In late 1989, <u>Azzam</u> was assassinated in Peshawar by unknown perpetrators.

Soon after, infighting broke out in the al-Kifah center, culminating with the murder of its leader, Mustafa Shalabi, in 1991.

https://www.treasury.gov/resource-center/terrorist-illicitfinance/Pages/protecting-charities\_execorder\_13224-i.aspx#m Protecting Charitable Organizations - I

Last Updated: 8/16/2016

After Azzam was killed in 1989, <u>UBL and Sheikh Umar Abd al-Rahman (a.k.a.</u> <u>"The Blind Sheikh") continued to use MK to recruit fighters for the Soviet-Afghan</u> <u>conflict. Sheikh Umar Abd al-Rahman was implicated in the 1993 World Trade</u> <u>Center bombing and has been convicted for unrelated crimes pertaining to</u> <u>planning terrorist attacks in the New York area</u>. MK is known to have provided funds and other support to several charities, including the Al Haramain Foundation (AHF) and the Global Relief Foundation.

The CIA backed Hekmatyar prevented a peaceful resolution to the Soviet–Afghan War by continuing to attack Kabul even after the communist government that the Soviets were supporting was gone. Hekmatyar fired artillery and rockets into Kabul for three years and killed 10,000 Afghans. This helped the Taliban to be accepted when they showed up and promised peace.

http://www.isreview.org/issues/20/CIA\_binladen\_afghan.shtml Afghanistan, the CIA, bin Laden, and the Taliban by Phil Gasper

International Socialist Review, November-December 2001

Gulbuddin Hekmatyar, "a particularly fanatical fundamentalist and woman-hater." According to journalist Tim Weiner, " [Hekmatyar's] followers first gained attention by throwing acid in the faces of women who refused to wear the veil. CIA and State Department officials I have spoken with call him 'scary,' 'vicious,' 'a fascist,' 'definite dictatorship material."

As the Russians withdrew from Afghanistan in early 1989, American policymakers celebrated with champagne, while the country itself collapsed into virtual anarchy. Almost a quarter of the population was living in refugee camps and most of the country was in ruins. Different factions of the mujahideen struggled for power in the countryside, while the government of Muhammed Najibullah, the last Soviet-installed president controlled Kabul. Eventually, in April 1992, Kabul fell to some of the mujahideen factions and Burhannudin Rabbani was de dared president, but civil war continued unabated. Hekmatyar in particular was dissatisfied with the new distribution of power. With his huge stock of U.S.-supplied weapons, he began an artillery and rocket assault on Kabul that lasted for almost three years, even after he was appointed prime minister in 1993. "The barrage...killed more than 10,000 Afghans [drove] hundreds of thousands into squalid refugee camps, created political chaos, and blocked millions of exiles from returning." The rest of the country disintegrated into isolated fieldoms dominated by local warlords.

The Taliban were the refugees from Afghanistan but they were disconnected from their history and indoctrinated with Salafism/Wahabism that was foreign to Afghan culture. The Taliban were supported by the Pakistan army when they came to Afghanistan.

#### http://www.isreview.org/issues/20/CIA\_binladen\_afghan.shtml Afghanistan, the CIA, bin Laden, and the Taliban

#### by Phil Gasper

#### International Socialist Review, November-December 2001

In 1994, a new group, the Taliban (Pashtun for "students"), emerged on the scene. Its members came from madrassas set up by the Pakistani government along the border and funded by the U.S., Britain, and the Saudis, where they had received theological indoctrination and military training. Thousands of young menrefugees and orphans from the war in Afghanistan-became the foot soldiers of this movement:

These boys were from a generation who had never seen their country at peacean Afghanistan not at war with invaders and itself. <u>They had no memories of their</u> <u>tribes, their elders, their neighbors nor the complex ethnic mix of peoples that</u> <u>made up their villages and their homeland</u>. These boys were what the war had thrown up like the sea's surrender on the beach of history ...

