



Infowar School

WAR AND TERRORISM COURSE LEVEL 2

SEPTEMBER 11, 2001 ATTACKS
VOLUME 2 BUILDING 7

FREE

Shaun Almeida

2024
VERSION 1.1

War and Terrorism Course
Level 2
September 11, 2001 Attacks
Volume 2 Building 7

By Shaun Almeida

This is a free publication from Infowar School created to raise awareness about the New World Order. Please share this book with everyone.



Published online by Infowarschool.com
2024
Version 1.1

BUILDING 7.....	4
NIST'S OFFICIAL REASON FOR THE COLLAPSE OF BUILDING 7	4
<i>Older Reasons for the Collapse.....</i>	4
<i>Overview of NIST's Investigation and Their Final Reason for the Collapse.....</i>	5
<i>Differential Expansion.....</i>	6
<i>Freedom of Information Request for NIST's Proof Was Denied.....</i>	7
NIST COMMITS FRAUD TO COVER THE TRUTH ABOUT 9/11.....	8
<i>NIST Lies About Sheer Studs Being Installed.....</i>	9
<i>Building 7 Looks Exactly Like a Controlled Demolition.....</i>	10
<i>Prior Knowledge of the Collapse.....</i>	11
EVIDENCE OF EXPLOSIVES.....	12
<i>Witnesses to Explosions.....</i>	12
<i>Squibs Were Seen Exploding Out Of Building 7.....</i>	12
<i>The Smoking Gun for Proof of Explosives Is Free fall.....</i>	12
<i>Pyroclastic Clouds.....</i>	13
<i>Symmetrical Collapse and Small Rubble Pile.....</i>	13
<i>Thermite Was Found In the Dust of the Collapses.....</i>	13
<i>Abnormally High Temperatures in the Rubble Pile.....</i>	15

Building 7

Three buildings fell on 9/11. There was a 47 story building called World Trade Center 7 that fell on that day at 5:20 p.m. in the afternoon. It was about 100 yards away from the north tower that fell earlier that day. It was not hit by a plane and had only minor damage from falling pieces of the north tower and minor damage from fires. We are told something different by the government.

The government tells us that for the first time in history on the same day at the same place three very large steel framed buildings totally collapsed in free fall conditions from normal office fires that were not hotter than the average office fire. If this is true then no tall building is safe at this very moment. But we all know that they are safe because office fires can't collapse a steel framed building. It's impossible. But if it was so unsafe why was another tower just as tall built where the twin towers stood and another building built where building 7 stood?

NIST's Official Reason for the Collapse of Building 7

Older Reasons for the Collapse

First we were told that diesel fuel fires caused building 7 to collapse and then we were told that falling debris from the towers caused it to collapse and then we were told that the buildings design was faulty but all three of those reasons were changed and finally we are told that just regular fires caused building 7 to collapse.

Overview of NIST's Investigation and Their Final Reason for the Collapse

FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency) arrived one month after the building fell and by that time much of the steel had been removed and recycled by melting it or shipping it to china. That is destruction of evidence which is a violation of the law for investigating a crime scene or a fire. Then NIST (National Institute For Standards And Technology) used a computer simulation to explain why the tower fell. They did no physical testing that support their conclusions. The few tests they did contradicted their reason for collapse. NIST tells us that a normal office fire caused a fireproof column to fail which caused a floor to fall and that led to the entire building to collapse in 6.5 seconds. The computer simulation was only two Seconds long and didn't even match what was observed when the tower really fell. That reason alone is enough for anyone with any common sense to have serious doubts about what we are being told. But it gets worse as you will see through this section.




Figure 8. The vertical member in the center is World Trade Center Building 7's column #79. The larger horizontal beams that are directly connected to it are the girders, and the horizontal beams that are connected to the girders are the floor beams.

Differential Expansion

NIST tells us that the fire caused something called differential expansion which led to the collapse. That happens when a column below a floor is heated more than the floor slab above it. They say that because the beam heated up it expanded and popped out of its place and that it is a new consideration in building design as if no one ever thought of that before. As if it was never considered in the design of building 7 and oops look what happened the building fell. But it's not a new consideration, it's always considered in building design.