They were literally the orphans of war, the rootless and restless, the jobless and the economically deprived with little self-knowledge. They admired war because it was the only occupation they could possibly adapt to. Their simple belief in a messianic, puritan Islam which had been drummed into them by simple village mullahs was the only prop they could hold on to and which gave their lives some meaning. Untrained for anything, even the traditional occupations of their forefathers such as farming, herding or the making of handicrafts, they were what Karl Marx would have termed Afghanistan's lumpen proletariat.

With the aid of the Pakistani army, the Taliban swept across most of the exhausted country promising a restoration of order and finally capturing Kabul in September 1996. The Taliban imposed an ultra-sectarian version of Islam, closely related to Wahhabism, the ruling creed in Saudi Arabia. Women have been denied education, health care, and the right to work. They must cover themselves completely when in public. Minorities have been brutally repressed. Even singing and dancing in public are forbidden.

Al Qaeda was now an international organization.

https://www.nytimes.com/1998/10/22/world/us-sees-brooklyn-link-toworld-terror-network.html U.S. Sees Brooklyn Link to World Terror Network (Published 1998)

Benjamin Weiser and David Kocieniewski Oct. 22, 1998

It was <u>this ramshackle office that the Government says evolved into the</u> <u>American outpost of Mr. bin Laden's international terrorist organization</u>. Federal charges relating to the embassy bombings in Africa assert that his group <u>"grew out of"</u> <u>an organization that had offices in Afghanistan and Pakistan and, after 1989, in the</u> <u>United States</u>. Specifically, the <u>Government said</u>, the network's <u>connection to the</u> <u>United States evolved from Alkifah Center</u>.

# **The CIA Used Their Foreign Fighters for International Terrorism**

The Mujahideen were used outside of Afghanistan during the Soviet–Afghan War. The CIA wanted to secretly recruit Muslims that lived inside the Soviet Union to become Mujahideen and then commit terrorist attacks against the Soviets inside the USSR. The Al Qaeda terrorists were also used internationally after the Soviet–Afghan War during the Yugoslavia war of 1990-91 but what is most interesting is Al Qaeda has been used again in Syria and Libya by the United States years after the war on terror had started.

https://www.globalresearch.ca/america-created-al-qaeda-and-the-isisterror-group/5402881

America Created Al-Qaeda and the ISIS Terror Group - Global Research

Galicia Change

Global Research, October 28, 2019

Former British Foreign Secretary, Robin Cook, told the House of Commons that Al Qaeda was unquestionably a product of Western intelligence agencies. Mr. Cook explained that Al Qaeda, which literally means an abbreviation of "the database" in Arabic, was originally the computer database of the thousands of Islamist extremists, who were trained by the CIA and funded by the Saudis, in order to defeat the Russians in Afghanistan.

In 1997, a U.S. Department of Defense report stated, "the data show a strong correlation between U.S. involvement abroad and an increase in terrorist attacks against the U.S." Truth is, the only way America can win the "War on Terror" is if it stops giving terrorists the motivation and the resources to attack America.

Terrorism was used in the USSR. Zbigniew Brzezinski didn't care about what the terrorists did.

http://www.isreview.org/issues/20/CIA\_binladen\_afghan.shtml Afghanistan, the CIA, bin Laden, and the Taliban by Phil Gasper International Socialist Review, November-December 2001

There was, though, a kind of method in the madness: Brezinski hoped not just to drive the Russians out of Afghanistan, but to <u>ferment unrest within the Soviet Union</u> <u>itself.</u> His plan, says author Dilip Hiro, was <u>"to export a composite ideology of</u> <u>nationalism and Islam to the Muslim-majority Central Asian states and Soviet</u> <u>Republics with a view to destroying the Soviet order."</u> Looking back in 1998, Brezinski had no regrets. <u>"What was more important in the world view of history?...</u> <u>A few stirred-up Muslims or the liberation of Central Europe and the end of the</u> <u>Cold War"</u>

There were some terror attacks inside the USSR during the Soviet–Afghan War under the direction of the CIA.

https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/politics/1992/07/19/anatomy-ofa-victory-cias-covert-afghan-war/1bd10b14-a0cc-441c-99cc-d2b5d1ba6e2d/ Anatomy of a Victory: CIA's Covert Afghan War

washingtonpost.com

By: Steve Coll, Washington Post,

July 19, 1992

During the visit, Casey startled his Pakistani hosts by proposing that they **take the Afghan war into enemy territory** -- into the Soviet Union itself.

Casey wanted to **ship subversive propaganda** through Afghanistan to **the Soviet Union's predominantly Muslim southern republics**. The Pakistanis agreed, and the **CIA** soon supplied thousands of Korans, as well as books on Soviet atrocities in Uzbekistan and tracts on historical heroes of Uzbek nationalism, according to Pakistani and Western officials.

One source of jitters was that Pakistani intelligence officers -- partly inspired by Casey -began independently to <u>train Afghans and funnel CIA supplies for scattered strikes</u> <u>against military installations, factories and storage depots within Soviet territory.</u> <u>The attacks later alarmed U.S. officials in Washington, who saw military raids on</u> <u>Soviet territory as "an incredible escalation</u>," according to Graham Fuller, then a senior U.S. intelligence official who counseled against any such raids. Fearing a largescale Soviet response and the fallout of such attacks on U.S.-Soviet diplomacy, the Reagan administration blocked the transfer to Pakistan of detailed satellite photographs of military targets inside the Soviet Union, other U.S. officials said.

To Yousaf, who managed the Koran-smuggling program and the guerrilla raids inside Soviet territory, the United States ultimately "chickened out" on the question of taking the secret Afghan war onto Soviet soil. Nonetheless, Yousaf recalled, Casey was "ruthless in his approach, and he had a built-in hatred for the Soviets." The CIA used Al Qaeda in the Yugoslavia war of 1990-91.

http://www.geocities.com/LibertyStrikesBack/afghans.html

<u>Al-Qaeda: A CIA protege</u> edited 12 March 2008 Franklin Freeman

The CIA decided that bin Laden's "Arab Afghans" were too useful an ally to abandon after the Soviets left Afghanistan, and in a meeting at Green's Hotel, Peshawar, Pakistan, in late 1991, between their local representatives, Prince Turki bin Faisal (head of the Saudi intelligence service) and the "Arab Afghans", they decided to continue links. <u>The "strategic" position of Afghanistan vis-a-vis Central Asian oil</u> was a factor in this decision.

(Labévière, *Dollars For Terror*, pp.104-5; cf. pp.227-8. "Arab Afghans" is a term Labévière uses for both the "proto-Qaeda" formed in Afghanistan with the CIA's help, and the later "fully-formed" version.)

The Islamist connections were employed in Bosnia during the break-up of Yugoslavia. Using the Pakistani ISI as an intermediary once more, the <u>CIA channelled</u> weapons and "Arab Afghan" and other Islamic fighters to the Bosnian Muslim Army. Chinese, North Korean and Iranian arms were supplied in order to maintain deniability.

[T]he Third World Relief Agency (TWRA), a Sudan-based, phoney humanitarian organization ... has been a major link in the arms pipeline to Bosnia ... TWRA is believed to be <u>connected with such fixtures of the Islamic terror network as Sheik Omar</u> <u>Abdel Rahman (the convicted mastermind behind the 1993 World Trade Center</u> <u>bombing) and Osama bin Laden</u> ...

(Michel Chossudovsky, "<u>Osamagate</u>", *Center For Global Research*, Oct. 2001; quotation from *Washington Post*, 22 Sept. 1996)

Al Qaeda spread terror attacks internationally.

http://www.isreview.org/issues/20/CIA\_binladen\_afghan.shtml Afghanistan, the CIA, bin Laden, and the Taliban

by Phil Gasper

International Socialist Review, November-December 2001

Over the past 10 years, the "Afghani" network has been linked to terrorist attacks not only on U.S. targets, but also in the Philippines, Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, France, Tajikistan, Azerbaijan, China, Egypt, Algeria, Morocco, and elsewhere. "This is an insane instance of the chickens coming home to roost," one U.S. diplomat in Pakistan told the Los Angeles Times. "You can't plug billions of dollars into an anti-Communist jihad, accept participation from all over the world and ignore the consequences. But we did.