NIST did no physical testing to support their conclusions. David Proe, a professional research fellow and Ian Thomas, director of the center for environmental safety and risk engineering, who are both at Victoria university in Melbourne, Australia, did a real physical test to see if NIST was correct about differential expansion because what NIST was telling us sounds impossible. David and Ian proved that NIST was wrong and that the column could not fail.



Freedom of Information Request for NIST's Proof Was Denied

Structural engineer Ron Brookman made a freedom of information request to NIST and asked them to provide the calculations and analysis supporting their claims and NIST told him that they would not release their data because it could endanger public safety. If a building could fall due to normal office fires, NIST has to release their data to protect the public not keep their data secret from the public. How else would building designers know how to avoid the problem? But of course there is no problem it's just NIST trying to cover up the fact that the building was demolished using explosives and once they admit to explosives being used there would have to be a deeper investigation into 9/11.

Here are two pictures of buildings that have more than one support column missing and they did not fall at free fall speed into their own basements.





This building is missing a column or two. It didn't collapse

NIST Commits Fraud to Cover the Truth About 9/11

In their computer model NIST did not heat the floor above the column they said collapsed to make the differential expansion more extreme to try and justify their claim that the beam failed. If there was a fire that heated the steel column how could the floor above it not get hot? Heat rises. By not heating the floor in their computer model NIST has committed fraud. There were some office fires and if the beam was exposed to fire then the floor above it was also heated from underneath just like the beam.

NIST said that there were fires for seven hours in the areas where the collapse began. Photos show that this is not true. The fires in that area didn't start until after 2 p.m. That's less than two hours burn time. The steel was fire proofed to last for 2 hours for floors and 3 hours for the columns of intense fire. The fires on floor 12 were completely out for at least half an hour where they say the collapse began. After being out for at least half an hour the steel would have cooled and made it impossible for expansion to make the beam fail. But as the tests from the Australian engineers showed even the fires that were there could not have made the beam fail anyway if they continued to burn. The computer simulation provided by NIST shows raging fires at 4 p.m. but photos show no fire in that area at all, that is fraud.



Figure 11. According to NIST, a fire on the northeast corner of WTC 7's Floor 12 (see red oval in both frames above) thermally expanded the floor beams of the floor above it, triggering the collapse of Building 7 at around 5:20 PM on 9/11/01. In the top frame, NIST's computer-based fire "simulation" shows a raging fire in this location at 4:00 PM. But in the bottom frame, NIST's photographic evidence shows that the fire in the critical area had burned out before 4:00 PM (see NCSTAR 1-9 Vol. 1, Figure 5-136).

NIST Lies About Sheer Studs Being Installed

In their first report NIST said that there were sheer studs on the column that supposedly failed but in their final report they said there were no sheer studs on that column.

The project manager who supplied the steel components said all the girders in the building had high strength steel sheer studs and there were thirty of them on girder number 79 which is the one NIST said failed. Why would NIST lie like that? They already admitted the studs were there in the first report. A building is not built without them. They must know that. Or are they saying that girder 79 was the only one in the building that didn't have sheer studs? No, they are lying; they know the sheer studs were there.

Building 7 Looks Exactly Like a Controlled Demolition

Building seven fell exactly the way a building does when it is demolished by explosives, straight down symmetrically into a nice neat pile. It fell at free fall speed for a large part of its collapse. The total time for its collapse was only 6.5 seconds.

The only way a building can fall at free fall speed is if all the supports under it are removed at a very high speed and that can only be done with explosives. But we are told by the government that the office fires did it. Daniel Jowenko who is a controlled demolitions expert with 27 years experience in demolishing buildings with explosives said building seven was a controlled demolition. Daniel was shown a video of building seven falling. He didn't even know it fell on the same day as towers 1 and 2 and was surprised when he was told it fell the same day. He said there is no doubt it's a controlled demolition.



these photos show a side by side comparison of building 7 with a controlled demolition



Prior Knowledge of the Collapse

Rudy Giuliani said on television they were told the building would collapse so they should leave the office of emergency management located inside building 7. Who told them and how did they know? Almost one hour before building 7 collapsed it was reported as already collapsed by the BBC. Where did the BBC get that information? The reporter who read the report was later interviewed about what she reported and she stated that she was handed a paper to read and that's what the paper said. Building seven had collapsed. Who wrote the paper and how did they know?