# USA Using Al Qaeda Ten Years after the War on Terror

So how stupid is the War On Terror? It depends on your point of view. For the N.W.O. it's working out great. The American government is waging the War On Terror because of Al Qaeda and ten years later they decide it's okay to use Al Qaeda again to try to remove the leader of a sovereign country who has not attacked America.

They admit they know it's Al Qaeda and they try to justify working with them by saying they have to because if they don't ISIS will take over and ISIS is trying to set up an Islamic state. But so is Al Qaeda. And Condoleezza Rice helped create ISIS during the Bush Administration that started the War On Terror anyway so it's all a show to provide cover for the real goal. Setting up a technocracy which requires global surveillance of every citizen.

They say they want to get rid of Assad in Syria because he is so bad but they bring in terrorists that are permitted by fatwa to rape women and they cut off the food and water and power and NATO bombs them and the rebels attack Christians because they are not Muslim and burn their churches.

The N.W.O. even admits that it is part of a larger strategy and they are interested in other things not just supposedly saving the Syrian and Libyan people. It's all a show to hide the truth about what the N.W.O. is doing. They are building their technocracy with the war on terror and setting up a united Islamist extremist state for the holy war they plan to start between Muslims and Judeo-Christians at some point in the future when the timing of their N.W.O. plan is suitable.

http://humanevents.com/2013/04/02/islamic-cleric-rape-of-non-muslimsyrian-women-permitted/ <u>New Fatwa permits rape of non-Sunni women in Syria</u> *Human Events Raymond Ibrahim* 

April 02, 2013

Salafi Sheikh Yasir al-Ajlawni, a Jordanian of origin who lived in Damascus, Syria for 17 years, posted a YouTube video last week where he said he was preparing to issue <u>a legitimate fatwa making it legal (in the eyes of Islam) for those Muslims</u> <u>fighting to topple secular president Bashar Assad</u> and <u>install Sharia law</u> to <u>capture</u> <u>and have sex with all non-Sunni women, specifically naming Assads own sect, the</u> <u>Alawites, as well as the Druze and several others, basically, all non-Sunnis and</u> <u>non-Muslims.</u>

The Sheikh used Islams legitimate Arabic term for these hapless, non-Muslim women, melk al-yamin, a phrase that appears in Islams sacred book, the Koran, and which is simply a reference to non-Muslim sex-slaves. For example, Koran 4:3 commands Muslim men to Marry such women as seem good to you, two and three and four or what your right hands possess. Islams ulema, or scholars, are unanimously agreed that what your right hands possess is, according to Islamic law, simply a sex-slave. Linguistic evidence further suggests that she is seen more as an animal, a possession than a human hence this inhuman fatwa.

Jordanian Sheih Yasir al-Ajlawni is certainly not the first cleric to legitimize the rape of infidel women in recent times. <u>Calls to capture and rape non-Muslim women</u> <u>are appearing with increasing frequency and from all corners of the Islamic world.</u>

ISIS was created by Condoleezza Rice who is a Trilateral Commission member.

http://www.infowars.com/the-fiction-of-fighting-the-islamic-state-anentity-created-and-financed-by-the-u-s-and-saudi-arabia/ <u>The Fiction Of "Fighting The Islamic State", An Entity Created And Financed By The</u> <u>U.S. And Saudi Arabia</u> *Prof. Mohssen Massarrat Infowars.com September 4, 2014* 

The U.S. is taking advantage of the incontestable threat posed by the brutal "IS" combatants in order to polish their damaged image in the Middle East and simultaneously try to underline that their further military presence in the region is indispensable. At the same time, the virtual inventor of the "IS" is none other than former U.S. Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice. In 2006, during the peak of the U.S.–Iran conflict, she prompted all Sunni states to set up a "Sunni belt" in response to the alleged "Shia belt" that Iran had supposedly created against Arab Sunnis. Henceforth, the Lebanese government received military aid with the explicit purpose of containing Shia Hezbollah in Lebanon. Then the Secretary-General of the Saudi National Security Council, the infamous Prince Bandar bin Sultan, set to work. The results were the birth of brutal groups such as Al-Nusra Front and "ISIS", which sprang up like mushrooms to fight the Assad regime in Syria. In the final analysis, the outcome of the 2006 Condoleezza Rice plan was also the creation of the barbaric "IS" group, which is unprecedented in the entire history of Islam.