Evidence of explosives

Witnesses to Explosions

There are hundreds of witnesses to explosions at the twin towers and even inside world trade center building 7. Berry Jennings was an employee of the city of New York who was inside building 7 in the office of emergency management and he was left behind after everyone evacuated from the building because he arrived late. Why did everyone else evacuate? Because they were told that the building was going to collapse as Rudy Giuliani later stated. Berry Jennings was then told by phone to get out of the building immediately so he started to leave and while he was going down the stairs he said there was a huge explosion that threw him up the stairs and destroyed the stairs below him. He also said that all the time while he was trying to escape from the building there were explosions happening all over the building around him.

Squibs Were Seen Exploding Out Of Building 7

Squibs are explosions that project out of a building as it is being demolished that are caused by the explosives that are inside the building. They usually look like horizontal blasts of air with some debris like glass and dust because they usually come out from a window. Squibs can be seen coming out of building seven as it fell.

The Smoking Gun for Proof of Explosives Is Free fall

The report by NIST confirms that building 7 was a controlled demolition. They did not state explicitly that it was a controlled demolition by writing those exact words and they probably didn't intend to prove it was judging by all of the other efforts they made to cover up the fact that the building was demolished by explosives. So how did they confirm the demolition? By showing in their report that building 7 was in free fall for at least 100 feet of the collapse. Why does free fall prove controlled demolition? Because a building in free fall can do no work to destroy the structure below it. If the building was destroying the structure below it then it could not have been in free fall, it would have fallen slower. That means the only thing that could have been removing the supporting structure is explosives. If it was any other type of collapse it would not have happened at free fall speed or in a symmetrical motion and all of the rubble would not be in a nice neat pile with most of it in the basements exactly the way a controlled demolition looks.

There were also firefighters inside and outside the twin towers that heard and saw explosions. You can hear and see the explosions for yourself in the news videos. The only reason you have doubts is because you can't believe that someone would actually do this and because corrupt people who are part of the N.W.O. that have positions in government who you trusted are telling you lies.

Pyroclastic Clouds



There were very hot clouds of powdered concrete dust moving very fast down the street from all three of the world trade center buildings when they collapsed. The clouds of dust were so hot that they burned people and set cars on fire. If the buildings really did collapse it would be impossible to turn all the concrete from all the floors into dust and make it so hot that it set cars on fire. Where else do you see pyroclastic clouds other than controlled demolitions? You see it when volcanoes erupt. Never when a building collapses due to gravity alone. When a building collapses there is a regular dust cloud not a pyroclastic cloud. When a building is demolished with explosives there is a pyroclastic dust cloud because the heat from the explosives changes the way the air flows in the dust cloud and makes it look more like a cauliflower shape with sharp edges.


Symmetrical Collapse and Small Rubble Pile

Building 7 collapsed symmetrically straight down. It did not fall over sideways or twist. It only had what is called a crimp which is a small crease inward which is a sign of controlled demolition. The walls did not fall outwards and damage the next door buildings and the rubble was in a small pile with most of it in the basements which is also a sign of controlled demolition. That is the whole purpose of a controlled demolition because the next door buildings must not be damaged and the basement floors are destroyed so the rubble pile will fall into a very deep hole to be contained until it is removed.

Thermite Was Found In the Dust of the Collapses

Nano thermite which is a military grade explosive was found in the dust that came from the collapsed buildings. Regular thermite is not an explosive it is an incendiary which means it creates heat but nano thermite not only creates heat it causes a blast of high pressure gasses too which is an explosion. Some people claim that the red chips which are nano thermite are red paint chips from the steel of the buildings but chemical analysis shows it's not paint. Also scientific analysis shows it's actual nano thermite. Thermite burns at thousands of degrees and was created to melt and cut steel.





Abnormally High Temperatures in the Rubble Pile

Pictures from above ground zero of the 9/11 attack in New York show temperatures that are abnormally and impossibly high for what could have been caused by normal office fires. It is more evidence that explosives like nano thermite and thermite that create higher temperatures were used to demolish the buildings.