Obama say's that it's okay to support Al Qaeda and so does the Council on Foreign Relations, which shapes foreign policy for the United States. The CFR is a N.W.O. organization like the Trilateral Commission but it's much older and focuses on different areas of the N.W.O. plan. But the two organizations overlap and work together. Here is a summary of the CFR article with parts of the original CFR article below.

http://www.infowars.com/cfr-calls-on-obama-to-befriend-al-qaedaterrorist-group/

<u>CFR Calls On Obama to 'Befriend' Al-Qaeda Terrorist Group</u> Paul Joseph Watson Infowars.com January 27, 2014 The Council on Foreign Relations, a highly influential W

The Council on Foreign Relations, a highly influential Washington DC think tank, has called on the Obama administration to 'befriend' a terrorist group in Syria which has pledged allegiance to Al-Qaeda leader Ayman al-Zawahiri and whose leader helped plan the 9/11 attacks.

In an article entitled, <u>The Good and Bad of Ahrar al-Sham: An al Qaeda–</u> <u>Linked Group Worth Befriending,</u> the CFR's Michael Doran, William McCants, and Clint Watts argue that supporting the terrorist faction would help the United States "contain Iran and Syria" as part of Obama's "larger strategy." The authors even admit that the leader of Ahrar al-Sham, Abu Khalid al-Suri, recently <u>"published a statement praising bin Laden and al Qaeda's current chief,</u> <u>Ayman al-Zawahiri," underscoring the fact that, "Al Qaeda and Ahrar al-Sham are</u> joined at the hip."

According to the Foundation for Defense of Democracies, <u>"Other al Qaeda</u> <u>operatives hold key positions"</u> with Ahrar al-Sham.

So essentially, the CFR, which is basically a front for the State Department, is now <u>calling on the Obama administration to make an alliance with an Al-Qaeda</u> group whose leader helped plan the 9/11 attacks – <u>all in the name of destabilizing</u> Iran and Syria.

The article goes on to claim that Obama can justify supporting Ahrar al-Sham because, <u>"not all terrorist organizations pose an equal threat to the United States</u> <u>and its allies,"</u> despite the fact that the group is allied with terrorists who share the <u>same vision of Sharia law across the region while they ransack Christian</u> <u>churches, burn US flags, chant anti-American slogans and sing the praises of</u> <u>Osama Bin Laden while glorifying the 9/11 attacks.</u>

Ahrar al-Sham is currently fighting alongside the Free Syrian Army, which has been backed to the tune of billions of dollars from the U.S. and the UK and is currently being represented as part of the "opposition" camp at the Geneva II peace talks.

The Council on Foreign Relations is considered to be America's <u>"most</u> <u>influential foreign-policy think tank"</u> and has deep connections with the U.S. State Department. In 2009, Hillary Clinton welcomed the fact that the CFR had set up an outpost down the street from the State Department in Washington DC, because it meant <u>"I won't have as far to go to be told what we should be doing."</u>

This is the original article from the CFR calling for Obama to befriend the Al Qaeda group in Syria. They quote Obama who also says that it's just a local Al Qaeda and no threat to America so it's okay. The CFR also admits that the rebels they support in Syria have committed "horrible actions" and ISIS is "indiscriminate killer of Syrian civilians" but they can ignore that for tactical reasons because they have other goals even though they say it's all done for the Syrian people to live free in peace.

https://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/syria/2014-01-23/good-and-badahrar-al-sham

The Good and Bad of Ahrar al-Sham | Foreign Affairs An al Qaeda–Linked Group Worth Befriending By Michael Doran, William McCants, and Clint Watts January 23, 2014

In his recent interview with The New Yorker, U.S. President Barack Obama drew a striking comparison between the Los Angeles Lakers and al Qaeda. "[I]f a jayvee team puts on Lakers uniforms," he said, <u>"that doesn't make them Kobe Bryant." Similarly,</u> <u>he went on to explain, there is a "distinction between the capacity and reach of</u> [and Osama] bin Laden and a network that is actively planning major terrorist plots against the homeland versus jihadists who are engaged in various local power struggles and disputes, often sectarian."

This flap could not have been timelier. The al Qaeda of yesterday is gone. What is left is a collection of many different splinter organizations, some of which have their own -- and profoundly local -- agendas. The U.S. response to each should be, as Obama put it, "defined and specific enough that it doesn't lead us to think that any

horrible actions that take place around the world that are motivated in part by an <u>extremist Islamic ideology</u> are a direct threat to us or something that we have to wade into."

....... Does the United States have a stake in the outcome of an intra–al Qaeda struggle? Should it adopt a position of "A pox on both their houses"? Or is one affiliate less threatening than the other, and therefore **worth ignoring for tactical reasons?** 

As if these issues were not complex enough, there is another: <u>the United States</u> <u>should consider whether the fight against al Qaeda is always the strategic priority</u> <u>in the Middle East. It might at times get in the way of other goals, including the</u> <u>efforts to contain Iran and Syria</u>, themselves state sponsors of terrorism with considerable blood on their hands. For example, an exclusive focus on al Qaeda in Syria could leave Iran relatively better off, which could be equally detrimental to the long-term interests of the United States.

...... ISIS is an **indiscriminate killer of Syrian civilians** and, finally, it is the primary conduit through which **the conflict in Syria is spreading to al-Anbar in Iraq**. The Islamic Front, including Ahrar al-Sham, represents the best hope in Syria for defeating ISIS.

......Finally, <u>Ahrar al-Sham's leader, Hassan Abboud, has never endorsed bin</u> <u>Laden's vision of a global jihad</u>. His struggle is limited to Syria. Designating his group as a terrorist organization might backfire by pushing it completely into al Qaeda's camp. ......Some will say that the United States' hands are in a bind; **public** 

confirmation that an al Qaeda operative is a senior leader in one of the Islamic Front's most important factions is hard to overlook. But Obama has more discretion when it comes to designating terrorist organizations than one might think.

...... the designation of foreign terrorist groups should be seen as a tactic in the service of a larger strategy -- a tool in the hands of a discriminating president.

The Citizens Commission on Benghazi was interviewed by World Net Daily and they tell us how the Obama administration supported Al Qaeda in Syria and Libya. Former CIA officers confirm the USA was shipping weapons to Al Qaeda.

http://www.wnd.com/2015/01/generals-conclude-obama-backed-al-qaida/ Generals conclude Obama backed al-Qaida

WND

By Jerome R. Corsi

Published January 19, 2015 at 10:25pm

<u>The Obama White House and the State Department under the management</u> of Secretary of State Hillary Clinton "changed sides in the war on terror" in 2011 by implementing a policy of facilitating the <u>delivery of weapons to the al-Qaida</u>dominated rebel militias in Libya attempting to oust Moammar Gadhafi from power, the Citizens Commission on Benghazi concluded in its interim report.

In WND interviews, <u>several members of the commission have disclosed their</u> <u>finding that the mission of Christopher Stevens, prior to the fall of Gadhafi and</u> <u>during Stevens' time as U.S. ambassador, was the management of a secret gun-</u> <u>running program operated out of the Benghazi compound.</u>

The Obama administration's gun-running project in Libya, much like the "fast and furious" program under Eric Holder's Justice Department, operated without seeking or obtaining authorization by Congress.

"The rebels made no secret of their Al Qaeda affiliation, openly flying and speaking in front of the black flag of Islamic jihad, according to author John Rosenthal and multiple media reports," the interim report said.

"And yet, the White House and senior Congressional members deliberately and knowingly pursued a policy that provided material support to terrorist organizations in order to topple a ruler who had been working closely with the West actively to suppress Al Qaeda."

The report concluded: "The result in Libya, across much of North Africa, and beyond has been utter chaos, disruption of Libya's oil industry, the spread of dangerous weapons (including surface-to-air missiles), and the empowerment of jihadist organizations like Al Qaeda and the Muslim Brotherhood."

In the WND interviews, **several members of the citizens' commission**, **speaking for themselves**, not for the commission, **added important background to the interim report's conclusion**.

Clare Lopez, a member of the commission who served as a career operations officer with the CIA and current is vice president for research at the Washington-based Center for Security Policy.

<u>"At that time, Stevens was facilitating the delivery of weapons to the al-</u> <u>Qaida-related militia in Libya,"</u> Lopez continued. "The weapons were produced at factories in Eastern Europe and shipped to a logistics hub in Qatar. The weapons were financed by the UAE and delivered via Qatar mostly on ships, with some possibly on airplanes, for delivery to Benghazi. The weapons were small arms, including Kalashnikovs, rocket-propelled grenades and lots of ammunition."

"This was about weapons going into Libya, and <u>Stevens is coordinating with</u> <u>Abdelhakim Belhadj, the leader of the Libyan Islamic Fighting Group, other al-</u> <u>Qaida-affiliated militia leaders and leaders of the Libyan Muslim Brotherhood</u> that directed the rebellion against Qadhafi as an offshoot of the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood,"

Kevin Shipp, a former CIA counterintelligence expert who worked on the seventh floor at Langley as protective staff to then-CIA Director William Casey, again speaking for himself in his interview with WND, agreed with Lopez that the gun-running operation Stevens managed is <u>a secret the Obama White House and Clinton State Department</u> have sought to <u>suppress from the public</u>.

"The shocking part, maybe even <u>a violation of international law</u> that the Obama administration has been <u>terrified to have fully revealed</u>, is that Stevens as part of his duties as a State Department employee was assisting in the shipment of arms <u>first into Libya for the al-Qaida-affiliated militia, with the weapons shipped</u> <u>subsequently out of Libya into Syria for use by the al-Qaida-affiliated rebels</u> <u>fighting Assad,</u>" Shipp told WND.

"Very possibly, these gun-running activities could be looked at even as **treasonable offenses**," he said.

# The Attack on the World Trade Center North Tower In 1993

The attack on the World Trade Center north tower in 1993 was carried out by terrorists that were part of or connected to the Al Kifah center in Brooklyn, NY, which was part of the CIA Operation Cyclone. The 1993 north tower attack was known to the FBI but they let it happen.

Here is a video that explains the attack on the World Trade Center north tower in 1993.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5owkJ\_HsQKs Al Qaeda is Controlled by the CIA Youtube Channel: Watchman's Archive

# Why Was The Attack On The North Tower Allowed To Happen?

Recall from the previous topics in this level 1 war and terrorism course that the war on terror was needed by the N.W.O. to set up their global surveillance network that will be used for their technocracy. This 1993 north tower attack appears to be a set up for the September 11, 2001 attack by allowing anti-terror exercises to be used before and during the 9-11 attack. The reason that the anti-terror exercises were needed to carryout the 9-11 attack is because Al Qaeda and Usama Bin Laden could not do it alone. We know they did not carry out the attack alone, if at all, because the twin towers and even World Trade Center 7, fell at freefall speed. Freefall speed for a steel frame building can only happen with the use of explosives and there was explosive residue found in the dust of the buildings.

Terrorism exercises allow people in control of the false flag to coordinate activities under cover so there are no questions asked. During and before the attacks there were exercises simulating attacks on the twin towers and pentagon using airplanes and drones with Usama Bin Laden's picture on the cover. There is more about the September 11, 2001 attack on America in level 2 of the war and terrorism course.

